STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No: 201051505

Issue No: 1038

Case No:

Load No:

Hearing Date: October 7, 2010

Mecosta County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge by authority of MC L 400.9 and MCL 400.37. Claimant's request fo r a hearing was r eceived on August 9, 2010. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, October 7, 2010.

ISSUE

Whether the Department of H uman Servic es (Department) properly san ctioned the Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) case for noncompliance wit h the Jobs. Education, and Training (JET) program?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant received FIP benefits until September 1, 2010.
- 2. The Department referred the Claimant and another group member to the Jobs, Education, and Training (JET) program as a condition of receiving FIP benefits.
- 3. The Claim ant and another group member did not attend JET programming on June 24, 2010.
- 4. The Department conducted a triage meeting on July, 27, 2010.

- 5. On July 27, 2010, the D epartment notified the Claimant that it would terminate her FIP benefits as of S eptember 1, 2010, but this action was delayed pending the results of an administrative hearing.
- 6. The Department received the Claimant's r equest for a hearing on Augus t 9, 2010, protesting the termination of her FIP benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Ai d to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. De partment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (BEM), Refe rence Table Manual (RF T), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Department policy states that clients must be made aware that public as sistance is limited to 48 months to meet their family's needs and that they must take personal responsibility to achieve self-sufficiency. This message, along with information on ways to achieve independence, direct support services, non-compliance penalties, and good cause reasons, is initially shared by DHS when the client applies for cash assistance. Jobs, Education and Training (JET) program requirements, education and training opportunities, and as sessments will be covered by the JET case manager when a mandatory JET participant is referred at application. PEM 229, p. 1.

Federal and State laws require each work eligib le individual (WEI) in the FIP and RAP group to participate in the Jobs, Educati on and T raining (JET) Program or other employment-related activities unless temporar ily deferred or engaged in activities that meet participation requirements. These c lients must participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities to in crease their e mployability and obtain stab le employment. JET is a program administer ed by the Michigan Department of Labor and Economic Growth (D LEG) through the Mi chigan Works Agencies (MWAs). The JET program serves employers and job seekers for employers to have skille d workers and job seekers to obtain jobs that provide ec onomic self-sufficiency. A WEI who refuses, without good cause, to participate in as signed em ployment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities is subject to penalties. PEM 230A, p. 1.

Noncompliance of applic ants, recipients, or member adds means doing any of the following without good cause:

Failing or refusing to:

- Appear and participate with the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment service provider.
- Complete a Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST), as assigned as the first step in the FSSP process.
- Develop a Family Se If-Sufficiency Plan (F SSP) or a Personal Respons ibility Plan and Family Contract (PRPFC).
- Comply with activities assigned to on the Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP).
- Provide legitimate documentation of work participation.
- Appear for a scheduled appointment or meeting related to assigned activities.
- Participate in employment and/or self-sufficiencyrelated activities.
- Accept a job referral.
- Complete a job application.
- Appear for a job interview (see the exception below).
- Stating orally or in writing a definite intent not to comply with program requirements.
- Threatening, physically abusing or otherwise behav ing disruptively toward anyone conducting or participating in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activity.
- Refusing employment support services if the refusal prevents participation in an employment and/or s elfsufficiency-related activity. PEM 233A, pp. 1-2.

The Department is required to send a DHS -2444, Notice of Employment and/or Self Sufficiency Related Noncompliance within three days after learning of the noncompliance which must include the date of noncompliance, the reason the client was determined to be noncompliant, the penalty that will be imposed and the triage date within the negative action period. PEM 233A, p. 9

Good cause is a valid reason for nonc ompliance wit h employ ment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant per son. A claim of good c ause must be verified and doc umented for member adds and recipients. If it is determined at triage that the client has good cause, and good cause issues have been resolved, the client should be sent back to JET. PEM 233A, p. 4, 5

Good cause should be determined based on the best information available during the triage and prior to the negative action date. Good cause may be verified by information already on file with DHS or MWA. Good cause must be considered even if the client does not attend, with particular attention to possible disabilities (including disabilities that have not been diagnosed or ident ified by the client) and unmet needs for accommodation. PEM 233A, p. 9

The penalty for noncomplianc e without good cause is FIP closure. Effective April 1, 2007, the following minimum penalties apply:

- For the first occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for not less than 3 calendar mont hs unless the client is excused from the noncompliance as noted in "First Case Noncompliance Without Loss of Benefits" below.
- For the second occur rence on the FIP case, close the FIP for not less than 3 calendar months.
- For the third and subsequent occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for not less than 12 calendar months.
- The penalty counter also begins April 1, 2007 regardless of the previous num ber of noncompliance penalties. PEM, Item 233A.

Noncompliance, without good cause, with employment r equirements for FIP/RAP(SEE PEM 233A) may affect FAP if both progr ams were active on the date of the FIP noncompliance. PEM 233b, p. 1 The FAP group member should be disqualified for noncompliance when all the following exist:

- The client was active bot h FIP and FAP on the date of the FIP noncompliance, and
- The client did not comply wit h FIP/RAP employment requirements, and
- The client is s ubject to a penalty on the FIP/RA P program, and

- The client is not deferred from FAP work requirements, and
- The c lient did not have good c ause for the noncompliance. PEM 233B, p.2

The Department should budget the Last FIP grant amount on the FAP budget for the number of months that corres ponds with the FIP penalty (e ither three months for the first two noncomplianc es or 12 months for the third and subseq uent noncompliances) after the FIP case closes for employment and/or self sufficiency-related noncompliance. The Last F IP grant amount is the grant amount the client received immediat ely before the FIP case closed.

The Claimant is an ongoing FIP recipient. The Department referred the Claimant and another group member to the JET program as a condition of receiving FIP benefits. On June 24, 2010, the Claimant and another group member did not attend JET reported to the Department programming. The Claimant that she would not be attending her JET program activities bec ause she had to attend to another group member that was in t he hospital. The De partment conducted a triage meeting on July 27, 2010, where the Claimant was given the opportunity to establish good cause for her noncompliance with the JET pr ogram. The other group member was als o given the opportunity to establish good cause for his noncompliance. The Department did not find good cause, and placed a sanction on the Claimant's FIP benefits case. On July 27, 2010, the Department notified the Claimant of the decision to terminate her FIP benefits as of September 1, 2010, but this action was delay ed pending the res ults of an administrative hearing.

The Claimant argued that both her and another FI P group member did not attend their JET programming because of the FIP group member's medical condition, which required hospitalization. The Claimant testified that she reported this medical condition to the Department before missing her JET assignment.

The Claimant had the opportunity to establish good c ause for her noncompliance wit h the JET program at the triage meeting, which she did not attend. The Claim ant did not present any evidence of the FIP group member's medical condition at the administrative hearing.

The Claim ant argued that s he would have been able to establish good cause for her noncompliance with the JET program if she had known when the triage meeting had been scheduled. The Claimant testified that she did not receive a copy of the Notice of Noncompliance form. The Claim ant testified that the notices must have gone to their previous address.

The Depar tment's representative testified that a Notice of Noncomplianche form was mailed to both the Claimant and the other noncompliant group member on July 14,

2010, at their correct mailin g address. The De partment's representative testified that the Claimant notified the D epartment of their change of mailing address on August 16, 2010. The proper mailing and addr essing of a letter creates a presumption of receipt. That presumption may be rebutted by evidence. *Stacey v Sankovich*, 19 Mich App 638 (1969); *Good v Detroit Automobile Inter-Insurance Exchange*, 67 Mich App 270 (1976). In this case, the Claimant failed to rebut the presumption of receipt.

Based on the evidence and testimony available during the hearing, the Department's determination that the Claimant did not have good cause for her noncompliance with the JET program is reasonable. The Department has established that it acted properly when it sanctioned the Claimant's FIP benefhits case for noncompliance with the JET program.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that the Department acted in accordance with policy when it sanctioned the Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) case for noncompliance with the Jobs, Education, and Training (JET) program.

The Department's FIP sanction is AFFIRMED. It is SO ORDERED.

/s/	Kevin	Scully Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services
Date Signed:	October 18, 2010	_
Date Mailed:	October 18, 2010	_

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

2010-51505/KS

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

KS/alc

