STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No:	201038366
Issue No:	2009

Hearing Date:August 3, 2010 Berrien County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Janice G. Spodarek

# HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in-person hearing was held in Berrien County in Benton Harbor, Michigan. Claimant was represented by

### ISSUE

Did the Department of Human Services (DHS) properly deny claimant's Medical Assistance (MA-P) application?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On October 27, 2009, claimant applied for MA-P and SDA with the Michigan DHS.
- 2. Claimant applied for retro MA.
- 3. On November 5, 2009, the MRT denied.
- 4. On never received notice] the DHS issued notice.

- 5. On June 1, 2010, claimant filed a hearing request.
- 6. On April 25, 2011, the undersigned Administrative Law Judge received verification from the Social Security Administration (SSA) regarding claimant's SSI application (SSI). Claimant received an unfavorable decision on November 8, 2010. Claimant testified at the administrative hearing that she has applied for SSI on three different occasions and has never been approved. Claimant had previously been in front of an Administrative Law Judge as well as was in front of an Administrative Law Judge as well as was in front of an Administrative Law Judge again on August 13, 2010. Claimant has been denied SSI by the Social Security Administration (SSA). Claimant has had a final determination by SSA. None of the exceptions apply.
- 7. On July 22, 2010, the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) denied claimant.
- 8. The July 22, 2010 SHRT decision is adopted and incorporated by reference herein.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Prior to any substantive review, jurisdiction is paramount. Applicable to the case herein, policy states:

## Final SSI Disability Determination

SSA's determination that disability or blindness does **not** exist for SSI purposes is **final** for MA if:

- . The determination was made after 1/1/90, and
- . No further appeals may be made at SSA, or
- . The client failed to file an appeal at any step within SSA's 60-day limit, **and**

.

- The client is **not** claiming:
- .. A totally different disabling condition than the condition SSA based its determination on, **or**
- .. An additional impairment(s) or change or deterioration in his condition that SSA has **not** made a determination on.

Eligibility for MA based on disability or blindness does **not** exist once SSA's determination is **final**. PEM, Item 260, pp. 2-3.

Relevant federal regulations are found at 42 CFR Part 435. These regulations provide: "An SSA disability determination is binding on an agency until the determination is changed by the SSA." 42 CFR 435.541(a)(b)(i). These regulations further provide: "If the SSA determination is changed, the new determination is also binding on the agency." 42 CFR 435.541(a)(b)(ii).

Claimant testified at the administrative hearing that she has had a number of applications before SSA for SSI and has never been approved. As of the date of the administrative hearing, claimant testified that she had an application pending. As of the date of the administrative hearing, claimant had received a previous denial. Claimant testified that she is alleging the same impairments. Claimant has received more than one adverse determination from SSA as to her SSI that falls under the dictates of 42 CFR 435. Under that regulation, there is no jurisdiction for the undersigned to proceed with a substantive review. Claimant's claim was considered by SSA and benefits denied. The determination was final. Claimant is alleging the same impairments. None of the exceptions apply.

For these reasons, under the above-cited policy and federal law, this Administrative Law Judge has no jurisdiction to proceed with a substantive review. The department's denial must be upheld.

As noted above, should the SSA change its determination, then the new determination would also be binding on the DHS.

In the alternative, should the sequential analysis be applied, the undersigned Administrative Law Judge would concur with the findings and conclusions of the SHRT decisions in finding claimant not disabled under federal law and state policy.

### DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department's actions were correct.

Accordingly, the department's determination in this matter is UPHELD.

/s/

Janice G. Spodarek Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>May 12, 2011</u>

Date Mailed: <u>May 12, 2011</u>

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the mailing date of the rehearing decision.

JGS/db

