STATE OF MICHIGAN

STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201044209

Issue No.: 3008

Case No.: Load No.:

Hearing Date: August 18, 2010

Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 18, 2010. The Claimant appeared and testified.

FIM and Exercise Section 18, 2010. The Claimant appeared and testified.

ISSUE

Was the Department correct in closing Claimant's Food Assistance benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant was an FAP recipient.
- (2) Claimant was sent a redetermination packet and telephone interview notice on May 12, 2010 with a June 3, 2010 interview date.
- (3) Claimant did not appear at the scheduled interview and did not return the redetermination packet.
- (4) Notice of missed interview was sent to Claimant on June 3, 2010.
- (5) Claimant's FAP benefits were closed on June 30, 2010 for failure to provide verifications.

- (6) Claimant requested a hearing on July 2, 2010 contesting the closure of FAP benefits.
- (7) Claimant submitted pay stubs for May showing gross earned income of \$1871.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program, formerly known as the Food Stamp ("FS") program, is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR"). The Department of Human Services ("DHS"), formally known as the Family Independence Agency, administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq* and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Departmental policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Program Reference Manual ("PRM").

Clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility to provide verification. BAM 130, p. 1. The questionable information might be from the client or a third party. <u>Id.</u> The Department can use documents, collateral contacts or home calls to verify information. <u>Id.</u> The client should be allowed 10 calendar days to provide the verification. If the client cannot provide the verification despite a reasonable effort, the time limit to provide should be extended at least once. BAM 130, p.4; BEM 702. If the client refuses to provide the information or has not made a reasonable effort within the specified time period, then policy directs that a negative action be issued. BAM 130, p. 4. Before making an eligibility determination, however, the department must give the client a reasonable opportunity to resolve any discrepancy between his statements and information from another source. BAM 130, p. 6.

In the present case, Claimant failed to provide redetermination papers prior to the deadline and failed to appear at the scheduled interview as required by Department policy. BAM 130. This Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant failed to provide required verifications, therefore closure of Claimant's FAP benefits was correct and proper. It should also be noted that Claimant had gross earned income of \$1871 in May 2010 from his employment with the person household for the FAP program is \$1174. RFT 250. Claimant's FAP benefits could have closed for excess gross income also.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law decides that the Department was correct in the closure of Claimant's FAP benefits, and it is ORDERED that the Department's decision is

201044209/AM

hereby AFFIRMED.

/s/

Aaron McClintic Administrative Law Judge For Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Am Mileti

Date Signed: August 27, 2010

Date Mailed: August 27, 2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.

AM/hw

CC:

