STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No: 2010-42597 Issue No: 1012 Case No: Load No: Hearing Date: November 3, 2010 St. Clair County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Y. Lain

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notic e, a telephone hearing was held on November 3, 2010.

ISSUE

Did the Department of Hum an Service (the department) properly propose to cancel claimant's Family Independence Agency (FIP) benefits based upon its determination that claimant did not appropriately perform community service activities?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) Claimant was an FIP benefit recipient for herself and her child.
- (2) Claimant was required to attend Work First/Jet related activities in order to maintain her FIP benefit eligibility.
- (3) Claimant exceeded 16 hours of absences from Work First/Jet related activities.

- (4) On May 13, 2010, Work First/Jet returned claimant's file to the department for non-compliance s anctions because Clamant had missed 18 hours of Work First/Jet related activities. The absences were not excused.
- (5) On May 19, 2010 a notice of non-compliance was sent to claimant.
- (6) On May 27, 2010 a tri age was held with claimant over the telephone. The department found that claimant did not establish good cause for her failure to attend Work First/Jet related activities.
- (7) On June 7, 2010, the department caseworker sent claimant notice that her FIP benefits would be sanctioned becaus e of her failure to attend Work First/Jet related activities.
- (8) On June 11, 2010, claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.
- (9) Claimant alleged that her boyfriend moved out of her house to take care of his mother for thirty one days and s hould have been removed from her case, so she should have only been required to attend Work First for 20 hours instead of 35.
- (10) Claimant or her live-in baby's father had prior episodes of non-complianc e on April 11, 2008, August 28, 2008, and September 25, 2007.
- (11) On June 7, 2010, the department caseworker sent claimant notice that her FIP benefits would be sanctioned for a one year period for failure to attend Work first without good cause.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Progr am (FIP) was establis hed pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department of Human Serv ices (DHS or department) administers the FIP progr am pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Ai d to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility M anual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Department of Human Serv ices requires clients t o participate in employment and self sufficiency related activities and to accept employ ment when offered. The focus is to assist clients in removing bar riers so they can participate in those activities whic h lead to self sufficiency. However, there are consequences for a client who refuses to participate without good cause. Non-comp liance may be an indic ator of possible disabilities and the de partment is considered further exploration of any barriers. BEM,

Item 233A. As a compliance of eligibility clients must work or engage in employment and/or self sufficiency related activities. Non-compliance of applicants, recipients and member adds, means doing any of the following without good cause:

- Failure to complete a FAS T or FSSP results in closure due to failure to provide requested verification and clients can reply at any time.
- Failing to or refusing t o appear or participate with the Jobs Education and Trai ning program, or other employment service providers
- Complete a family autom ated screening tool (FAST) as assigned in the first step in the FSSP process.
- Develop a family self sufficiency plan
- Comply with activities assigned in the FSSP.
- Provide legitimate documentation of work participation
- Appear for a scheduled appointment or meeting related to assigned activities
- Failure to participat e in employment or a self sufficiency related activities
- Accept a job referral
- Complete a job application
- Appear for a job interview
- Stating orally or in writing to a definite intent not to comply with the program requirements
- Threatening, physically abus ing, or otherwis e behaving destructively to anyone conducting or participating in an employment and/or self sufficienc y related activity
- Refusing employment support services as a refusal prevents participation in employment and/or self sufficiency related activities (BEM, Item 233A, p.2)

In the instant case, the facts are not at issu e. Claimant testified that she did not attend the Work First Orientation because she did not have good directions and there was construction so she got lost. This administr ative law judge finds that the evidenc e contained in the file does not support claimant's allegation.

Good cause is a v alid reas on for non-co mpliance with employment and/or self sufficiency related activities that are based on factors that are bey ond the control of the non-compliant person. A cl aimant with good cause must be verified for member adds and recipients. Good cause includes the following:

- The person is working at least 40 hours a week on average and earning at least state minimum wage.
- if the claimant is physica Ily or mentally unfit for the job or activity, or
- if the claimant has a debilitating illness or injury, or
- an immediate familie s illness o r injury requiring inhome care by the claimant, or
- the department or employ er has failed to make reasonable accommodations for the claimant's disability, while the claimant has no child care.
- If the claimant requested tr ansportation services from DHS, the Michigan Works or other employment services provider prior to case closure and reasonably priced transportation was not available to the claimant.
- The employment involves illegal activities, or
- The claimant experiences discrimination.
- There is some unplanned event or factor such as:
 - \circ domestic violence
 - health or safety risks
 - o homelessness
 - o jail hospitalization or
 - o religion

- or the claimant quits to assume the employment comparable on salary and hours
- there is a t otal commuting time which exceeds 2 or 3 hours per day, including time to and from child car e facilities. (BEM, Item 233A, pp. 4-5)

The penalt y for non-complianc e without good c ause is FIP closure effective April 1, 2007, the following minimum penalties apply:

- For the first occurrence on the FIP case, close FIP for not less than 3 calendar m onths, unless the claimant is excused from non-compliance.
- For the second occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for not less than 3 calendar months
- For the third and s ubsequent occurrence on the FIP case, clos e the FIP for not less than 12 calendar months.

The penalty counter also begins April 1, 2007, regardless of the previous number of non-compliance penalties. Be gin a sanction perior d with the fir st pay period of the month. Penalties are automatically calculated by the entry of non-complian ce without good caus e in BRIDGES. This applies t o active FIP cases including those with a member add who is a WEI JET participant. BEM, Item 233A, p. 6. JET Participants will not be terminated from a JET program without first scheduling a triage meeting with the client to jointly discus s non-compliance an d good cause. A triage meeting is to be locally coordinated to notify the MWA case manager of triage meetings including scheduling guidelines. Claimant's can either attend the meeting or participate in a conference call, if attendance at the triage meeting is not possible. If a client calls to reschedule an already scheduled triage meeting, offer a phone conference at that time. Claimant's must comply wit h triage requirements within the negative action period. BEM, Item 233A, p. 7. The d epartment is to determine good cause based on the best available information during the triage and pr ior to the negative action date. Good cause may be verified by information already in the file with DHS or MWA. Good cause must be considered even if t he claimant does not attend wit h particular attention to possible disabilities, including disabilities that have not been diagnosed or identified by the claimant an unmet needs or accommodat ion. BEM, Item 233A, p. 7. The department is to follow the following procedure for processing the FIP closure:

> Send a DHS-2444 notice of employment and/or self sufficiency related non-compliance within 3 days after learning of the non-compliance.

 Included in the DHS- 2444 is the date of noncompliance, the reason the client was deter mined to be non-compliant, the penalty that would be imposed, and schedule a triage to be held within the negative action period. BEM, Item 233A, pp. 7-8.

The depart ment is to determine good cause during t riage and prior to the negative action effective date. Good cause must be verified and can b e based on information already in the file with the DHS or the JET program. The department is to document the good cause determination on the sanction detail screen. If the client does not provide good cause for reason for non-complianc e determining good cause based on the best information available.

This Administrative Law Judge finds t hat the department has established by t he necessary competent, material and substantia I eviden ce on the record that claimant was non-c ompliant with Work First activities. Claim ant had 3prior non-compliance episodes which mean that this is the fourth noncompliance episode.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides that the department has established by the necessary competent, material and substantial ev idence on the r ecord that it was acting in com pliance with department policy when it proposed to cancel claimant's Family Independence program benefits under the circumstances. This Administrative Law Judge finds that claimant did not provide good c ause for the failure. The department has established by preponderance of the evidence that this is the fourth episode of non-compliance.

Accordingly, the department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Landis

/s/

Y. Lain Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>November 10, 2010</u>

Date Mailed: _ November 12, 2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

LYL/alc

