## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

 			$\sim$
	$\mathbf{n}$	TTFR	( ) L

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2010-37958 4060 October 5, 2011 Macomb (50-36)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jonathan W. O	wens			
HEARING DECIS	SION			
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37; MCL 400.43 (a); Mich Admin C seq., upon a hearing request by the Department establish an over issuance (OI) of benefits to Res was held on October 5, 2011.	ode, R 400.941 a of Human Servi	and MCL 24.201, <i>et</i> ces (Department) to		
Respondent did not appear. This matter hav and due notice having been provided to Res Respondent's absence in accordance with Bridge 725.	spondent, the he	earing was held in		
Respondent appeared and testified.				
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Did Respondent receive an OI of ☐ FIP ☐ FAP	□ SDA ⊠ CDC	benefits?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material fac		rial, and substantial		
<ol> <li>Respondent was a recipient of  FIP FAR December 2009 and January 2010.</li> </ol>	P □ SDA ⊠ CE	OC during the period		

2.	Respondent received a $\square$ FIP $\square$ FAP $\square$ SDA $\boxtimes$ CDC OI during the period December 2009 and January 2010, due to $\square$ Department's $\boxtimes$ Respondent's error.			
3.	\$180.32 of the OI is still due and owing to the Department.			
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).				
The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.				
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal gulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.			
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through le 400.3180.			
and 199 The	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. e program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 d 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 0.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.			
	ditionally, the Department has sufficiently demonstrated that Respondent received DC benefits in excess of her eligibility.			
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly determined that Respondent received a \$180.32 OI of FIP FAP SDA CDC benefits.				

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, finds that the Department  $\boxtimes$  did  $\square$  did not make the correct determination to establish a debt.

Accordingly, the Department is AFFIRMED for the reasons stated on the record.

☐ The Department is ORDERED to initiate collection procedures in accordance with Department policy.

/ Jonathan W. Owens Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 6, 2011

Date Mailed: October 6, 2011

**NOTICE:** The law provides that within 60 days from the mailing date of the above hearing Decision the Respondent may appeal it to the circuit court for the county in which he/she resides or has his or her principal place of business in this state, or in the circuit court for Ingham County. Administrative Hearings, on its own motion, or on request of a party within 60 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, may order a rehearing.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

## 2010-37958/JWO

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## JWO/pf

