# STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg. No: 2010-49522

Issue No: 6015

Case No: Load No:

Hearing Date:

September 21, 2010 Kalamazoo County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Gary F. Heisler

## HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on September 21, 2010. Claimant appeared and testified.

# <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department of Human Services properly deny Claimant's application for Child Development and Care (CDC)?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

(1) On January 19, 2010, Claimant began employment at



- (2) On April 27, 2010, Claimant s ubmitted an applic ation for Child Development and Car e (CDC) benefits. Claimant listed her employment at Video Hits as the need reason for CDC benefits.
- (3) On June 4, 2010, Claimant called her case work er and reported her employment at Video Hits had ended and she was beginning employment through On Staff USA, a temporary placement agency.

- (4) On June 5, 2010, the case worker s ent Claimant a Verification Checklist (DHS Form 3503) and Verification of Employment (DHS Form 38) which were due back on June 15, 2010.
- (5) On June 22, 2010, the Department received a form letter from On Staff USA which stated that as of December 1, 2009 they no longer fill out Verification of Employment (DHS Form 38) but employment information is available on line to their employees.
- (6) On June 24, 2010, Cl aimant's Child Devel opment and Care (CDC) application was denied for failure to provide information needed t o determine eligibility.
- (7) On July 1, 2010, Claimant submitted a request for hearing.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Child Development and Care pr ogram is establis hed by T itles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) provides services to adults and children pursuant to MC L 400.14(1) and M AC R 400.5001-5015. Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Claimant's case worker was not present at the hearing. Claimant te stified that she had turned in employment information about the hearing reported that Claiman t's file did contain a Verification of Employment (DHS Form 38) from which had been used for the Food Assistance Program (FAP). Claimant also testified that she dropped the Verification of Employment (DHS Form 38) of June 5, 2010 off with a receptionist at and was told it would be filled out and sent in and was never told she had to access the employment information.

At the leas t, the evidence shows that t he Department had poss ession of employment verification necessary to process the CDC a pplication when it was received on April 27, 2010.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides the Department of Human Services DID NOT properly deny Claimant's application for Child Development and Care (CDC).

It is ORDERED that the actions of the Department of Human Services, in this matter, are REVERSED.

It is further ORDE RED that CI aimant's April 27, 2010, CDC application be reinstated and processed to include notice of the eligib ility determination. Any benefit s Claimant was otherwise eligible for shall be supplemented.

Gary F. Heisler
Administrative Law Judge
for Ismael Ahmed, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: September 28, 2010

Date Mailed: September 29, 2010

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

### GFH/alc

