

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2010-50121  
Issue No.: 3003  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Load No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: October 18, 2010  
Oakland County DHS (4)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Lynn M. Ferris

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 18, 2010. The claimant appeared and testified. [REDACTED], FIM appeared on behalf of the Department.

**ISSUE**

Whether the Department properly calculated Claimant's Food Assistance Program ("FAP") benefits with regard to unemployment benefits received by the claimant and child support payments made by the claimant?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant is a FAP recipient and his FAP benefits reduced in February 2010 when the department recalculated the claimant's FAP budget.
2. The department under estimated the amount of child support payments made by the claimant based upon its child support computer search.
3. The department agreed based upon the documents the claimant produced at the hearing which demonstrated child support payments which were actually made by the Claimant to be greater than what the department had originally included in the claimant's FAP budget, to recalculate the child support payments and to recalculate the FAP budgets beginning February 2010 through the month of October 2010.

4. The Department further agreed to supplement the claimant retroactively for any FAP benefits he was otherwise entitled to receive retroactive to February 2010.
5. The Claimant's request for a hearing was received by the Department March 15, 2010 contesting the reduction of his food assistance.
6. As a result of this agreement, Claimant indicated that he no longer wished to proceed with a hearing.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the FAP program pursuant to CML 400.10 *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Under Program Bridges Administrative Manual Item 600, clients have the right to contest any agency decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever they believe the decision is illegal. The agency provides an Administrative Hearing to review the decision and determine if it is appropriate. Agency policy includes procedures to meet the minimal requirements for a fair hearing. Efforts to clarify and resolve the client's concerns start when the agency receives a hearing request and continues through the day of the hearing.

In the present case the department has agreed to recalculate the Claimant's FAP budgets retroactive to February 2010 when his FAP benefits were reduced as a result of the department under counting the child support payments made by the claimant as well as unemployment benefits which were being received by the claimant.

The Department agreed to supplement the Claimant's FAP benefits if required retroactive to February 1, 2010 for FAP benefits he was otherwise entitled to receive and to properly calculate the child support payments actually made as provided in the documents supplied to the department by the claimant at the hearing. The department shall also include the correct unemployment benefits received by the claimant. Once the budgets are recalculated, the department agrees that it will supplement the claimant for any FAP benefits he was otherwise entitled to receive based upon in recomputed budgets. As a result of this agreement, Claimant indicated he no longer wished to

proceed with the hearing. Since the Claimant and the Department have come to an agreement it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to make a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding claimant's request for a hearing.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

1. The Department shall review and recalculate Claimant's FAP benefits and process new FAP budgets beginning February 2010 through October 2010, to include the child support payments actually made by the claimant based upon information and documents provided to the department at the hearing. The Department shall also include unemployment income received by the Claimant.
2. The Department shall supplement the Claimant for any FAP benefits, if any, he was otherwise entitled to receive retroactive to February 1, 2010.



---

Lynn M. Ferris  
Administrative Law Judge  
For Ismael Ahmed, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 10/19/2010

Date Mailed: 10/19/2010

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

201050121/LMF

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.

LMF/jlg

cc:

