STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg. No: 2010-3892

Issue No: 4031

Case No:

Load No:

Hearing Date: January 5, 2010

Monroe County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Janice Spodarek

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 5, 2010.

ISSUE

Did the Department of Human Services (DHS) properly deny claimant's State Disability Assistance (SDA) application?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) On 6/23/09, claimant applied for MA-P and SDA with the Michigan DHS.
- (2) Claimant applied for three months of retro MA.
- (3) On 6/30/09, the MRT denied.
- (4) On 7/13/09, the DHS issued notice.

- (5) On 9/17/09, claimant filed a hearing request protesting the denial of his SDA only as he indicated at the hearing that is paying all of his medical bills.
- (6) Claimant has an SSI application pending with the Social Security Administration (SSA).
 - (7) On 11/3/09, the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) denied claimant.
- (8) As of the date of application, claimant was a 32-year-old male standing 6' 3" tall and weighing 205 pounds. Claimant has an 8th grade education.
- (9) Claimant does not have an alcohol/drug abuse problem or history. Claimant does not smoke.
 - (10) Claimant has a driver's license and can drive a motor vehicle.
- (11) Claimant is not currently working. Claimant last worked in April 2004, which is the alleged onset time for the alleged disability herein. Claimant's work history is heavy, labor work.
- (12) Claimant alleges disability on the basis of traumatic brain injury, upper extremity tumor, lower extremity numbness, depression, status post left acetabular fracture and open reduction and internal fixation with some probable mild sciatic nerve injury, cerebella ataxia on the right and tremors on the right which will not improve, mild sciatic nerve findings in the left leg with decreased sensation, decreased range of motion of the left hip joint. Exhibit 19.
- (13) Medical evidence includes the diagnoses in the above Finding of Fact. Claimant is post-MVA from 2004 with a history of traumatic brain injury. Claimant had an intracranial hemorrhage which includes tremors and weakness on the right side of the body and problems with dexterity. Claimant's balance is poor and he suffers continuing arthritic problems, which will only worsen over time. Partial hearing loss in the left side. Claimant has depression disorder, memory loss, and problems with recall.

- (14) A DHS-49 completed 6/22/09, indicates that claimant can occasionally lift up to 10 pounds; claimant's ability to stand and/or walk is for less than 2 hours out of an 8-hour workday; claimant can sit for less than 6 hours out of an 8-hour workday; claimant cannot use his foot/leg on the right for operating any foot/leg controls; claimant cannot use his hands/arms for any repetitive actions including fine manipulation—claimant can use the left side of the body but not the right side of the body; claimant has mental limitations with reading, writing, sustained concentration, and following simple directions. Claimant has decreased ROM, tremors, gait ataxia, decreased speed and amplitude of rapid altering movements and fine finger movements. Exhibits 6-7.
- being seen for chronic pain at has degenerative changes of at least two discs, underwent epidural injections which did not provide any relief. Claimant has probably plateaued in terms of his problems and may develop some increasing arthritis over the years. It is expected that claimant may continue to have some back pain as it relates to the mechanical effects of the pelvic fractures. Claimant has a permanent impairment of cerebellar ataxia on the right and tremors on the right which will not improvement. Claimant also has mild sciatic nerve findings in the left leg and decreased sensation. Claimant has reached maximal medical improvement. Exhibits 16-20.
- (16) A DHS-54A indicates that claimant cannot work at his usual occupation or at any job for: "lifetime." Exhibit 21.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10,

et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Statutory authority for the SDA program states in part:

(b) A person with a physical or mental impairment which meets federal SSI disability standards, except that the minimum duration of the disability shall be 90 days. Substance abuse alone is not defined as a basis for eligibility.

In order to receive MA benefits based upon disability or blindness, claimant must be disabled or blind as defined in Title XVI of the Social Security Act (20 CFR 416.901). DHS, being authorized to make such disability determinations, utilizes the SSI definition of disability when making medical decisions on MA applications. MA-P (disability), also is known as Medicaid, which is a program designated to help public assistance claimants pay their medical expenses. Michigan administers the federal Medicaid program. In assessing eligibility, Michigan utilizes the federal regulations.

Relevant federal guidelines provide in pertinent part:

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

The federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in sequential order:

...We follow a set order to determine whether you are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

The regulations require that if disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is not required. These steps are:

- 1. If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless of your medical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b). If no, the analysis continues to Step 2.
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.909(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a special Listing of Impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment that meets the duration requirement? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. Sections 200.00-204.00(f)?
- 5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? This step considers the residual functional capacity, age, education, and past work experience to see if the client can do other work. If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(g).

At application claimant has the burden of proof pursuant to:

...You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an impairment(s) and how severe it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

Federal regulations are very specific regarding the type of medical evidence required by claimant to establish statutory disability. The regulations essentially require laboratory or clinical medical reports that corroborate claimant's claims or claimant's physicians' statements regarding disability. These regulations state in part:

... Medical reports should include --

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as sure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...The medical evidence...must be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical findings consist of symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings:

- (a) **Symptoms** are your own description of your physical or mental impairment. Your statements alone are not enough to establish that there is a physical or mental impairment.
- (b) **Signs** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be observed, apart from your statements (symptoms). Signs must be shown by medically acceptable clinical diagnostic techniques. Psychiatric signs are medically demonstrable phenomena which indicate specific psychological abnormalities e.g., abnormalities of behavior, mood, thought, memory, orientation, development, or perception. They must also be shown by observable facts that can be medically described and evaluated.
- (c) **Laboratory findings** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological phenomena which can be shown by the use of a medically acceptable laboratory diagnostic techniques. Some of these diagnostic techniques include chemical tests,

electrophysiological studies (electrocardiogram, electroencephalogram, etc.), roentgenological studies (X-rays), and psychological tests. 20 CFR 416.928.

It must allow us to determine --

- (1) The nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Information from other sources may also help us to understand how your impairment(s) affects your ability to work. 20 CFR 416.913(e).

...You can only be found disabled if you are unable to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. See 20 CFR 416.905. Your impairment must result from anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which are demonstrable by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques.... 20 CFR 416.927(a)(1).

Applying the sequential analysis herein, claimant is not ineligible at the first step as claimant is not currently working. 20 CFR 416.920(b). The analysis continues.

The second step of the analysis looks at a two-fold assessment of duration and severity. 20 CFR 416.920(c). This second step is a *de minimus* standard. Ruling any ambiguities in claimant's favor, this Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) finds that claimant meets both. The analysis continues.

The third step of the analysis looks at whether an individual meets or equals one of the Listings of Impairments. 20 CFR 416.920(d). Claimant does not. The analysis continues.

The fourth step of the analysis looks at the ability of the applicant to return to past relevant work. This step examines the physical and mental demands of the work done by claimant in the past. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

In this case, this ALJ finds that claimant cannot return to past relevant work on the basis of the medical evidence. The analysis continues.

The fifth and final step of the analysis applies the biographical data of the applicant to the Medical Vocational Grids to determine the residual functional capacity of the applicant to do other work. 20 CFR 416.920(g). After a careful review of the credible and substantial evidence on the whole record, this Administrative Law Judge finds claimant cannot do a full range of sedentary work pursuant to Medical Vocational Grid Rule footnote 201.00(h):

After careful review of claimant's extensive medical record and the Administrative Law Judge's personal interaction with claimant at the hearing, this Administrative Law Judge finds that claimant's exertional and non-exertional impairments render claimant unable to engage in a full range of even sedentary work activities on a regular and continuing basis. 20 CFR 404, Subpart P. Appendix 11, Section 201.00(h). See Social Security Ruling 83-10; Wilson v Heckler, 743 F2d 216 (1986). The department has failed to provide vocational evidence which establishes that claimant has the residual functional capacity for substantial gainful activity and that, given claimant's age, education, and work experience, there are significant numbers of jobs in the national economy which the claimant could perform despite claimant's limitations. Accordingly, this Administrative Law Judge concludes that claimant is disabled for purposes of the MA program.

In reaching this conclusion, it is noted that claimant has reached the maximum level of improvement expected. Claimant's chronic pain complaints are corroborated as required under federal law as claimant is currently undergoing or has had consultants and treatment with the Toledo Pain Clinic. 20 CFR 416.929. The DHS-54A indicates that claimant cannot return to his usual occupation or work at any job for a: "lifetime." Exhibit 21. Claimant cannot be retrained for sedentary work as he has difficulties with tremors, memory loss, and fine manipulation as would

2010-3892/JGS

be required under the sedentary grid. Nor is claimant's condition at this point expected to improve

as he has reached the maximum medical improvement.

For these reasons, and for the reasons stated above, statutory disability is shown.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions

of law, decides that the department's actions were incorrect.

Accordingly, the department's determination in this matter is REVERSED.

The department is ORDERED to make a determination if claimant meets the non-medical

criteria for the SDA program from the month of application—6/23/09. If so, the department is

ORDERED to open an SDA case from the time of application and issue supplemental benefits to

claimant. The department is ORDERED to review this case in accordance with its usual policy

and procedure.

Janice Spodarek

Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 22, 2010

Date Mailed: January 25, 2010_____

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the mailing date of the rehearing decision.

JS/cv

9

ce: