

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No: 201048478  
Issue No: 2009; 4001  
Case No: [REDACTED]  
Load No: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: December 2, 2010  
Genesee County DHS

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Janice Spodarek

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on 12/2/10. Claimant was represented at the administrative hearing by [REDACTED].

**ISSUE**

Did the DHS properly deny claimant's 5/19/10 Medical Assistance (MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA) application?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On 5/19/10 claimant applied for MA-P and SDA with the Michigan DHS.
2. Claimant subsequently reapplied.
3. The department denied both applications. The actual dates are unknown. The individual who has personal knowledge of this case was not available at the administrative hearing for testimony and/or cross examination.
4. The hearing summary indicates claimant was denied on the grounds that she did not represent to the department any factors which would indicate disability. Contrary to that statement, claimant's file prepared for the

administrative hearing contains extensive medical evidence regarding claimant's medical problems.

5. Claimant clearly exhibited medical issues in her testimony in her administrative hearing.
6. On 6/9/10 claimant filed a hearing request.
7. The department did not forward claimant's file to MRT.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

General verification policy and procedure states in part:

#### **DEPARTMENT POLICY**

##### **All Programs**

Clients have rights and responsibilities as specified in this item.

The local office must do **all** of the following:

- . Determine eligibility.
- . Calculate the level of benefits.
- . Protect client rights. BAM, Item 105, p. 1.

##### **All Programs**

Clients must completely and truthfully answer all questions on forms and in interviews. BAM, Item 105, p. 5.

The client might be unable to answer a question about himself or another person whose circumstances must be known. Allow the client at least 10 days (or other timeframe specified in policy) to obtain the needed information. BAM, Item 105, p. 5.

## **Responsibility to Report Changes**

### **All Programs**

This section applies to all groups **except** most FAP groups with earnings.

Clients must report changes in circumstances that potentially affect eligibility or benefit amount. Changes must be reported **within 10 days**:

- . after the client is aware of them, or
- . the start date of employment. BAM, Item 105, p. 7.

## **Verifications**

### **All Programs**

Clients must take actions within their ability to obtain verifications. DHS staff must assist when necessary. See BAM 130 and BEM 702. BAM, Item 105, p. 8.

## **Assisting the Client**

### **All Programs**

The local office must assist clients who ask for help in completing forms (including the DCH-0733-D) or gathering verifications. Particular sensitivity must be shown to clients who are illiterate, disabled or **not** fluent in English. BAM, Item 105, p. 9.

## **Obtaining Verification**

### **All Programs**

Tell the client what verification is required, how to obtain it, and the due date (see "**Timeliness Standards**" in this item). Use the DHS-3503, Verification Checklist, or for MA

redeterminations, the DHS-1175, MA Determination Notice, to request verification. BAM, Item 130, p. 2.

Send a negative action notice when:

- . the client indicates refusal to provide a verification, **or**
- . the time period given has elapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it. BAM, Item 130, p. 4.

### **MA Only**

Send a negative action notice when:

- . the client indicates refusal to provide a verification, **or**
- . the time period given has elapsed. BAM, Item 130, p. 4.

## **VERIFICATION AND COLLATERAL CONTACTS**

### **DEPARTMENT POLICY**

#### **All Programs**

**Verification** means documentation or other evidence to establish the accuracy of the client's verbal or written statements.

Obtain verification when:

- . required by policy. BEM items specify which factors and under what circumstances verification is required.
- . required as a local office option. The requirement **must** be applied the same for every client. Local requirements may **not** be imposed for MA, TMA-Plus or AMP without prior approval from central office.
- . information regarding an eligibility factor is unclear, inconsistent, incomplete or contradictory. The questionable information might be from the client or a third party. BAM, Item 130, p. 1.

Verification is usually required at application/redetermination **and** for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level. BAM, Item 130, p. 1.

The client must obtain required verification, but you must assist if they need and request help. BAM, Item 130, p. 2.

In this case, as noted in the findings of facts, the individual who had personal knowledge of this case was not available for the administrative hearing for testimony and/or cross examination. The information in the hearing summary was not clear and lacked specific dates. Moreover, the hearing summary indicates that claimant's 5/19/10 application and subsequent reapplication was denied on the grounds that claimant did not represent to the department any potential medical problems. At the same time, the file prepared for the administrative hearing contains numerous medical documents which would tend to show that claimant feels that she has medical problems rising to disabling issues as would be relevant to MA-P and/or SDA application. It is noted also that claimant's answer to one question on the application for assistance is indicative of an individual wanting to claim and/or apply for Medicaid disability and cash disability.

Due to the numerous facts as discussed above, the undersigned administrative law judge has reviewed the entire evidentiary file and finds that there is credible and reliable evidence to indicate that the department should have taken an MA-P and SDA application on behalf of claimant. Thus, the department's denial is reversed.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department incorrectly denied claimant's 5/19/10 MA-P and SDA application.

Accordingly, the department's denial is hereby REVERSED.

The department is ordered to reinstate claimant's 5/19/10 application. The department shall process this case as an MA-P and SDA application. In so doing, the department shall follow its policy and procedure by issuing any verification checklists necessary for claimant to comply with any necessary verification(s) to show MA-P/SDA disability.

/s/ \_\_\_\_\_  
Janice Spodarek  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Ismael Ahmed, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 20, 2010

Date Mailed: December 21, 2010

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JS/vc

cc:

A large black rectangular redaction box covers the names of the recipients listed in the 'cc:' field.