# STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No: 2010-40191

Issue No: 6019

Case No: Load No:

Load No:

Hearing Date: August 18, 2010

Washtenaw County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jonathan W. Owens

### HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on August 18, 2010. Claimant appeared and testified.

#### **ISSUE**

Did the Department of Human Services (Department) properly determine the Claimant was over-issued Child Day Care benefits?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as a material fact:

- 1. On December 22, 2009, the Department notified the Claimant of a recoupment action for the timeframe of July 5, 2009 through November 7, 2009.
- 2. On January 7, 2010, the Claimant requested a hearing. .
- 3. The Department failed to provide evidence that any request for additional information was made.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Child Development and Care program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and

2 2010-40191/JWO

99. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and MAC R 400.5001-5015. Department policies are contained in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Under Program Administrative Manual Item 600, clients have the right to contest any agency decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever they believe the decision is illegal. The agency provides an Administrative Hearing to review the decision and determine if it is appropriate. Agency policy includes procedures to meet the minimal requirements for a fair hearing. Efforts to clarify and resolve the client's concerns start when the agency receives a hearing request and continues through the day of the hearing.

In the present case, the Claimant received a notice of recoupment action from the Department issued on December 22, 2009. The Department appeared at the hearing without evidence or testimony to support or document a reason why the recoupment action was being taken. The Department failed to demonstrate the basis for the recoupment nor could they provide any evidence of an over-issuance.

The Department's action, therefore, is not supported by policy. The Department failed to demonstrate any basis for recouping CDC benefits.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department of Human Services was not acting in compliance with Department policy.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is REVERSED and the Department is ORDERED to stop recoupment action.

Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 08/30/10

Date Mailed: 08/30/10

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or

3 2010-40191/JWO

reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

# JWO/dj

