STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No: 201047632

Issue No: 6019

Case No: Load No:

Hearing Date:

September 21, 2010 Ingham County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge by authority of MC L 400.9 and MCL 400.37. Claim ant's request for a hearing was received on May 26, 2010. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Tuesday, September 21, 2010.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department of Human Serv ices (Department) properly det ermined the Claimant's Child Development and Care (CDC) eligibility?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- The Claimant was an ongoing CDC recipient.
- 2. The Claimant receives monthly earned in come in the gross monthly amount of
- 3. On May 17, 2010, the Department completed a CDC budget, which determined that the Claimant was ineligible for CDC benefits due to excess income.
- 4. The Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing on May 26, 2010, protesting the termination of her CDC benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Child Development and Care program is established by T itles IVA, IVE, and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Gr ant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by T itle 45 of the Code of F ederal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department) provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and M AC R 400.5001-5015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Reference Table Manual (RFT), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

All earned and unearned income available to the Claimant is countable. Earned income means income received from another person or organization or from self-employment for duties for duties that were performed for compensation or profit. Unearned income e means all income that is not earned, including but not limited to funds received from the Family Independence Program (FIP), State Disability Assistance (SDA), Child Development and Care (CDC), Medicaid (MA), Social Security Benefits (RSDI/SSI), Veterans Administration (VA), Unemploy ment Compensation Benefits (UCB), Adult Medical Program (AMA), alimony, and child support payments. The amount counted may before than the client actually receives because the gross amount is used prior to any deductions. BEM 500.

The Claimant was an ongoing CDC recipient as a group of four w hen she reported an increase in earned income. The Claimant receives monthly earned income in the gross monthly amount of \$ On May 17, 2010, the Department completed a CDC budget which determined that the Claima nt was in eligible for CDC bene fits due to excess income. The income eligibility limit to receive CDC benefits for a group of four is \$ RFT 270.

The Claimant argued that she is deserving of CDC be nefits. The Claimant testified that she is a single mother that does not receiv e child support from her children's father. The Claimant testified that terminating her benefits due to in creased income is likely to result in her further dependence on welfare assistance rather than her self-sufficiency.

However, the claimant's grievance centers on dissatisfaction with the department's current policy. The c laimant's request is not within the scope of authority delegated to this Administrative Law Judge. Administ rative Law Judges have no authority to make decisions on constitutional grounds, overrule statutes, overrule promulgated regulations, or make exceptions to the department policy set out in the program manuals. Furthermore, administrative adjudication is an exercise of executive power rather than judicial power, and restricts the granting of equitable remedies. Michigan Mutual Liability Co. v Baker, 295 Mich 237; 294 NW 168 (1940).

Based on the evidence and testimony available during the hearing, the Department has established that it act ed in acc ordance with policy when it terminated the Claimant's CDC benefits due to excess income.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that the Department acted in accordance with policy in determining the Claimant's CDC eligibility.

The Department's CDC eligibility determination is AFFIRMED. It is SO ORDERED.

| Kevin | Scully |
|-------|------------------------------|
| | Administrative Law Judge |
| | for Ismael Ahmed, Director |
| | Department of Human Services |
| | Kevin |

Date Signed: October 6, 2010

Date Mailed: October 7, 2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

KS/alc

cc: