STATE OF MICHIGAN

STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201039580

Issue No.: 3000

Case No.:

Load No.:

Hearing Date: July 29, 2010 Wayne County DHS (49)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 29, 2010. The claimant appeared and testified. On behalf of Department of Human Services (DHS), Manager, appeared and testified.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether Claimant is entitled to an administrative hearing concerning a previously resolved FAP benefits issue.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant is an ongoing FAP recipient.
- Claimant received \$174/month in FAP benefits.
- 3. DHS processed a reduction to Claimant's FAP benefits.
- 4. On 6/8/10, Claimant requested a hearing concerning her FAP benefits.
- After Claimant's hearing request and prior to the date of the hearing, DHS supplemented FAP benefits for an amount agreed to by Claimant; DHS also revoked any previous written threat of reduction to Claimant's FAP benefits.

2 201039580/CG

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (formerly known as the Food Stamp program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

Under BAM 600, clients have the right to contest any agency decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever they believe the decision is illegal. The agency provides an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine if it is appropriate. DHS policy includes procedures to meet the minimal requirements for a fair hearing. Efforts to clarify and resolve the client's concerns start when the agency receives a hearing request and continues through the day of the hearing. Under BAM 600, a hearing request may be dismissed when DHS corrects the disputed case action.

Claimant's testimony indicated that she is satisfied with the current status of her FAP benefits. Claimant indicated that her FAP benefits are active and she expressed no dissatisfaction with any FAP benefits that she did or did not receive.

Claimant also expressed concerns over various issues concerning her assigned DHS specialist. Claimant wanted to use the administrative hearing as a forum for her concerns. BAM 600 lists the circumstances in which a hearing may be granted. The circumstances are: denial of an application and/or supplemental payments, reduction in the amount of program benefits or service, suspension or termination of program benefits or service restrictions under which benefits or services are provided or delay of any action beyond standards of promptness. BAM 600 at 3. The undersigned has no authority over DHS internal matters, such as complaints Claimant may have about her specialist. As Claimant has no current dispute about her benefits and presented no other issues appropriate for an administrative hearing, Claimant's hearing request is appropriately dismissed.

DECISION AND ORDER

Claimant's hearing request is DISMISSED. The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that DHS has resolved Claimant's issues concerning her FAP benefits and no other issues presented are 3 201039580/CG

appropriate for administrative review.

/s/

Christian Gardocki Administrative Law Judge For Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

(hudin Dordock

Date Signed: August 5, 2010

Date Mailed: August 5, 2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CG/hw

CC:

