

STATE OF MICHIGAN
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2010-38559
Issue No.: 2009
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Load No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: August 18, 2010
Oakland County DHS (04)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held in Pontiac, Michigan on Wednesday, August 18, 2010. The Claimant appeared, along with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], and testified. The Claimant was represented by [REDACTED] of [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] appeared by telephone on behalf of the Department.

During the hearing, the Claimant waived the time period for the issuance of this decision in order to allow for the submission of additional medical evidence. Subsequently, the Claimant's Authorized Representative submitted proof of a favorable Social Security Administration ("SSA") with a disability onset date of November 24, 2008.

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly determined that the Claimant was not disabled for purposes of the Medical Assistance ("MA") benefit program?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The Claimant submitted an application for public assistance seeking MA benefits on January 11, 2010.
2. On March 15, 2010, the Medical Review Team ("MRT") determined that the Claimant was not disabled. (Exhibit 1, pp. 1, 2)

3. On March 17, 2010, the Department notified the Claimant of the MRT decision. (Exhibit 1, p. 3)
4. On May 27, 2010, the Department received the Claimant's timely written request for hearing.
5. On June 18, 2010, the State Hearing Review Team ("SHRT") determined that the Claimant was not disabled. (Exhibit 5)
6. On October 7, 2010, the SSA found the Claimant disabled with an onset date of November 24, 2008.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance ("MA") program is established by Subchapter XIX of Chapter 7 of The Public Health & Welfare Act, 42 USC 1397, and is administered by the Department of Human Services ("DHS"), formerly known as the Family Independence Agency, pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq* and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Manual ("BRM").

A previously denied MA application is treated as a pending application when MRT determined the Claimant was not disabled and subsequently, the Social Security Administration ("SSA") determines that the Claimant is entitled to SSI based on his disability/blindness for some, or all, of the time covered by the denied MA application provided the Department is informed of the approval within 90 days of the date of the MA denial notice. BEM 260 All eligibility factors must be met for each month MA is authorized. BEM 260

In this case, the SSA approved the Claimant for SSI benefits with the disability onset date of November 24, 2008. Ultimately, because of the Social Security Administration determination, it is not necessary for the Administrative Law Judge to discuss the issue of disability pursuant to BEM 260.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law finds that the Claimant meets the definition of medically disabled under the MA-P benefit program.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

2010-38559/CMM

1. The Department shall open (if not previously done so) an ongoing Medical Assistance case for the Claimant based on the January 11, 2010 application.
2. The Department shall supplement for any lost benefits (if any) that the Claimant was entitled to receive if otherwise eligible qualified.

Colleen M. Mamelka

Colleen M. Mamelka
Administrative Law Judge
For Ismael Ahmed, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 10/21/2010

Date Mailed: 10/21/2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CMM/jlg

cc:

