# STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909 (877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 334-9505

IN TH	E MATTER OF:  Docket No. 2010-47740 HHS
	Appellant/
DECISION AND ORDER	
	matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 2 CFR 431.200 <i>et seq.</i> , upon the Appellant's request for a hearing.
appea Service	due notice, a hearing was held on a presented on the Appellant's behalf.  Appeals and Review Officer, represented the Department.  Adult Services Supervisor, were present as a strength of the present as a strength of th
ISSUI	<u>E</u>
	Did the Department properly deny the Appellant's Home Help Services application?
FINDI	NGS OF FACT
	Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantiance on the whole record, finds as material fact:
1.	In second, the Appellant contacted the Department to apply for Home Help Services and provided an address on (Adult Services Worker Testimony and Exhibit 1, pages 4-7)
2.	On after sending a Home Call Letter giving notice of the appointment, the Adult Services Worker attempted to conduct a home visit to a the but the Appellant was not home. (Adult Services Worker Testimony and Exhibit 1, pages 4-6)
3.	The Appellant was moving in the Adult Service Worker by telephone to re-schedule the home visit once she received the Home Call Letter. The Appellant was unable to reach the Adult Services Worker and often was unable to leave a voicemail message. (Appellant Testimony)

# Docket No. 2010-47740 HHS Hearing Decision & Order

- 4. On Report of the Adult Services Worker sent the Appellant an Adequate Negative Action Notice indicating her Home Help Services application was denied because she was not home for the scheduled appointment. (Exhibit 1, pages 4-6)
- 5. On section of the State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules received the Appellant's Requests for Hearing. (Exhibit 1, page 3)

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

Home Help Services (HHS) are provided to enable functionally limited individuals to live independently and receive care in the least restrictive, preferred settings. These activities must be certified by a health professional and may be provided by individuals or by private or public agencies.

Adult Services Manual (ASM 362 and 363), addresses Home Help Services reviews:

#### **CONTACTS**

The worker must, at a minimum, have a face to face interview with the client **and** care provider, prior to case opening, then every six months, in the client's home, at review and redetermination.

Adult Services Manual (ASM 362), 12-1-2007 Page 3 of 5.

## **COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT**

The Adult Services Comprehensive Assessment (FIA-324) is the primary tool for determining need for services. The comprehensive assessment will be completed on all open cases, whether a home help payment will be made or not. ASCAP, the automated workload management system provides the format for the comprehensive assessment and all information will be entered on the computer program.

Requirements for the comprehensive assessment include, but are not limited to:

 A comprehensive assessment will be completed on all new cases.

## Docket No. 2010-47740 HHS Hearing Decision & Order

- A face-to-face contact is required with the client in his/her place of residence.
- An interview must be conducted with the caregiver, if applicable.
- Observe a copy of the client's social security card.
- Observe a picture I.D. of the caregiver, if applicable.
- The assessment must be updated as often as necessary, but minimally at the six-month review and annual redetermination.
- A release of information must be obtained when requesting documentation from confidential sources and/or sharing information from the department record.
- Follow specialized rules of confidentiality when ILS cases have companion APS cases.

**REVIEWS** ILS cases must be reviewed every six months. A face-to-face contact is required with the client, in the home. If applicable, the interview must also include the caregiver.

Adult Services Manual (ASM 363), 9-1-2008, Pages 2 and 6 of 24

In the present case, the Adult Services Worker testified that she scheduled the required home visit for She stated that a letter notifying the Appellant of this appointment was sent in advance of the appointment to the Appellant provided with her request for Home Help Services in Services in Services in Services in Services (See Exhibit 1, page 7) The contact index screen print out shows two home call letters were sent services and Services Worker testified that she attempted to complete the home visit on Services Worker testified that she attempted to complete the home visit on Services Worker testified that she attempted to complete the home visit on Services Worker testified that she attempted to complete the home visit on Services Worker testified that she attempted to complete the home visit on Services Worker testified that she attempted to complete the home visit on Services Worker testified that she attempted to complete the home visit on Services Worker testified that she attempted to complete the home visit on Services Worker testified that she attempted to complete the home visit on Services Worker testified that she attempted to complete the home visit on Services Worker testified that she attempted to complete the home visit on Services Worker testified that she attempted to complete the home visit on Services Worker testified that she attempted to complete the home visit on Services Worker testified that she attempted to complete the home visit on Services Worker testified that she attempted to complete the home visit on Services Worker testified that she attempted to complete the home visit on Services Worker testified that she attempted to complete the home visit on Services Worker testified that she attempted to complete the home visit on Services Worker testified that she attempted to complete the home visit on Services Worker testified that she attempted to complete the home visit on Services Worker testified that she attempted to complete the home visit on Services Worker testified that she

The Appellant testified that she was moving in and was not available for the scheduled appointment. The Appellant stated that once she received the Home Call Letter, she tried to contact the Adult Services Worker by phone to reschedule the appointment. The Appellant explained that she made multiple telephone calls, and left voicemail messages when she could, but the Adult Services Worker's voicemail was often full. The Appellant stated she also attempted to update her address with the Adult Service Worker's supervisor. The Appellant testified that she was able to update her address with her Medicaid worker.

The above cited policy clearly requires a home visit as part of completing a comprehensive assessment on all new cases to determine the need for services. Upon review of the evidence in this case, there was clearly a breakdown in communication between the Appellant and the Adult Services Worker. The Adult Services Worker was

## Docket No. 2010-47740 HHS Hearing Decision & Order

unable to complete the required home visit because the Appellant was in the process of moving and had not received the Appellant's messages requesting that the appointment be rescheduled. Unfortunately, without the completion of a home visit and comprehensive assessment, no determination was ever made that the Appellant is eligible for the Home Help Services program and if so, what services were needed. Accordingly, the Department's denial the Appellant's Home Help Services application must be upheld.

If she has not already done so, the Appellant can re-apply for the Home Help Services program. The Department would then schedule a new home visit and complete a comprehensive assessment to determine the Appellant's need for services.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department properly denied the Appellant's May 2010 Home Help Services application.

#### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

The Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Colleen Lack
Administrative Law Judge
for Janet Olszewski, Director
Michigan Department of Community Health

CC:



Date Mailed: 11/10/2010

#### \*\*\* NOTICE \*\*\*

The State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.