### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

2010-52045 4060

October 5, 2011 Genesee County DHS 2

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christopher S. Saunders

## HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37; MCL 400.43 (a); Mich Ad min Code, R 400.941 and MCL 24.201, et seq., upon a hearing request by the Department of Human Services (Department) to establish an over issuance (OI) of benefits to Respondent. After due notice, a hearing was held on October 5, 2011.

Respondent did not appear. This matter having been initiated by the Department and due notice hav ing been provided to Respondent, the hearing was held in Respondent's absence in accord ance with Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Item 725.

### ISSUE

Did Respondent receive an OI of FIP K FAP SDA CDC benefits?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Respondent was a recipient of FIP K FAP SDA CDC during the period February 2, 2010 through June 30, 2010.
- 2. Respondent received a ☐ FIP ⊠ FAP ☐ SDA ☐ CDC OI during the period February 1, 2010, through June 30, 2010, due to ☐ Department's ⊠ Respondent's error.

3. **\$** of the OI is still due and owing to the Department.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established purs uant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 20 00 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Feder al Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Depart ment provides servic es to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly determined that Respondent received a State OI of FIP SDA CDC benefits.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, finds that the Department  $\square$  did  $\square$  did not make the correct determination to establish a debt.

Accordingly, the Department is AFFIRMED IN REVERSED AFFIRMED IN PART for the reasons stated on the record.

It is noted for the record that the respondent has paid the amount of the overissuance in full.

<u>/s/</u>

Christopher S. Saunders Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 5, 2011

Date Mailed: October 5, 2011

**NOTICE:** The law provides that within 60 days from the mailing date of the above hearing Decision the Re spondent may appeal it to the circuit court for the county in which he/she resides or has his or her principal place of business in this state, or in the circuit court for Ingham County. Administ rative Hearings, on it s own motion, or on request of a party within 60 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, may order a rehearing.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings Re consideration/Rehearing Request

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### CSS/alc

