STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No:	201048250
Issue No:	2013, 3002
Case No:	
Load No:	
Hearing Da	te:
September	9, 2010
Kalamazoo	County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge by authority of MC L 400.9 and MCL 400.37. Claimant's request fo r a hearing was r eceived on August 3, 2010. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, September 9, 2010.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department of Human Serv ices (Department) properly det ermined the Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) and Medical Assistance (MA) eligibility?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant is an ongoing FAP and MA recipient.
- 2. The Claim ant receiv ed monthly chil d support income in the gross monthly amount of \$ around or March of 2010, \$ around for April of 2010, \$ around for May of 2010, \$ for June of 2010. Department Exhibit 3.
- 3. The Claimant receives monthly Retire ment, Survivors, and Disab ility Insurance (RSDI) in the gross monthly amount of **Department Exhibit 5**.
- On June 14, 2010, the Department determined that the Claimant was not eligible for MA benefits due to excess income. In addition, the Claimant's FAP allotment decreased due to her income. Department Exhibits 12 – 17.

5. The Department received the Claimant's r equest for a hearing on Augus t 3, 2010, protesting the terminat ion of her MA benefits a nd decrease in her F AP allotment.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Servic es (DHS or Department) adm inisters the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et s eq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administ rative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligib ility Manual (BEM), Reference Table Manual (RFT), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerl y known as the Food Stamp program, is established by the Food Stam p Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Feder al Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department), administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Reference Table Manual (RFT), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

All earned and unearned income available to the Claimant is countable. Earned income means income received from another person or organization or from self-employment for duties for duties that were performed for r compensation or profit. Unearned income means all income that is not earned, including but not limited to funds received from the Family Independenc e Program (FIP), State Dis ability Ass istance (SDA), Child Development and Ca re (CDC), Medicaid (MA), Social Security Benefits (RSDI/SSI), Veterans Administration (VA), Unemploy ment Compensation Benefits (UCB), Adu It Medical Program (AMA), alimony, and child support payments. The amount counted may before than the client actually receives because the gross amount is used prior to any deductions. BEM 500.

The Department determines a client's el igibility for program benefits based on the client's act ual income and/or prospective in come. Actual income is income that w as already received. Prospective income is income not yet received but exp ected. Prospective budgeting is the best estimate of the client's future income. BEM 505.

The Department completed a F AP budget to det ermine the Claimant 's eligibility for benefits in July of 2010. The Claimant receives RSDI income and child support income. The Claimant received child support payment s totaling \$ in March of 2010. in April of 2010, and \$ in May of 2010. The Department took the average of these three monthly totals and determined that her prospective child support income was \$ The Claimant receives monthly Supplemental Security Income (SSI) in the gross monthly amount of \$ The sum of these two income sources gives the The Clai mant's adjusted gross incom e of \$ Clamant a total income of \$ is determined by subtracting t he standard deduction of \$ from her total income. An

excess shelter deduction of \$ was determined by adding the Claimant's monthly shelter expenses of \$ to the heat and \$ utility standard under the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program and subtracting half of her adjusted gross income.

The Claim ant's net income of \$ is de termined by subtracting the e xcess shelter deduction from her adjusted gro ss income. A claimant with a group size of o ne and a net income of \$ is entitled to a FAP allotment of \$

On June 14, 2010, the Department notified the Claimant that she was no longer eligible for the Medicare Savings Program or SSI re lated Medicaid due to excess income. The Claimant's average monthly chil d support income for the previous three months was The Claimant receives monthly Retire ment, Survivors, and Disab ility Insurance (RSDI) in the gross monthly amount of **Strue** The sum of these two inc ome sources gives the Clamant a total inco me of **Strue** The income limit to receive SSI related MA benefits is **Strue** Therefore, the Claimant was not eligible to receive benefits.

The Claimant argued that child support payments received at the end of the month are not available in her bank account until t he beginning of the month and that using an average monthly inc ome based on the date payments were disbursed is not an accurate reflection of her monthly income. The Claimant testified that the child support payment disbursed on Ap ril 20, 2010, was higher t han other payments due to an income tax refund.

The Claim ant receiv es child s upport inc ome on a weekly basis and will receiv e a varying number of payments in a giv en month depending how the payment schedule falls on the calendar. Since the Department uses a three month average when income receives is irregular, the timing of child su pport payments received towards the end of the month will not affect her eligibility to receive benefits.

The Dep artment will use the average of child su pport payments received in the past three calendar months, but will n ot include amounts that are unu sual and not expected to continue. BEM 505. If payments for the past three months vary, the Department will discuss the payment pattern from the past with the client and if there are known changes that will affect the amount of the payments for the future, then it will not use the past three months to project income. BEM 505. If the past three months' child sup port is not a go od indicator of future payments, the Department will c alculate an expected monthly amount for the benefit month bas ed on available information and discussions with the client. BEM 505.

The Claim ant received 15 child support payments of \$ and one child support payment of \$ and one child support from Ma rch 1, 2010, through June 30, 2010. The payment the Claimant received on April 20, 2010, is unusual and there nothing suggests that similar payments are expected to continue.

The Department testified that its policies prevent it from re-determining the Claimant's eligibility for MA and FAP benefits for July of 2010, and cited Bridges Eligibility Manual

Item 544. This Administrative Law Judge c annot anything in this policy prohibiting the Department from examining the Claimant's eligibility for past months after an unusual income payment is discovered.

Based on the evidence and testimony availa ble during the hearing, I find that the Department has not established that it used the best information available to determine the Claimant's prospective child support income for July of 2010.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department did not establish that it used the best information available to determine the Claimant's prospective child support income for July of 2010.

Accordingly, the Department's MA and FAP eligibility determination are REVERSED. It is further ORDERED that the Department shall:

- 1. Allow the Claimant the opportunity to clarify whether the child support payment she received on April 20, 2010, is unusual and whether using a three month average was the best method of determining her prospective child support income.
- If it is determined that using a three month average is not the best method of determining prospective child support income to determine the Claimant's eligibility for benefits in July of 2010, then the Department shall initiate a determination of the Claimant's eligibility for MA and FAP benefits effective July 1, 2010, using the best information available to determine the Claimant's prospective income.
- 3. Provide the Claimant with written notification of the Department's revised eligibility determination.
- 4. Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits she may be eligible to receive, if any.

__/s/

Kevin

Scully Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

2010-48250/KS

Date Signed: October 6, 2010

Date Mailed: October 6, 2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

KS/alc

