# STATE OF MICHIGAN <br> STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES <br> ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES 

## IN THE MATTER OF:



ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

## HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on September 2, 2010. The Claimant appeared and testified. $\quad$ FIS appeared on behalf of the Department.

## ISSUE

Was the Department correct in denying Claimant's FAP application?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:
(1) Claimant applied for FAP benefits in June 2010.
(2) Claimant's application for FAP was denied on June 27, 2010 because of excess income.
(3) Claimant requested a hearing on July 8, 2010 contesting the denial of her FAP application.
(4) The Department agreed to reinstate and process Claimant's FAP application going back to the date of application and consider shelter verification and verification of stopped employment for Starter's in the determination of her FAP benefits.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program, formerly known as the Food Stamp ("FS") program, is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR"). The

Department of Human Services ("DHS"), formerly known as the Family Independence Agency, administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Departmental policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Program Reference Manual ("PR").

Under Bridges Administrative Manual Item 600, clients have the right to contest any agency decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever they believe the decision is illegal. The agency provides an Administrative Hearing to review the decision and determine if it is appropriate. Agency policy includes procedures to meet the minimal requirements for a fair hearing. Efforts to clarify and resolve the client's concerns start when the agency receives a hearing request and continues through the day of the hearing.

In the present case, the parties reached an agreement, whereby the Department agreed to reinstate and process Claimant's FAP application going back to the date of application and consider shelter verification and verification of stopped employment with Starter's in the determination of her FAP benefits. Since the Claimant and the Department have come to an agreement it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to make a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

## DECISION AND ORDER

The Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing. Therefore it is ORDERED that the Department reinstate and process Claimant's FAP application going back to the date of application and consider shelter verification and verification of stopped employment with Starter's in the determination of her FAP benefits, in accordance with this settlement agreement.


Administrative Law Judge
For Ismael Ahmed, Director
Department of Human Services
Date Signed: September 15, 2010
Date Mailed: September 15, 2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.
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