STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

,

Claimant

Reg. No: 2010-4080

Issue No: 3002; 3003

Case No:

Load No:

Hearing Date: November 23, 2009 Macomb County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Robert J. Chavez

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on November 23, 2009.

ISSUE

Was the claimant's FAP allotment computed and allocated correctly?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant applied for a Food Assistance Program (FAP) allotment budget in Macomb County on August 25, 2009.
- (2) In September, 2009, DHS made a determination of claimant's benefits.
- (3) Claimant's FAP budget was run and claimant's budget indicated claimant was eligible for FAP benefits in the amount of \$16 starting on September 1, 2009.

(4) Claimant filed for hearing on October 14, 2009, alleging that DHS incorrectly computed her budget.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

When determining eligibility for FAP benefits, the household's total income must be evaluated. All earned and unearned income of each household member must be included unless specifically excluded. BEM, Item 500. A standard deduction from income of \$135 is allowed for each household. Certain non-reimbursable medical expenses above \$35 a month may be deducted for senior/disabled/veteran group members. Another deduction from income is provided if monthly shelter costs are in excess of 50% of the household's income after all of the other deductions have been allowed, up to a maximum of \$300 for non-senior/disabled/veteran households. BEM, Items 500 and 554; RFT 255; 7 CFR 273.2. Only heat, electricity, sewer, trash and telephone are allowed deductions. BEM 554. Any other expenses are considered non-critical, and thus, not allowed to be deducted from gross income. Furthermore, RFT 255 states exactly how much is allowed to be claimed for each deduction. Policy states that \$33 is to be deducted for telephone expenses, and \$93 is to be deducted for electricity expenses, regardless of the actual bill. \$550 dollars may be deducted if the claimant has heating costs.

2010-4080/RJC

In this case, the Administrative Law Judge has reviewed the FAP budget and finds

that the Department properly computed the claimant's gross income. The gross unearned income

benefit amount must be counted as unearned income, which is \$1664 in the current case, after

counting the total member group's UCB benefits. BEM 500. These amounts were verified by

the claimant during the course of the hearing. The federal regulations at 7 CFR 273.10 provide

standards for the amount of a household's benefits. Claimant stated that her rent was \$431 per

month and paid for heating utilities. The Administrative Law Judge computed claimant as

having a net income of \$1312 dollars. The Department, in compliance with the federal

regulations, has prepared issuance tables which are set forth at Bridges Reference Manual, Table

260. The issuance table provides that a household with household size and net income of the

claimant is eligible for an FAP allotment of \$16. The Administrative Law Judge has reviewed

the budget and found no errors. Claimant was unable to point out specifically what parts of the

budget she felt were in error. Therefore, while the undersigned finds that the Department

correctly determined the claimant's FAP allotment of \$16.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions

of law, decides that the Department's decision to award claimant an FAP allotment of \$16 was

correct.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Robert J Chavez

Administrative Law Judge

for Ismael Ahmed, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 12/23/09

Date Mailed: 01/06/10

3

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

