

STATE OF MICHIGAN
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: [REDACTED],
Claimant

Reg. No: 2010-40847
Issue No: 2006
Case No: [REDACTED]
Load No: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date:
August 24, 2010
Genesee County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 24, 2010.

ISSUE

Was verification compliance established?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) Negative case action: MA application on February 25, 2009, denied on April 7, 2009, based on issue above per BEM 210.
- (2) On February 27, 2009, VCL sent to claimant/L&S with a due-date March 9, 2009, with extensions requested by L&S on March 9, 2009, March 18, 2009 and March 27, 2009 to comply with the verification request which includes citizenship verification; VCL information was not submitted.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Facts above are undisputed.

The client might be unable to answer a question about himself or another person whose circumstances must be known. Allow the client at least 10 days (or other timeframe specified in policy) to obtain the needed information. PAM, Item 105, p. 5.

The L&S representative in the absence of the claimant, argued that the requested verifications had already been given to the DHS sometime in January, 2009. She was questioned why she requested verification extensions three different times if the verifications in question had already been submitted. She stated because DHS wanted them.

The DHS representative testified that the verifications had not been furnished before or after the request above.

Obviously, you do not request three different verification extensions if the requested verifications had already been submitted.

This ALJ is not persuaded by the preponderance of the evidence of record that timely verification compliance was established.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that verification compliance was not established.

Accordingly, MA denial is UPHeld.

/s/

William A. Sundquist
Administrative Law Judge
for Ismael Ahmed, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 30, 2010

Date Mailed: August 31, 2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

WAS 

cc: 