STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

,

Reg. No: 2010-37908

Issue No: <u>1038</u>

Case No:

Load No: Hearing Date:

July 1, 2010

Gratiot County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Gary F. Heisler

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on July 1, 2010. Claimant appeared and testified.

ISSUE

Claimant

Did the Department of Human Services properly sanction Claimant's Family

Independence Program (FIP) case for failure to participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

(1) Claimant was an ongoing recipient of Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits. Claimant was a mandatory participant in the Michigan Works Agency/Jobs Education and Training Program (JET).

- (2) On February 12, 2010, of the Michigan Works Agency/Jobs Education and Training Program (JET) recorded that Claimant was in non-compliance due to her failure to submit an activity log for the week starting January 31, 2010.
- (3) On February 17, 2010, the Michigan Works Agency/Jobs Education and Training Program (JET) requested a triage due to Claimant's failure to participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities. Claimant was sent a Notice of Non-Compliance (DHS-2444) which scheduled a triage meeting for February 23, 2010.
- (4) On February 23, 2010, Claimant did not appear for the triage meeting or reschedule the meeting. The Department determined there was no good cause for Claimant's failure to participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities.
- (5) On February 24, 2010, Claimant came to the Department local office and submitted an activity log for the week starting January 31, 2010. The activity log was not complete because there were not contacts listed for all of the organizations Claimant seeks credit for applying at.
- (6) On April 28, 2010, Claimant was sent notice that her Family Independence Program (FIP) case would be sanctioned.
- (7) On May 4, 2010, Claimant submitted a timely request for hearing.CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program

effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Department policy provides the following guidance for case workers. The Department's policies are available on the internet through the Department's website.

FAILURE TO MEET EMPLOYMENT AND/OR SELFSUFFICIENCY-RELATED REQUIREMENTS: FIP

DEPARTMENT PHILOSOPHY

FIP

DHS requires clien ts to partic ipate in employment and self-sufficiency related activities and to accept employment when offered. Our focus is to assist clients in removing barriers so they can participate in activities which lead to self-sufficiency. However, there are consequences for a client who refuses to participate, without good cause.

The goal of the FIP pe nalty policy is to obtain client compliance with appropriate work and/or self -sufficiency related assig nments and to ensure that barriers to such compliance have been identified and removed. The goal is to bring the client into compliance.

Noncompliance m ay be an indica tor of possible disabilities. Consider further exploration of any barriers.

DEPARTMENT POLICY

FIP

All Work Eligible Individual (WEI) and adult n on-WEIs (except ineligible grantees, clients deferred for lack of child care (DC) and disqualified aliens), see BEM 228, who fail, without good cause, to participate in employment or self-sufficiency-related activities, must be penalized.

Depending on the case situation, penalties include the following:

Delay in eligibility at application.

- Ineligibility (denial or termination of FIP with no minimum penalty period).
- Case closure for a minimum of three or 12 months.

See B EM 233B for the Food Assistance Program (FAP) policy when the F IP penalty is closur e. For the Refugee Assis tance Program (RAP) penalty policy, see BEM 233C.

NONCOMPLIANCE WITH EMPLOYMENT AND/OR SELFSUFFICIENCYRELATED ACTIVITIES

As a condition of eligibility, all WEIs and non-WEIs must work or engage in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities.

Noncompliance of applicants, recipients, or m ember adds m eans doing **any** of the following **without** good cause:

Exception: Do not apply the three or 12 month penalty to ineligible caretakers, clients deferred for lack of child care (DC) and disqualified aliens. Failure to complete a FAST or FSSP results in closure due to failure to provide requested verification.

Clients can reapply at any time.

- Failing or refusing to:
 - •• Appear and participate with the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other em ployment service provider.
 - •• Complete a Fam ily Autom ated Screen ing Tool (FAST), as assigned as the firs t step in the F SSP process.

Note: FIS should clear the FAST Fa ll Out Report and any FAST confirmation infor mation the client has obtained before considering a client noncompliant for FAST non-completion.

•• Develop a Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) or a Personal Responsibility Plan and Fa mily Contract (PRPFC).

Note: FIS must have scheduled a FSSP completion appointment with the client and the client tailed to attend before considering a client noncompliant for FSSP non-completion.

- •• Comply with activ ities assigned to on the Family Self Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) or PRPFC.
- •• Provide le gitimate d ocumentation of work participation.
- Appear for a scheduled appointment or meeting.
- •• Participate in em ployment and/o r s elf-sufficiency-related activities.
- •• Accept a job referral.
- •• Complete a job application.
- •• Appear for a job in terview (see the exception below).
- Stating orally or in writing a definite intent not to com ply with program requirements.
- Threatening, physically a busing or otherwise behaving disruptively toward anyone c onducting or participating in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activity.
- Refusing employm ent support services if the refusal prevents p articipation in an em ployment and/or selfsufficiency-related activity.

GOOD CAUSE FOR NONCOMPLIANCE

Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency-related act ivities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. A claim of good cause must be verified and documented for member adds and recipients.

NONCOMPLIANCE PENALTIES FOR ACTIVE FIP CASES AND MEMBER ADDS

The penalty for noncompliance without good cause is FIP closure.

Effective April 1, 2007, the following minimum penalties apply:

• For the first occurrence on the FIP case, clos e the FIP for 3 calendar months unless the client is excused from the

noncompliance as noted in "First Case Noncom pliance Without Loss of Benefits" below.

- For the second occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for 3 calendar months.
- For the third and subsequent occurrence on the FIP cas e, close the FIP for 12 calendar months.

The penalty counter also begins April 1, 2007 regardless of the previous number of noncompliance penalties.

Begin the sanction period with the effirst pay period of a month. Penalties are automeatically calculated be yether entry of noncompliance without good cause on the FSSP. This applies to active FIP cases, including those with a member add who is a WEI JET participant.

TRIAGE

JET partic ipants will not be te minated f rom a JET program without first scheduling a "triage" meeting with the client to jointly discuss noncompliance and good cause. Locally coordinate a process to notify the MW A case manager of triage meetings including scheduling guidelines.

Clients can eithe r attend a m eeting or par ticipate in a conf erence call if atten dance at the triage m eeting is not p ossible. If a client calls to reschedule an already sc heduled triage m eeting, offer a phone conference at that tim e. Clients m ust comply with triage requirements within the negative action period.

When a phone triage is conducted for a first noncom pliance and the client agrees to com ply, complete the DHS-754, First Noncompliance Letter, as you would complete in a triage meeting. Note in the clien t sig nature box "Client Agreed by Phone". Immediately send a copy of the DHS-754 to the client and phone the JET case manager if the compliance activity is to attend JET.

Determine good cause based on the best inform ation available during the triage and prior to the negative action date. Good cause may be verified by information already on file with DHS or MWA. (BEM 233A)

2010-37908/GFH

In this case Claimant disputes that she failed to turn in an activity log for the week

starting January 31, 2010. Claimant testified that she thinks that she turned in the job search log

back then. Michigan Works Agency/Jobs Education and Training Program (JET) Torres was

employed to track and manage the participation of persons assigned to the program, and kept

records of the individual performance of participants.

are found more reliable and credible than Claimant's testimony that she thinks she turned in the

job search log.

Claimant's failure to provide timely, verifiable, proof that she met the participation

requirements for the week starting January 31, 2010 is a failure to participate in employment

and/or self-sufficiency related activities. No further analysis is required to decide this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of

law, decides the Department of Human Services properly sanctioned Claimant's Family

Independence Program (FIP) case for failure to participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency

related activities.

It is ORDERED that the actions of the Department of Human Services, in this matter, are

UPHELD.

/s/

Gary F. Heisler

Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director

Department of Human Services

7

2010-37908/GFH

Date Signed: July 8, 2010

Date Mailed: July 12, 2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not o rder a rehe aring or re consideration on the Departm ent's motion where the final decision cannot be implem ented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

GFH/alc

