STATE OF MICHIGAN

STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201040233

Issue No.: 3055

Case No.: Load No.:

Hearing Date:

August 11, 2010 Ionia County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Department's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 11, 2010. The Respondent did not appear at the hearing. OIG representative appeared on behalf of the Department.

ISSUE

Whether respondent committed an Intentional Program Violation (IPV) and whether the respondent received an overissuance of benefits that the Department is entitled to recoup?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- The Department's Office of Inspector General (OIG) filed a hearing request to establish an overissuance of benefits received by respondent as a result of respondent having committed an IPV. The OIG also requested that respondent be disqualified from receiving program benefits.
- 2. Respondent was a recipient of FIP, FAP, and Medical Assistance benefits during the period of 1/1/09 through 3/1/09.

201040233/AM

- 3. Respondent was aware of the responsibility to report removal of her child from the home and had no apparent physical or mental impairment that would limit the understanding or ability to fulfill this requirement.
- 4. Respondent did not report removal of her child from the home in a timely manner.
- 5. As a result of the failure to report removal of her child from the home, Respondent committed an IPV and received an overissuance of benefits.
- 6. As a result, respondent received overissuances in the amount of \$369.00 under the FS/FAP program, \$806 under the FIP program, and \$213.18 under the MA program.
- 7. The Department has established that respondent committed an IPV.
- 8. This was respondent's first Intentional Program Violation for the FIP, FAP and MA programs.
- 9. A notice of disqualification hearing was mailed to respondent at the last known address and was not returned by the US Post Office as undeliverable.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program, formerly known as the Food Stamp ("FS") program, is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR"). The Department of Human Services ("DHS"), formally known as the Family Independence Agency, administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq* and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Departmental policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Program Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Program Reference Manual ("PRM").

The Family Independence program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human services (DHS or Department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependant Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference manual (PRM).

201040233/AM

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

When a client group receives more benefits than they are entitled to receive, DHS must attempt to recoup the over issuance (OI). BAM 700, p. 1. DHS must inform clients of their reporting responsibilities and prevent OIs by following BAM 105 requirements informing the client of the requirement to promptly notify DHS of all changes in circumstances within 10 days. BAM 700, BAM 105. Incorrect, late reported or omitted information causing an OI can result in cash repayment or benefit reduction.

An Intentional Program Violation (IPV) is suspected when there is clear and convincing evidence that the client has intentionally withheld or misrepresented information for the purpose of establishing, maintaining, increasing or preventing reduction of program benefits or eligibility. BAM 720, p. 1. The Federal Food Stamp regulations read in part:

(6) Criteria for determining intentional program violation. The hearing authority shall base the determination of intentional program violation on clear and convincing evidence which demonstrates that the household member(s) committed, and intended to commit, intentional program violation as defined in paragraph (c) of this section. 7 CFR 273.16(c)(6).

For FAP, the IPV exists when an administrative hearing decision, a repayment and disqualification agreement or court decision determines FAP benefits were trafficked. BAM 720, p. 2. The amount of the OI is the amount of benefits the group or provider actually received minus the amount the group was eligible to receive. BAM 720, p. 6.

In the present case, the Department has established that respondent was aware of the responsibility to report removal of her children from the home and had no apparent limitations to fulfilling this requirement. The respondent failed to report change in employment income. As a result, respondent committed an IPV and was overissued FIP, FS/FAP, and MA benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that respondent committed an IPV with regard to the FIP, FAP, and MA program and received overissances in program benefits.

201040233/AM

It is ORDERED that the Department recoup for overissuance in FAP benefits in the amount of \$369.00, FIP benefits in the amount of \$806 and MA in the amount of \$213.18. This is Claimant's first violation for the FIP and FAP programs and she is disqualified from receiving benefits from these programs for 1 year.

/s/

Aaron McClintic
Administrative Law Judge
For Ismael Ahmed, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 20, 2010

Date Mailed: August 20, 2010

NOTICE: The law provides that within 30 days of receipt of the above Decision and Order, the respondent may appeal it to the circuit court for the county in which he/she lives.

AM/hw

CC: