

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: [REDACTED],  
Claimant

Reg. No: 2010-42476  
Issue No: 6019  
Case No: [REDACTED]  
Load No: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date:  
September 2, 2010  
Genesee County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Suzanne L. Morris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on September 2, 2010. The claimant personally appeared and provided testimony.

ISSUE

Did the department properly close the claimant's Child Development and Care (CDC) benefits for failure to return the redetermination materials in January, 2010?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The claimant was receiving CDC benefits when his case came due for review. The claimant was mailed a Redetermination form on December 15, 2009, due back to the department by January 4, 2010. (Department Exhibit 1)

2. The claimant did not return the form until March 22, 2010. (Department Exhibit 1)
3. The department mailed the claimant a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605) on January 15, 2010, informing the claimant that the CDC case would close effective January 31, 2010. (Department Exhibit 3)
4. The claimant submitted a hearing request on April 8, 2010.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Child Development and Care program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and MAC R 400.5001-5015. Department policies are contained in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Department policy states:

**CLIENT OR AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE  
RESPONSIBILITIES**

**Responsibility to Cooperate**

**All Programs**

**Clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility. This includes completion of the necessary forms. PAM, Item 105, p. 5.**

**Refusal to Cooperate Penalties**

**All Programs**

Clients who are able but refuse to provide necessary information or take a required action are subject to penalties. PAM, Item 105, p. 5.

### **Verifications**

#### **All Programs**

Clients must take actions within their ability to obtain verifications. DHS staff must assist when necessary. See PAM 130 and PEM 702. PAM, Item 105, p. 8.

#### **Assisting the Client**

#### **All Programs**

The local office must assist clients who ask for help in completing forms (including the DCH-0733-D) or gathering verifications. Particular sensitivity must be shown to clients who are illiterate, disabled or **not** fluent in English. PAM, Item 105, p. 9.

Verification is usually required at application/redetermination **and** for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level. PAM, Item 130, p. 1.

#### **Obtaining Verification**

#### **All Programs**

Tell the client what verification is required, how to obtain it, and the due date (see “**Timeliness Standards**” in this item). Use the DHS-3503, Verification Checklist, or for MA redeterminations, the DHS-1175, MA Determination Notice, to request verification. PAM, Item 130, p. 2.

The client must obtain required verification, but you must assist if they need and request help. PAM, Item 130, p. 2.

#### **Timeliness Standards**

#### **All Programs (except TMAP)**

Allow the client 10 calendar days (**or** other time limit specified in policy) to provide the verification you request. If the client cannot provide the verification despite a reasonable effort, extend the time limit at least once. PAM, Item 130, p. 4.

Send a negative action notice when:

- . the client indicates refusal to provide a verification, **or**
- . the time period given has elapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it. PAM, Item 130, p. 4.

**MA Only**

Send a negative action notice when:

- . the client indicates refusal to provide a verification, **or**
- . the time period given has elapsed. PAM, Item 130, p. 4.

In this case, the claimant is disputing the closure of his CDC benefits. The claimant admitted that he did receive the Redetermination form (DHS-1010) in December, 2009. The claimant also admits that the form was not completed and returned until March 22, 2010.

The Redetermination form allows the department to evaluate continuing eligibility for any programs the claimant is receiving. The Redetermination form was mailed to the claimant on December 15, 2009. The form states that the client “must complete, sign, and date this form, and return it with all proofs by bringing it with you to your appointment, returning by mail or bringing it to DHS by the due date listed above.” The form goes on to states that if the client does “NOT return this form and all of the required proofs by the due date, your benefits may be cancelled or reduced.” The completed form was due back to the department by January 4, 2010.

The claimant admits that the form did not get returned until March, 2010, well after the CDC benefits had closed. Department policy indicates that clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility, which includes completion of the necessary forms. BAM 105. When the department did not receive the Redetermination form and verifications by the due date, the department had no choice but to close the claimant’s CDC benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department properly closed the claimant's CDC benefits for failure to return the redetermination materials in January, 2010.

Accordingly, the department's actions are UPHELD. SO ORDERED.

/S/  
Suzanne L. Morris  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Ismael Ahmed, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: September 7, 2010

Date Mailed: September 7, 2010

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SLK 

cc: 