STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg. No: 2010-42878 Issue No: 1038 Case No: Load No: Hearing Date: August 10, 2010 Marquette County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Gary F. Heisler

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on August 10, 2010. Claimant appeared and testified.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department of Human Services properly sanction Claimant's Family

Independence Program (FIP) case for failure to participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

 Claimant was an ongoing recipient of Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits. Claimant was a mandatory participant in the Michigan Works Agency/Jobs Education and Training Program (JET). (2) On March 26, 2010, Claimant requested that her newborn and the child's father,
be added to her Family Independence Program (FIP) case. They were added and
was referred to the Michigan Works Agency/Jobs Education and Training Program (JET)

(3) On March 30, 2010, Claimant was sent a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605)
 stating both her newborn and the been added and that her Food Assistance Program
 (FAP) benefits were increased.

(4) On April 12, 2010, complete orientation at JET.

(5) On April 24, 2010, Claimant was sent a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605)stating her Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits would increase.

(6) On April 27, 2010, the Michigan Works Agency/Jobs Education and Training Program (JET) requested a triage due to **a complete activity** logs for the week of April 18, 2010, **b** was sent a Notice of Non-Compliance (DHS-2444). At the ensuing triage meeting the Department found there was no good cause for **b** failure to participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities. **b** agreed to a compliance test but no First Non-Compliance Letter (DHS-754) was filled out or signed.

(7) On May 25, 2010, Claimant was sent a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605) stating her Family Independence Program (FIP) case would be sanctioned.

(8) On May 26, 2010, the Michigan Works Agency/Jobs Education and Training
Program (JET) requested a triage due to failure to engage in any activity since May 4,
2010, was sent a Notice of Non-Compliance (DHS-2444) which scheduled a triage
meeting on June 1, 2010.

(9) On June 1, 2010, did not participate in the triage meeting. Claimant

2

2010-42878/GFH

attended and was telephoned but did not answer his phone. The Department determined there was no good cause for failure to participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities.

(10) On July 6, 2010, Claimant submitted a request for hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

In this case it is undisputed that **a second** was noncompliant with the JET program. Claimant asserted alternate arguments against her Family Independence Program (FIP) case being sanctioned. First she argued that **a second** had left the household. When specifically asked Claimant testified that **a second** was in the home when the first Notice of Non-Compliance (DHS-2444) came and that he left the state about a week before the second Notice of Non-Compliance (DHS-2444) came. Second she argued that she never requested to add **a second** to her case and he was never really a member of her household. Department policy provides the following guidance for case workers. The Department's policies are available on the internet through the Department's website.

3

FIP GROUP COMPOSITION

DEPARTMENT PHILOSOPHY

The Department of Hum an Services (DHS) believes that children are best served by living in supportive family settings. The mutual responsibility of fa mily m embers for each other and their commitment to caring f or each o ther are key to build ing strong families. Parents are responsible for the care and support of their minor children. In the absence of p arents, children may be cared for by other adults having specific relationships to the children. Spouses are responsible for each other. All needy family members living together are expected to share income, assets, and expenses.

DEPARTMENT POLICY FIP

Group composition is the determination of which individuals living together are included in the FI P eligibility de termination group (EDG) and the FIP certified group. To be eligible for FIP, a child must live with a leg al parent, stepparent or other qualifying caretaker.

DEFINITIONS

Caretaker

A **caretaker** is a legal parent or stepparent living in the hom e, or when no legal parent or stepparent lives in the home, another adult who acts as a parent to a depe ndent child by providing physical care and supervision. S ee Who May Be a FIP Caretaker?, later in this item.

Certified Group

The certified group m eans those in dividuals in the FIP EDG who meet all non-financial FIP eligibi lity factors. Countable income and assets of certified group members are considered in determining FIP eligibility. Certified group members have a FIP EDG participation status of **Eligible Child** or **Eligible Adult**.

Note: The FIP paym ent stand ard is based on the FIP certified group size.

Dependent Child

A **dependent child** is an unem ancipated child who lives with a caretaker and is one of the following:

- Under age 18.
- Age 18 or 19 and a full-tim e high school student expected to graduate before age 2 0. See BEM 245, for definition of high school.

Note: See definition of Emancipated, later in this item.

Eligibility Determination Group

The elig ibility de termination gro up, (EDG) m eans those individuals living together whos e inf ormation is nee ded to determine FIP eligib ility. Based on data entry, an d rules programmed into the system, Bridges assigns an EDG participation status to each member of the household.

EDG Participation Status

The FIP EDG participation status explains the role the individual plays in the FIP eligibility dete rmination. Individuals having a FIP EDG participation status other than **Excluded Adult** or **Excluded Child**, are included in the FIP EDG. The countable in come and assets of individuals having an **eligible** or **disqualified** FIP EDG participation status are considered in determining FIP eligibility.

Emancipated

A child is **emancipated** if any of the following:

- Ever validly married.
- Emancipated by court order.
- On active duty with the armed forces of the United States.

Joint Physical Custody

Joint physical custody occurs when parents or other caretakers alternate taking responsibility for the child's day-to-day care and supervision in separate hom es. It may be included in a court order or m ay be an infor mal arrangement between parents or other caretakers.

Living Together

Living together means sharing a hom e where fam ily mem bers usually sleep except for temporary absences.

Primary Caretaker

The **Primary Careta ker** is the care taker who is pr imarily responsible for the child's day-to -day care and supervision in the home where the child sleeps more than half of the days in a month, when averaged over a twelve-m onth period. The twelve-month period begins at the time the determination is being made.

Absent Caretaker

Once a caretaker is determ ined to be the Pr imary Caretaker, the child's other caretakers are considered **Absent Caretakers**.

Temporary Absence

A temporarily absent person is considered to be living in the home when **all** of the following are true:

- Individual's location is known.
- There is a definite plan to return.
- The individual lived with the FIP E DG before the absence (newborns are considered to have lived with the FIP EDG).
- The absence has lasted or is expected to last 30 days or less. (BEM 210)

FAILURE TO MEET EMPLOYMENT AND/OR SELFSUFFICIENCY-RELATED REQUIREMENTS: FIP

DEPARTMENT PHILOSOPHY

FIP

DHS requires clien ts to partic ipate in em ployment and self sufficiency related activities and to accept employm ent when offered. Our focus is to assist clients in removing barriers so they can participate in ac tivities which lead to self-sufficiency. However, there are consequences for a client who refuses to participate, without good cause. The goal of the FIP pe nalty policy is to obtain client com pliance with appropriate work and/or self -sufficiency related assig nments and to ensure that barriers to such compliance have been identified and removed. The goal is to bring the client into compliance.

Noncompliance m ay be an indica tor of possible disabilities. Consider further exploration of any barriers.

DEPARTMENT POLICY

FIP

All Work Eligible Individual (WEI) and adult n on-WEIs (except ineligible grantees, clients deferred for lack of child care (DC) and disqualified aliens), see BEM 228, who fail, without good cause, to participate in em ployment or se lf-sufficiency-related activ ities, must be penalized.

Depending on the case situation, penalties include the following:

- Delay in eligibility at application.
- Ineligibility (denial or termination of FIP with no minimum penalty period).
- Case closure for a minimum of three or 12 months.

See B EM 233B for the Food Assistance Program (FAP) policy when the F IP penalty is closur e. For the Refugee Assis tance Program (RAP) penalty policy, see BEM 233C.

NONCOMPLIANCE WITH EMPLOYMENT AND/OR SELFSUFFICIENCY RELATED ACTIVITIES

As a condition of eligibility, all WEIs and non-WEIs must work or engage in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities.

Noncompliance of applicants, recipients, or m ember adds m eans doing **any** of the following **without** good cause:

Exception: Do not apply the three or 12 month penalty to ineligible caretakers, clients deferred for lack of child care and disqualified aliens.

Failure to complete a FAST or FSSP results in closure due to failure to provide requested verification. Clients can reapply at any time.

- Failing or refusing to:
 - •• Appear and participate with the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other em ployment service provider.
 - •• Complete a Fam ily Autom ated Screen ing Tool (FAST), as assigned as the first s tep in the FSSP process.

Note: FIS should clear any alerts relating to rejected JET refunds and any FAST confirmation information the client has obtained before considering a client noncompliant for FAST completion.

•• Develop a Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP).

Note: FIS must have scheduled a FSSP completion appointment with the client and the client failed to attend before considering a client noncompliant for FSSP completion.

- Comply with activities assigned on the FSSP.
- •• Provide le gitimate d ocumentation of work participation.
- •• Appear for a scheduled appointment or m eeting related to assigned activities.
- •• Participate in em ployment and/o r s elf-sufficiencyrelated activities.
- •• Accept a job referral.
- •• Complete a job application.
- •• Appear for a job interview (see the exception below).
- Stating orally or in writing a definite intent not to com ply with program requirements.

- Threatening, physically a busing or otherwise behaving disruptively toward anyone c onducting or participating in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activity.
- Refusing employment support services if the refusal prevents participation in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activity.

GOOD CAUSE FOR NONCOMPLIANCE

Good cause is a valid reason for noncom pliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency-related act ivities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncom pliant person. A claim of good cause must be verified and docum ented for member adds and recipients.

NONCOMPLIANCE PENALTIES FOR ACTIVE FIP CASES AND MEMBER ADDS

The penalty for noncompliance without good cause is FIP closure.

Effective April 1, 2007, the following minimum penalties apply:

- For the first occurrence on the FIP case, clos e the FIP for 3 calendar months unless the client is excused from the noncompliance as noted in "First Case Noncom pliance Without Loss of Benefits" below.
- For the second occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for 3 calendar months.
- For the third and subsequent occu rrence on the FIP cas e, close the FIP for 12 calendar months.

The penalty counter also begins April 1, 2007 regardless of the previous number of noncompliance penalties.

Begin the sanction period with the first pay period of a month. Penalties are autom atically calculated by the entry of noncompliance without good cause on the FSSP. This applies to active FIP cases, including those with a member add who is a WEI JET participant.

TRIAGE

JET partic ipants will not be te rminated f rom a JET program without first scheduling a "triage" meeting with the client to jointly discuss noncom pliance and good cause. Locally coordinate a process to notify the MW A case manager of triage m eetings including scheduling guidelines.

Clients can eithe r attend a m eeting or par ticipate in a conf erence call if atten dance at the triage m eeting is not p ossible. If a client calls to reschedule an already sc heduled triage m eeting, offer a phone conference at that tim e. Clients m ust com ply with triage requirements within the negative action period.

When a phone triage is conducted for a first noncom pliance and the client agrees to com ply, complete the DHS-754, First Noncompliance Letter, as you would com plete in a triage meeting. Note in the clien t sig nature box "Client Agreed by Phone". Immediately send a copy of the DHS-754 to the client and phone the JET case manager if the compliance activity is to attend JET. Determine good cause based on the best inform ation available during the triage and prior to the negative action date. Good cause may be verified by information already on file with DHS or MWA.

PROCESSING THE FIP CLOSURE

Follow the procedure s outlined b elow f or process ing the FIP closure:

- Send a DHS-2444, Notice of Employm ent and/or Self-Sufficiency- Related Noncom pliance, within three days after learning of the noncom pliance. You must include the following information on the DHS-2444:
 - •• The date(s) of the noncompliance.
 - •• The reason the client was determ ined to be noncompliant.
 - •• The penalty that will be imposed.
 - •• Schedule a triage to be held within the negative action period.
 - Determine good cause during triage and prior to the negative action effective date. Good cause must be verified

and can be based on infor mation already on file with the DHS or the JET program.

Document the good cause determ ination on the sanction detail screen.

First Case Noncompliance Without Loss of Benefits

If the noncompliant client m eets or if a phone triage is held with a FIS and/or the JET case m anager and the decision regarding the noncompliance is *No Good Cause*", do the following:

- 1. Discuss and provide a DHS -754, First Noncom pliance Letter, regarding sanctions that will be imposed if the client continues to be noncompliant.
- 2. Offer the client the opportunity to comply with the FSSP by the due date on the DHS-754 and within the negative action period.
- 3. Advise the client that the instance of noncom pliance will remain on record ev en if the client co mplies. The noncompliance will be excuse d, but not erased and could result in longer sanctions if the client is noncompliant in the future.
- 4. If the client **accepts** the offer to comply and agrees with the department's decision of noncompliance without good cause, use the first check box on the DHS-754 and document com pliance activ ities. Include the num ber of hours of participation the client must perform to meet the compliance activ ity re quirement. Advise the client that verification of the com pliance is required by the due da te on the DHS-754.
- 5. When a phone triage is conducted for a first noncompliance and the client agrees to comply, complete the DHS-754 as you would complete for an in-person triage meeting. When completing the form note in the client signature box *Client Agreed by Phone*. Immediately send a copy of the DHS-754 to the client and phone the JE T case m anager if the compliance activity is to a ttend JET and the JET cas e manager was not included in the phone triage.
- 6. Enter the outcome of the *Excuse Offer* in Bridges.

7. When the client verifies compliance before the *Excuse Offer* due d ate and is meeting the assigned a ctivity that corrects the noncompliance, reinstate the case with no loss of benefits.

Note: Verification of completion of a compliance activity may include a signed copy of the DHS-754 or any collateral contact with JET or other service provider.

- 8. If the client **does not accept** the offer to comply, document the decision in Bridges
- 9. If the client **disagrees** with the departm ent's decision of noncompliance without good cause, use the second check box on the DHS-754 that advises th e client not to sign the form. Assist the clien t with f iling a hearing r equest and advise them that if they lose the hearing, they will receive a new notice of noncom pliance and a new m eeting date and they have the right to agr ee to activities ou tlined on the DHS-754 and avoid the financia l penalty at that tim e, unless another group member uses the family's first excuse before the hearing issue is settled.
- 10. Send a new DHS-2444 with an appointm ent date and time. The client must attend the triage and comply with assigned activities before the 754 due date.
- 11. You must enter all triage resu lts at one tim e in Bridges. If the client fails to meet or contact the FIS or fails to provide verification of com pliance without good cause, the threemonth sanction applies. This policy only applies for the first case of noncompliance on or after April 1, 2007. It is only offered one time for each case for the first noncompliant member on that case when there is no good cause.

Noncompliant Member Leaves The Home

If the noncompliant member LEAVES the home PRIOR to issuing a DHS-2444, Notice of Noncom pliance, do not act on the closure. If the noncompliant member joins a new group in this instance, the penalty would apply to the new group. Continue to process the good cause determination and noncompliance for the new group. If the noncompliant m ember LEAVES the home AFTER a DHS-2444, Notice of Noncompliance is sent or the FIP closure has taken effect, continue to im pose the sanction for the rem ainder of the sanction period on the original F IP group. The noncompliant member takes their individual pena lty counter with them t o the new group and reduces the case pena lty counter of the original group. If the noncompliant m ember RETURNS to the hom e AFTER the FIP closure, follow procedures in Reapplication and Reinstatement below.

Exception: If a FIP case is closed due to noncom pliance and subsequently a new caretaker applie s for FIP for the children of a sanctioned case, determ ine FIP eligibility for the new group. You cannot transfer grantees on a case after a DHS-2444, Notice of Employment and/or Self-Suffici ency-Related Noncom pliance is issued. Verify absence of the noncompliant person if it is questionable. (BEM 233A)

The facts in this case show that was a member of the household and was still in

the household when the April 27, 2010 Notice of Non-Compliance (DHS-2444) was sent. In

accordance with the policy cited above, the sanction should be applied.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of

law, decides the Department of Human Services properly sanction Claimant's Family

Independence Program (FIP) case for failure to participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency

related activities.

It is ORDERED that the actions of the Department of Human Services, in this matter, are UPHELD.

/s/

Gary F. Heisler Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 17, 2010

Date Mailed: <u>August 20, 2010</u>

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not o rder a rehe aring or re consideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a tim ely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

GFH/alc

