STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

2010-38048 Reg. No:

Issue No: 1038

Case No:

Load No:

Hearing Date: June 30, 2010

Washtenaw County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Gary F. Heisler

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 30, 2010. Claimant appeared and testified.

ISSUE

Did the Department of Human Services properly sanction Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) case for failure to participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

(1) Claimant was an ongoing recipient of Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits. Claimant and her live in significant other, are both mandatory participants in the Michigan Works Agency/Jobs Education and Training Program (JET).

- (2) On April 15, 2010, both Claimant and were sent Work First/Jobs Education and Training Appointment Notice (DHS-4785 form).
- (3) On April 19, 2010, both Claimant and attended orientation at the Michigan Works Agency/Jobs Education and Training Program (JET).
- (4) On April 30, 2010, both Claimant and were referred fro triage because neither had attended, or contacted the Michigan Works Agency/Jobs Education and Training Program (JET) since April 19, 2010. Both Claimant and were sent Notice of Non-Compliance (DHS-2444) which scheduled a triage meeting for May 6, 2010.
- (5) On May 6, 2010, Claimant attended the triage meeting. Claimant provided an undated note alleged to be from a Doctor saying Claimant was advised to rest from 4/21/10 to 5/3/10. Claimant was given until May 10, 2010 to provide more documentation of good cause. Claimant was sent a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605) stating her Family Independence Program (FIP) case would be sanctioned.
- (6) On May 10, 2010, Claimant submitted two Doctor's notes that were deemed questionable by the Department. Claimant was given until May 24, 2010 to submit Medical Needs Form (DHS-54a) for both of them.
 - (7) On May 17, 2010, Claimant submitted a request for hearing.
- (8) On May 21, 2010, Claimant submitted Medical Needs Form (DHS-54a) for both herself and Claimant was given until June 4, 2010 to submit a police report to support her assertion they were in a car accident.
 - (9) On June 4, 2010, no police report had been submitted.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Department policy provides the following guidance for case workers. The Department's policies are available on the internet through the Department's website.

FAILURE TO MEET EMPLOYMENT AND/OR SELFSUFFICIENCY-RELATED REQUIREMENTS: FIP

DEPARTMENT PHILOSOPHY

FIP

DHS requires clien ts to partic ipate in employment and self sufficiency related activities and to accept employment when offered. Our focus is to assist clients in removing barriers so they can participate in activities which lead to self-sufficiency. However, there are consequences for a client who refuses to participate, without good cause.

The goal of the FIP pe nalty policy is to obtain client compliance with appropriate work and/or self -sufficiency related assig nments and to ensure that barriers to such compliance have been identified and removed. The goal is to bring the client into compliance.

Noncompliance m ay be an indica tor of possible disabilities. Consider further exploration of any barriers.

DEPARTMENT POLICY

FIP

All Work Eligible Individual (WEI) and adult n on-WEIs (except ineligible grantees, clients deferred for lack of child care (DC) and disqualified aliens), see BEM 228, who fail, without good cause, to participate in employment or self-sufficiency-related activities, must be penalized.

Depending on the case situation, penalties include the following:

- Delay in eligibility at application.
- Ineligibility (denial or termination of FIP with no minimum penalty period).
- Case closure for a minimum of three or 12 months.

See B EM 233B for the Food Assistance Program (FAP) policy when the F IP penalty is closur e. For the Refugee Assis tance Program (RAP) penalty policy, see BEM 233C.

NONCOMPLIANCE WITH EMPLOYMENT AND/OR SELFSUFFICIENCYRELATED ACTIVITIES

As a condition of eligibility, all WEIs and non-WEIs must work or engage in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities.

Noncompliance of applicants, recipients, or m ember adds m eans doing **any** of the following **without** good cause:

Exception: Do not apply the three or 12 month penalty to ineligible caretakers, clients deferred for lack of child care (DC) and disqualified aliens. Failure to complete a FAST or FSSP results in closure due to failure to provide requested verification.

Clients can reapply at any time.

- Failing or refusing to:
 - Appear and participate with the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other em ployment service provider.
 - •• Complete a Fam ily Autom ated Screen ing Tool (FAST), as assigned as the firs t s tep in the F SSP process.

Note: FIS should clear the FAST Fa ll Out Report and any FAST confirmation infor mation the client has obtained before considering a client noncompliant for FAST non-completion.

•• Develop a Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) or a Personal Responsibility Plan and Fa mily Contract (PRPFC).

Note: FIS must have scheduled a FSSP co mpletion appointment with the client and the client t failed to attend before considering a client noncompliant for FSSP non-completion.

- •• Comply with activ ities assigned to on the Family Self Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) or PRPFC.
- •• Provide le gitimate d ocumentation of work participation.
- •• Appear for a scheduled appointment or meeting.
- •• Participate in em ployment and/o r s elf-sufficiency-related activities.
- •• Accept a job referral.
- •• Complete a job application.
- •• Appear for a job in terview (see the exception below).
- Stating orally or in writing a definite intent not to comply with program requirements.
- Threatening, physically a busing or otherwise behaving disruptively toward anyone c onducting or participating in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activity.
- Refusing employm ent support services if the refusal prevents p articipation in an em ployment and/or selfsufficiency-related activity.

GOOD CAUSE FOR NONCOMPLIANCE

Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency-related act ivities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. A claim of

good cause must be verified and documented for member adds and recipients.

NONCOMPLIANCE

PENALTIES FOR ACTIVE FIP CASES AND MEMBER ADDS

The penalty for noncompliance without good cause is FIP closure.

Effective April 1, 2007, the following minimum penalties apply:

- For the first occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for 3 calendar months unless the client is excused from the noncompliance as noted in "First Case Noncom pliance Without Loss of Benefits" below.
- For the second occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for 3 calendar months.
- For the third and subsequent occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for 12 calendar months.

The penalty counter also begins April 1, 2007 regardless of the previous number of noncompliance penalties.

Begin the sanction period with the first pay period of a month. Penalties are automedically calculated be yeth entry of noncompliance without good cause on the FSSP. This applies to active FIP cases, including those with a member add who is a WEI JET participant.

TRIAGE

JET partic ipants will not be te minated f rom a JET program without first scheduling a "triage" meeting with the client to jointly discuss noncompliance and good cause. Locally coordinate a process to notify the MW A case manager of triage meetings including scheduling guidelines.

Clients can eithe r attend a m eeting or par ticipate in a conf erence call if atten dance at the triage m eeting is not p ossible. If a client calls to reschedule an already sc heduled triage m eeting, offer a phone conference at that tim e. Clients m ust comply with triage requirements within the negative action period.

When a phone triage is conducted for a first noncom pliance and the client agrees to com ply, complete the DHS-754, First Noncompliance Letter, as you would complete in a triage meeting. Note in the clien t sig nature box "Client Agreed by Phone". Immediately send a copy of the DHS-754 to the client and phone the JET case manager if the compliance activity is to attend JET.

Determine good cause based on the best inform ation available during the triage and prior to the negative action date. Good cause may be verified by information already on file with DHS or MWA. (BEM 233A)

In this case Claimant does not dispute that neither she nor met their participation requirements with the Michigan Works Agency/Jobs Education and Training Program (JET). Claimant asserts they had good cause because they were in a car accident on April 20, 2010 at approximately 11:00 am. Claimant testified that she was at a red light when she was rear ended by another car which pushed her car forward approximately 3 feet. Claimant testified that the air bag opened and her chest was hurt and back was hurt. Claimant testified they went to the Emergency Room, However, no documentation supporting that assertion has been submitted into evidence. Claimant has not submitted a police report of the alleged accident into evidence either. The medical documents in evidence do not convince this Administrative Law Judge that either Claimant or were unable to attend the Michigan Works Agency/Jobs Education and Training Program (JET) between April 21 & 30, 2010. No good cause exists for either Claimant or failure to participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides the Department of Human Services properly sanctioned Claimant's Family

2010-38048/GFH

Independence Program (FIP) case for failure to participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities.

It is ORDERED that the actions of the Department of Human Services, in this matter, are UPHELD.

/s/

Gary F. Heisler Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 8, 2010

Date Mailed: July 8, 2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not o rder a rehe aring or re consideration on the Departm ent's motion where the final decision cannot be implem ented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

GFH/alc

