

STATE OF MICHIGAN
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

██████████
Claimant,

Reg No: 2010-5200
Issue No: 1015, 3002
Case No: ██████████
Load No: ██████████
Hearing Date:
January 14, 2010
Ingham County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Steven M. Brown

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from ██████████ on January 14, 2010.

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly computed the Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) and Food Assistance Program (FAP) allotments?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

(1) On May 21, 2009 Claimant completed and filed an application for FIP and FAP benefits.

(2) The Department completed a FIP budget for Claimant's two grandchildren only (with Claimant as the grandparent – ineligible grantee) which resulted in a denial

from June 16, 2009 – June 30, 2009 because her grandchildren were active on another case (Moms) until June 30, 2009 and a monthly FIP allotment of [REDACTED] effective July 1, 2009. (Exhibits 2,3)

(3) The Department completed a FAP budget for Claimant and her two grandchildren which resulted in FAP benefits for Claimant only for June 2009 because her grandchildren were active on another case (Moms) until June 30, 2009 and a monthly allotment for all effective July 1, 2009. (Exhibits 1,3,4)

(4) On May 29, 2009 and July 29, 2009, the Department received the Claimant's hearing request(s) protesting the amount of her FIP and FAP allotments.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program, is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department), administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Departmental policies are

found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

All earned and unearned income available to the Claimant is countable. Earned income means income received from another person or organization or from self-employment for duties that were performed for remuneration or profit. Unearned income means ALL income that is not earned and includes FIP, RSDI, SSI and UB. The amount counted may be more than the client actually receives because the gross amount is used prior to any deductions. BEM 500

The Department determines a client's eligibility for program benefits based on the client's actual income and/or prospective income. Actual income is income that was already received. Prospective income is income not yet received but expected.

Prospective budgeting is the best estimate of the client's future income. BEM 505

All income is converted to a standard monthly amount. If the client is paid weekly, the Department multiplies the average weekly amount by 4.3. If the client is paid every other week, the Department multiplies the average bi-weekly amount by 2.15.

BEM 505

In the instant case, based on the testimony and documentation received during and after the hearing, I find the Department established that it acted in accordance with policy in computing Claimant's FIP and FAP allotments.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that the Department acted in accordance with policy in computing Claimant's FIP and FAP allotments.

