STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg. No: 2010-44407

Issue No: 1038

Case No:

Load No:

Hearing Date: August 24, 2010

Berrien County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Gary F. Heisler

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on August 24, 2010. Claimant appeared and testified.

ISSUE

Did the Department of Human Services properly sanction Claimant's Family

Independence Program (FIP) case for failure to participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

(1) Claimant was an ongoing recipient of Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits. Claimant was a mandatory participant in the Michigan Works Agency/Jobs Education and Training Program (JET).

- (2) On December 3, 2008, Claimant signed a First Non-Compliance Letter (DHS 754) agreeing that she was non-compliant without her assigned employment and/or self-sufficiency activities, good cause.
- (3) On June 2, 2010, the Michigan Works Agency/Jobs Education and Training Program (JET) recorded that Claimant missed an assignment because her activity tracking log was not properly filled out.
- (4) On June 9, 2010, the Michigan Works Agency/Jobs Education and Training Program (JET) Claimant had a scheduled job search check in meeting between 8:00am to 9:00am. Claimant did not log in until 10:18am. This event was recorded as a missed an assignment.
- (5) On June 16, 2010, the Michigan Works Agency/Jobs Education and Training Program (JET) requested a triage due to Claimant's failure to participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities.
- (6) On July 14, 2010, Claimant was sent a Notice of Non-Compliance (DHS-2444) which scheduled a triage meeting for July 21, 2010.
- (7) On July 21, 2010, Claimant participated in the triage meeting. The Department determined there was no good cause for Claimant's failure to participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities. Claimant submitted a timely request for hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC

R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Department policy provides the following guidance for case workers. The Department's policies are available on the internet through the Department's website.

FAILURE TO MEET EMPLOYMENT AND/OR SELFSUFFICIENCY-RELATED REQUIREMENTS: FIP

DEPARTMENT PHILOSOPHY

FIP

DHS requires clien ts to partic ipate in employment and self-sufficiency related activities and to accept employment when offered. Our focus is to assist clients in removing barriers so they can participate in activities which lead to self-sufficiency. However, there are consequences for a client who refuses to participate, without good cause.

The goal of the FIP pe nalty policy is to obtain client compliance with appropriate work and/or self -sufficiency related assig nments and to ensure that barriers to such compliance have been identified and removed. The goal is to bring the client into compliance.

Noncompliance m ay be an indica tor of possible disabilities. Consider further exploration of any barriers.

DEPARTMENT POLICY

FIP

All Work Eligible Individual (WEI) and adult n on-WEIs (except ineligible grantees, clients deferred for lack of child care (DC) and disqualified aliens), see BEM 228, who fail, without good cause, to participate in employment or self-sufficiency-related activities, must be penalized.

Depending on the case situation, penalties include the following:

- Delay in eligibility at application.
- Ineligibility (denial or termination of FIP with no minimum penalty period).
- Case closure for a minimum of three or 12 months.

See B EM 233B for the Food Assistance Program (FAP) policy when the F IP penalty is closur e. For the Refugee Assis tance Program (RAP) penalty policy, see BEM 233C.

NONCOMPLIANCE

WITH EMPLOYMENT AND/OR SELFSUFFICIENCY RELATED ACTIVITIES

As a condition of eligibility, all WEIs and non-WEIs must work or engage in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities.

Noncompliance of applicants, recipients, or m ember adds m eans doing **any** of the following **without** good cause:

Exception: Do not apply the three or 12 month penalty to ineligible caretakers, clients deferred for lack of child care (DC) and disqualified aliens. Failure to complete a FAST or FSSP results in closure due to failure to provide requested verification.

Clients can reapply at any time.

- Failing or refusing to:
 - •• Appear and participate with the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other em ployment service provider.
 - •• Complete a Fam ily Autom ated Screen ing Tool (FAST), as assigned as the firs t step in the F SSP process.

Note: FIS should clear the FAST Fa ll Out Report and any FAST confirmation infor mation the client has obtained before considering a client noncompliant for FAST non-completion.

•• Develop a Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) or a Personal Responsibility Plan and Family Contract (PRPFC).

Note: FIS must have scheduled a FSSP completion appointment with the client and the client tailed to attend before considering a client noncompliant for FSSP non-completion.

- •• Comply with activ ities assigned to on the Family Self Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) or PRPFC.
- •• Provide le gitimate d ocumentation of work participation.
- Appear for a scheduled appointment or meeting.
- •• Participate in em ployment and/o r s elf-sufficiencyrelated activities.
- Accept a job referral.
- •• Complete a job application.
- •• Appear for a job in terview (see the exception below).
- Stating orally or in writing a definite intent not to comply with program requirements.
- Threatening, physically a busing or otherwise behaving disruptively toward anyone c onducting or participating in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activity.
- Refusing employm ent suppor t services if the refusal prevents p articipation in an em ployment and/or selfsufficiency-related activity.

Refusing Suitable Employment.

Refusing suitable employment means doing any of the following:

- Voluntarily reducing hours or otherwise reducing earnings.
- Quitting a job (see exception below).

Exception: This does NOT apply if:

- •• The MWA verifies the client changed jobs or reduced hours in order to participate in an MW A approved education and training program.
- •• A teen parent or dep endent child q uits a seaso nal job to return to a high school or GED program.
- Firing for m isconduct or absenteeism (not for incompetence).

Note: Misconduct sufficient to warrant firing includes any action by an employee or other adult group member that is harmful to the interest of the em ployer, and is done intentionally or in disregard of the employer's interest, or is due to gross negligence. It includes but is not lim ited to drug or alco hol influence at work, physical violence, and thef t or willful des truction of property connected with the individual's work.

• Refusing a bona fide offer of e mployment or additional hours **up to** 40 hours per week. A bona fide offer of employment means a definite offer paying wages of at least the applicable state m inimum wage. The em ployment may be on a shift; full or part time **up to** 40 hours per week; and temporary, seasonal or permanent.

GOOD CAUSE FOR NONCOMPLIANCE

Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency-related act ivities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. A claim of good cause must be verified and documented for member adds and recipients.

NONCOMPLIANCE

PENALTIES FOR ACTIVE FIP CAS ES AND ME MBER ADDS

The penalty for noncompliance without good cause is FIP closure.

Effective April 1, 2007, the following minimum penalties apply:

• For the first occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for 3 calendar months unless the client is excused from the noncompliance as noted in "First Case Noncom pliance Without Loss of Benefits" below.

- For the second occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for 3 calendar months.
- For the third and subsequent occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for 12 calendar months.

The penalty counter also begins April 1, 2007 regardless of the previous number of noncompliance penalties.

Begin the sanction period with the effirst pay period of a month. Penalties are automeatically calculated be yether entry of noncompliance without good cause on the FSSP. This applies to active FIP cases, including those with a member add who is a WEI JET participant.

TRIAGE

JET partic ipants will not be te minated f rom a JET program without first scheduling a "triage" meeting with the client to jointly discuss noncompliance and good cause. Locally coordinate a process to notify the MW A case manager of triage meetings including scheduling guidelines.

Clients can eithe r attend a m eeting or par ticipate in a conf erence call if atten dance at the triage m eeting is not p ossible. If a client calls to reschedule an already sc heduled triage m eeting, offer a phone conference at that tim e. Clients m ust comply with triage requirements within the negative action period.

When a phone triage is conducted for a first noncom pliance and the client agrees to com ply, complete the DHS-754, First Noncompliance Letter, as you would complete in a triage meeting. Note in the clien t sig nature box "Client Agreed by Phone". Immediately send a copy of the DHS-754 to the client and phone the JET case manager if the compliance activity is to attend JET.

Determine good cause based on the best inform ation available during the triage and prior to the negative action date. Good cause may be verified by information already on file with DHS or MWA. (BEM 233A)

Claimant did not dispute that the activity log she submitted on June 2, 2010 was not filled out properly. Claimant testified that ALL of her activity logs have been filled out the same way.

On September 22, 2008 Claimant received and signed for the JET programs job search activities

rules and requirements. Those requirements specifically state that all information on the logs must be completed and that if logs are turned in but not complete, it may be counted as a missed assignment. Claimant has raised no valid good cause reason for this missed assignment.

Regarding the missed assignment on June 9, 2010, Claimant did not dispute being late but testified that she did turn in her log that day. No good cause exists for this missed assignment.

Other instances of alleged non-compliance were presented by the Department at this hearing. These additional instances were discovered after the triage meeting and were not discussed at the triage meeting. Information regarding these additional instances were included in the hearing packet sent to Claimant prior to this hearing. Testimony and documentation regarding the additional instances was include in this hearing record. However, these additional instances are not valid issues in deciding this case because they were not part of the original determination by the Department of Human Services to sanction Claimant's case.

Also at this hearing Claimant asserted it would not be her second non-compliance.

Claimant based that assertion on the fact that her Family Independence Program (FIP) case had not previously been sanctioned. Instances of non-compliance and the number of sanctions on a case are not the same thing. In December 2008 Claimant signed a First Non-Compliance Letter (DHS-754) agreeing that she was non-compliant. While she subsequently completed a compliance test and was not sanctioned, the instance of non-compliance was not erased, only forgiven.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides the Department of Human Services properly sanctioned Claimant's Family

2010-44407/GFH

Independence Program (FIP) case for failure to participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities.

It is ORDERED that the actions of the Department of Human Services, in this matter, are UPHELD.

/s/

Gary F. Heisler
Administrative Law Judge
for Ismael Ahmed, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 27, 2010

Date Mailed: August 30, 2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not o rder a rehe aring or re consideration on the Departm ent's motion where the final decision cannot be implem ented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

GFH/alc

