# STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No: 201051454

Issue No: 1038

Case No:

Load No:

Hearing Date: October 6, 2010 Ionia County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge by authority of MC L 400.9 and MCL 400.37. Claimant's request for a hearing was received on July 26, 2010. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Wednesday, October 6, 2010.

# **ISSUE**

Whether the Department of H uman Servic es (Department) properly san ctioned the Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) case for noncompliance wit h the Jobs, Education, and Training (JET) program?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- The Claimant received FIP benefits until August 1, 2010.
- 2. The Department referred the Claimant to the Jobs, Education, and Training (JET) program as a condition of receiving FIP benefits on January 14, 2010.
- 3. The Claimant was noncompliant with the JET program on June 8, 2010.
- 4. The Department conducted a triage meeting on June 15, 2010.
- 5. The Claim ant signed a First Nonc ompliance Letter on June 16, 2010, and agreed that she had been nonc ompliant with the JET program. The Claimant agreed to reengage the JET program by completing a compliance test.

- 6. During the week of June 29, 2010, the Claimant failed to complete the compliance test.
- 7. On June 30, 2010, the Depar tment notified the Claimant that it would terminate her FIP benefits as of August 1, 2010.
- 8. The Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing on July 26, 2010, protesting the termination of her FIP benefits.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Ai d to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. De partment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (BEM), Refe rence Table Manual (RF T), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Department policy states that clients must be made aware t hat public as sistance is limited to 48 months to meet their family's needs and that they must take personal responsibility to achieve self-sufficiency. This message, along with information on ways to achieve independence, direct support services, non-compliance penalties, and good cause reasons, is initially shared by DHS w hen the client applies for cash assistance. Jobs, Education and Training (JET) progr am requirements, education and training opportunities, and as sessments will be c overed by t he JET case manager when a mandatory JET participant is referred at application. PEM 229, p. 1.

Federal and State laws require each work eligib le individual (WEI) in the FIP and RAP group to participate in the Jobs, Educati on and T raining (JET) Program or other employment-related activities unless temporar ily deferred or engaged in activities that meet participation requirements. These c lients must participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities to incr ease their employabilit y and obtain stab le employment. JET is a program administer ed by the Michigan Department of Labor and Economic Growth (D LEG) through the Mi chigan Works Agencies (MWAs). The JET program serves employers and job seekers for employers to have skille d workers and job seekers to obtain jobs that provide economic self-sufficiency. A WEI who refuses, without good cause, to participate in as signed employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities is subject to penalties. PEM 230A, p. 1.

Noncompliance of applic ants, recipients, or member adds means doing any of the following without good cause:

- Failing or refusing to:
  - Appear and participate with the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment service provider.
  - Complete a Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST), as assigned as the first step in the FSSP process.
  - Develop a Family Se If-Sufficiency Plan (F SSP) or a Personal Respons ibility Plan and Family Contract (PRPFC).
  - Comply with activities assigned to on the Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP).
  - Provide legitimate documentation of work participation.
  - Appear for a scheduled appointment or meeting related to assigned activities.
  - Participate in employment and/or self-sufficiencyrelated activities.
  - Accept a job referral.
  - Complete a job application.
  - Appear for a job interview (see the exception below).
- Stating orally or in writing a definite intent not to comply with program requirements.
- Threatening, physically abusing or otherwise behav ing disruptively toward anyone conducting or participating in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activity.
- Refusing employment support services if the refusal prevents participation in an employment and/or s elfsufficiency-related activity. PEM 233A, pp. 1-2.

The Department is required to send a DHS -2444, Notice of Employment and/or Self Sufficiency Related Noncompliance within three days after learning of the noncompliance which must include the date of noncompliance, the reason the client was determined to be noncompliant, the penalty that will be imposed and the triage date within the negative action period. PEM 233A, p. 9

Good cause is a valid reason for nonc ompliance wit h employ ment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant per son. A claim of good c ause must be verified and doc umented for member adds and recipients. If it is determined at triage that the client has good cause, and good cause issues have been resolved, the client should be sent back to JET. PEM 233A, p. 4, 5

Good cause should be determined based on the besit information available during the triage and prior to the negative action date. Good cause may be verified by information already on file with DHS or MWA. Good cause must be considered even if the client does not attend, with particular attention to possible disabilities (including disabilities that have not been diagnosed or ident ified by the client) and unmet needs for accommodation. PEM 233A, p. 9

The penalty for noncomplianc e without good cause is FIP closure. Effective April 1, 2007, the following minimum penalties apply:

- For the first occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for not less than 3 calendar mont hs unless the client is excused from the noncompliance as noted in "First Case Noncompliance Without Loss of Benefits" below.
- For the second occur rence on the FIP case, close the FIP for not less than 3 calendar months.
- For the third and subsequent occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for not less than 12 calendar months.
- The penalty counter also begi ns April 1, 2007 regardless of the previous num ber of noncompliance penalties. PEM, Item 233A.

Noncompliance, without good cause, with employment r equirements for FIP/RAP(SEE PEM 233A) may affect FAP if both progr ams were active on the date of the FIP noncompliance. PEM 233b, p. 1 The FAP group member should be disqualified for noncompliance when all the following exist:

 The client was active bot h FIP and FAP on the date of the FIP noncompliance, and

- The client did not comply wit h FIP/RAP employment requirements, and
- The client is s ubject to a penalty on the FIP/RA P program, and
- The client is not deferred from FAP work requirements, and
- The c lient did not have good c ause for the noncompliance. PEM 233B, p.2

The Department should budget the Last FIP grant amount on the FAP budget for the number of months that corres ponds with the FIP penalty (e ither three months for the first two noncomplianc es or 12 months for the third and subseq uent noncompliances) after the FIP case closes for employment and/or self sufficiency-related noncompliance. The Last F IP grant amount is the grant amount the client received immediat ely before the FIP case closed.

The Claim ant was an ongoing FIP recipien t until August 1, 2010. The Department referred the Claimant to the JET program as a condition of receiving FIP benefits. The Claimant was noncompliant with the JET program when she failed to complete her JET assignment during the week of June 8, 2010. The Department conducted a triage meeting on June 15, 2010, where the Claimant was given the opportunity to establish good cause for her noncompliance. The Claimant agreed that she was noncompliant with the JET program, and on June 16, 2010, she agreed to reengage the JET program by performing a compliance test. During the week of June 29, 2010, the Claimant failed to complete the compliance test. On June 30, 2010, the Department notified the Claimant that it would terminate her FIP benefits as of August 1, 2010.

The Claimant argued that she should be de ferred from the JET program, and that the Department should not consider her a work eligible indiv idual. The Cla imant's representative testified that the Claimant has been prescribed psychotropic medication, and that her condition is a barrier to completing her JET assignment.

The Department's representat ive testified that the Cla imant did not submit any documentation to establish a disability when she applied for FIP benefits.

The Department did not consider the Claimant's condition at the triage meeting because the Claimant agreed that she was noncompli ant with the JET program, and she agreed to reengage the JET program by completing a compliance test.

Based on the evidence and testimony ava ilable during the hearin g, the Department established that the Claimant was noncompliant with the JE T program when she failed to complete the compliance test.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that the Diepartment acted in accordance with policy when it sanctioned the Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) case for noncompliance with the Jobs, Education, and Training (JET) program.

The Department's FIP sanction is AFFIRMED. It is SO ORDERED.

/s/	Kevin	Scully Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services
Date Signed: _	October 18, 2010	

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

### KS/alc



Date Mailed: October 18, 2010