# STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No: 201051433 Issue No: 1038, 1022 Case No:

Case No: Load No:

Hearing Date: October 7, 2010 Berrien County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge by authority of MC L 400.9 and MCL 400.37. Claimant's request fo r a hearing was r eceived on August 23, 2010. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, October 7, 2010.

# ISSUE

Whether the Department of Human Serv ices (Department) properly det ermined the Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) eligibility?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Department received the Claimant's application for FIP benefits on July 22, 2010.
- The Claimant resides with A.B. and their children.
- is a former FIP recipient, and the Department sanctioned her FIP case for noncompliance with the Jobs, Education, and Training (JET) program after a triage meeting held on March 3, 2010.
- 4. The Department denied the Claimant's FIP application because his household is not eligible for benefits.

5. The Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing on August 23, 2010, protesting the denial of his FIP application.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Ai d to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. De partment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (BEM), Refe rence Table Manual (RF T), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Department policy states that clients must be made aware that public as sistance is limited to 48 months to meet their family's needs and that they must take personal responsibility to achieve self-sufficiency. This message, along with information on ways to achieve independence, direct support services, non-compliance penalties, and good cause reasons, is initially shared by DHS when the client applies for cash assistance. Jobs, Education and Training (JET) program requirements, education and training opportunities, and as sessments will be covered by the JET case manager when a mandatory JET participant is referred at application. PEM 229, p. 1.

Federal and State laws require each work eligible in dividual (WEI) in the FIP and RAP group to participate in the Jobs, Educati on and T raining (JET) Program or other employment-related activities unless temporar ily deferred or engaged in activities that meet participation requirements. These c lients must participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities to incr ease their employabilit y and obtain stab le employment. JET is a program administer ed by the Michigan Department of Labor and Economic Growth (D LEG) through the Mi chigan Works Agencies (MWAs). The JET program serves employers and job seekers for employers to have skille d workers and job seekers to obtain jobs that provide economic self-sufficiency. A WEI who refuses, without good cause, to participate in as signed employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities is subject to penalties. PEM 230A, p. 1.

Noncompliance of applic ants, recipients, or member adds means doing any of the following without good cause:

- Failing or refusing to:
  - Appear and participate with the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment service provider.

- Complete a Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST), as assigned as the first step in the FSSP process.
- Develop a Family Se If-Sufficiency Plan (F SSP) or a Personal Respons ibility Plan and Family Contract (PRPFC).
- Comply with activities assigned to on the Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP).
- Provide legitimate documentation of work participation.
- Appear for a scheduled appointment or meeting related to assigned activities.
- Participate in employment and/or self-sufficiencyrelated activities.
- Accept a job referral.
- Complete a job application.
- Appear for a job interview (see the exception below).
- Stating orally or in writing a definite intent n ot to comply with program requirements.
- Threatening, physically abusing or otherwise behav ing disruptively toward anyone conducting or participating in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activity.
- Refusing employment support services if the refusal prevents participation in an employment and/or s elfsufficiency-related activity. PEM 233A, pp. 1-2.

The Department is required to send a DHS -2444, Notice of Employment and/or Self Sufficiency Related Noncompliance within three days after learnin gof the noncompliance which must include the date of noncompliance, the reason the client was determined to be noncompliant, the penalty that will be imposed and the triage date within the negative action period. PEM 233A, p. 9

Good cause is a valid reason for nonc ompliance wit h employ ment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant per son. A claim of good c ause must be verified and doc umented for

member adds and recipients. If it is determined at triage that the client has good cause, and good cause issues have been resolved, the client should be sent back to JET. PEM 233A, p. 4, 5

Good cause should be determined based on the besit information available during the triage and prior to the negative action date. Good cause may be verified by information already on file with DHS or MWA. Good cause must be considered even if the client does not attend, with particular attention to possible disabilities (including disabilities that have not been diagnosed or ident ified by the client) and unmet needs for accommodation. PEM 233A, p. 9

The penalty for noncomplianc e without good cause is FIP closure. Effective April 1, 2007, the following minimum penalties apply:

- For the first occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for not less than 3 calendar mont hs unless the client is excused from the noncompliance as noted in "First Case Noncompliance Without Loss of Benefits" below.
- For the second occur rence on the FIP case, close the FIP for not less than 3 calendar months.
- For the third and subsequent occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for not less than 12 calendar months.
- The penalty counter also begins April 1, 2007 regardless of the previous num ber of noncompliance penalties. PEM, Item 233A.

Noncompliance, without good cause, with employment r equirements for FIP/RAP(SEE PEM 233A) may affect FAP if both progr ams were active on the date of the FIP noncompliance. PEM 233b, p. 1 The FAP group member should be disqualified for noncompliance when all the following exist:

- The client was active bot h FIP and FAP on the date of the FIP noncompliance, and
- The client did not comply wit h FIP/RAP employment requirements, and
- The client is s ubject to a penalty on the FIP/RA P program, and
- The client is not deferred from FAP work requirements, and

 The c lient did not have good c noncompliance. PEM 233B, p.2 ause for the

The Department should budget the Last FIP grant amount on the FAP budget for the number of months that corres ponds with the FIP penalty (e ither three mon ths for the first two noncomplianc es or 12 months for the third and subseq uent noncompliances) after the FIP case closes for employment and/or self sufficiency-related noncompliance. The Last FIP grant amount is the grant amount the client received immediately before the FIP case closed.

When cas h assistance is requested for a dependent child, or a dependent child is a mandatory FIP EDG member, all of the following individuals who live together are in the FIP EDG, regardless of program request status:

- Dependent Child.
- Child's legal parent(s).
- Child's legal siblings who meet the definition of a dependent child.
- Legal parent(s) of the child's siblings.
- Child's legal stepparent, even after death of or divorce from the parent.
- Child's legal stepsiblings who m eet the definition of a dependent child, even after death of or divorce from the parent.
- Child's child. BEM 210.

In this case, the Department received the Claimant's application for FIP benefits on July 22, 2010. The Claimant indic ated on his applic ation for assistance that household member. Department records show that is a former FIP recipient, and she received a 12 months sanction on her FIP benefits for noncompliance with the JET program. Although the Claimant indicated on his FIP application that had no need for assistance, she is a mandatory FIP EDG member as the parent of the Claimant's children in the household. Ther efore, the Claimant is not e ligible for FIP benefits while the JET sanction on FIP benefits case is in place.

The Claimant argued that his children s hould not be noncompliance with the JET program.

hould not be s anctioned for



However, the claimant's grievance centers on dissatisfaction with the department's current policy. The c laimant's request is not within the scope of authority delegated to this Administrative Law Judge. Administ rative Law Judges have no authority to make decisions on constitutional grounds, overrule statutes, overrule promulgated regulations, or make exceptions to the department policy set out in the program manuals.

Furthermore, administrative adjudication is an exercise of execut ive power r ather than judicial power, and restricts the granting of equitable remedies. Michigan Mutual Liability Co. v Baker, 295 Mich 237; 294 NW 168 (1940).

Based on the evidence and testimony available during the hearing, the Department has established that the Claimant is not eligible to receive FIP benefits due to a sanction on a mandatory FIP EDG member.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that the Department acted in accordance with policy in determining the Claimant's FIP eligibility.

The Department's FIP eligibility determination is AFFIRMED. It is SO ORDERED.

/s/		
	Kevin	Scully
		Administrative Law Judge
		for Ismael Ahmed, Director
		Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 18, 2010

Date Mailed: October 18, 2010

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

#### KS/alc

cc: