STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 2010-49029

Issue No.: 6052

Case No.:

Hearing Date: June 8, 2011 DHS County: Genesee (06)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37, 7 CFR 273.16, MAC R 400.3130, and MAC R 400.3178 upon the Department of Human Service's (Department) request for a di squalification hearing. After due notice, a t elephone hearing was held in Detroit, Mi chigan on J une 8, 2011. The Respondent did not appear. The Department was represented by office of the Inspector General, (OIG).

<u>ISSUES</u>

- (1) Did Respo ndent rece ive an over issuance of CDC be enefits totaling \$2,350 .00 during the period of May 1, 2005, through July 31, 2005?
- (2) Is the Department entitled to recoup \$2,350.00 in CDC benefits?

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Child Development and Care program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Gr ant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by T itle 45 of the Code of F ederal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) provides a ervices to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and MAC R 400.5001-5015. Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

When CDC is requested for a child, each of the following persons who live together must be in the program group:

Each child for whom care is requested; and

Each child's parent(s) or stepparent and

Each child's unmarried, under age 18, sibling(s), stepsiblings or half sibling(s); and

The parent(s) or stepparent of any of the above sibling(s); and

Any other unmarried child(ren) under age 18 whose parent, stepparent or legal guardian is a member of the program group. (BEM 205, p.1).

In the instant case the provider bill ed for 100 hours per week when the respondent ceased working and was terminated from Work First.

BENEFIT OVERISSUANCES: BAM 700, p. 1

DEPARTMENT POLICY

All Programs

When a customer group receiv es more benefits than they are entitled to receive, the department must attempt to recoup the over issuance (OI).

The **Automated Recoupment System (ARS)** is the part of CIMS that tracks all FIP, SD A and FAP OIs and payments, issues automated collection notices and triggers automated benefit reductions for active programs.

An **over issuance (OI)** is the amount of benefits iss ued to the customer group in excess of what they were eligible to receive.

Over issuance T ype identifies the cause of an over issuance.

Recoupment is a department action to identify and recover a benefit over issuance. BAM 700, p.1.

PREVENTION OF OVERISSUANCES

All Programs

The depar tment must inform cu stomers of their reporting responsibilities and act on the information reported within the standard of promptness.

During eligibility determination a nd while the case is active, customers are repeatedly reminded of reporting responsibilities, including:

- acknowledgments on the application form, and
- your explanation at applicat ion/re-determination interviews,
 and
- customer notices and program pamphlets.

The department must prevent Ols by following BAM 105 requirements and by informing the customer or authorized representative of the following:

- Applicants and recipients ar e required by law to give complete and accurate information about their circumstances.
- Applicants and recipients are required by law to promptly notify the department of any changes in c ircumstances within 10 days.
- Incorrect, late reported or omitted information causing an OI can result in cash repayment or benefit reduction.
- A timely hearing request can delete a proposed benefit reduction.

If the department is upheld or the customer fails to appear at the hearing, the customer must repay the OI.

Record on the applic ation the customer's comments and/or questions about the above responsibilities. BAM 700, p.2.

OVERISSUANCE AMOUNT

FIP, SDA, CDC and FAP Only

The amount of the OI is the amount of benefits the group actually received minus the amount the group was eligible to receive. BAM 720, p. 6.

In the instant case, the Department has established by clear and convincing evidence that Respondent was receiving more benefits than allowed.

The Department is entitled to recoup the am ount the Department claims was issued in excess of what the Respondent was eligible to receive. The undersigned has reviewed the CDC p ayment his tories and finds the requested r ecoupment to be correct. The Department is entitled to recoup \$2,350.00 in CDC benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the clear and convincing evidence, decides the following:

- (1) The evidence does establish that the Respondent received an over issuance of the CDC programs. The Department's request to recoup the CDC over issuance is GRANTED.
- (2) The Department is entitled to recover \$2,350.00 in CDC over issuances.

Michael Administrative for

Department

Date Signed: August 4, 2011

Date Mailed: August 4, 2011

J. Bennane Law Judge Maura Corrigan, Director of Human Services

NOTICE: The law provides that within 30 da ys of receipt of the above Decision and Order, the respondent may appeal it to the circuit court fo r the county in which he/she lives.

MJB/cl

CC: