STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No: 2010-37627

Issue No: <u>2009</u>

Case No:

Hearing Date: August 5, 2010

St. Clair County DHS



ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Y. Lain for Jay W. Sexton

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notic e, a telephone hearing was held on August 5, 2010. Claimant was represented at the hearing by

This hearing was originally held by Administrative Law Judge Jay Sexton. Jay Sexton is no lon ger affiliated with the Michigan Adm inistrative Hearing System Administrative Hearings for the Department of Human Services. This hearing decision was completed by Administrative Law Judge Landis Y. Lain by considering the entire record.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department of Human Services (the department) properly deny claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) On January 7, 2010, claimant filed an application for Medical As sistance, retroactive Medical Assistance and St ate Disability Assistance benefits alleging disability.
- (2) On March 10, 2010, the Medical Review Team denied claimant's application stating that claimant's impairments lack duration.
- (3) On March 10, 2010, the department ca seworker sent claimant notice that his application was denied.

- (4) On May 28, 2010, claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.
- (5) On June 11, 2010, the State Hearing Review Team again denied claimant's application st ating in its' analy sis and recommendation: the claimant was hunting and fell from a deer blind in and fractured multiple ribs. He al so had a chest t ube ins ertion for pneumothorax. He developed AFIB which eventually converted to sinus rhythm with treatment. The medical evid ence of record indicates that the claimant's condition is improving or is expected to improve within 12 months from the date of onset or from the date of surgery. Therefore, MA-P is denied due to lack of duration un der 20 CF R 416.909. Retroactive MA-P was considered in this cas e and is also denied. SDA is denied per PEM 261 as the impairments would not preclude all work for 90 days.
- (6) The hearing was held on August 5, 2010. At the hearing, claimant waived the time periods and requested to submit additional medical information.
- (7) Additional medical information wa s submitted and sent to the State Hearing Review Team on August 9, 2010.
- (8) On August 11, 2010, the State H earing Review Team again denied claimant's application st ating in it's analy sis and recommendation: the claimant was hunting and fell from a deer blind in December 2009 and fractured multiple ribs. He al so had chest tube inser pneumothorax. He developed AFIB which eventually converted to sinus rhythm with treatment. In June 2010 he still had pai n in the rib and ches t tube site but his phys ical examination was otherwise within normal limits. The objective evidence does not support this significant level of limitation indicated by the claimant's family physician. The c laimant's impairments do not meet/equal the intent or severity of a Soc ial Security listing. The medical evidence of record indicates that the claimant retains the capacity to perform a wide range of unskilled work. In lieu of detailed work history, the claimant will be returned to ot her wor k. Therefore, based on the claimant's vocational profile of closely approaching advanced age at 53. 15 years of education and a hist ory of semi-skilled work, MA-P is denie d using Voc ational Rule 202.14 as a guide. Retroactive MA-P was considered in this case and is also denied. SDA is denied per PEM 261 because the nature and severity of the claimant's impairments would not preclude work activity at the above stated level for 90 days.
- (9) On October 12, 2011, the Administra tive Law Judge r eceived an SOLQ from the Social Security Administration which indicates that claimant has a fully favorable dec ision from the Soci al Security Administration dated August 24, 2011, with a disability onset date of November 23, 2009, and is in current payment status.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An oppor tunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his or her claim for assistance has been denied. MAC R 400.903(1). Clients have the right to contest a department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Service s (DHS or department) admin isters the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department polic ies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

A person eligible for retirement, survivor s, and disability insurance (RSDI) benefits based on a disability or blindness, meets the disability or blindness criteria. Disability or blindness starts from the RSDI Disability onset date established by the Social Security Administration (SSA). This inc ludes a person whose entire RSDI benefit is being withheld from recoupment. No other evidence is required. BEM, Item 260, p. 1.

On August 24, 2011, the Soc ial Security Administration det ermined that claimant was eligible for RSDI with a disa bility onset date of November 23, 2009. Because of the Social Se curity Ad ministration Determination it is no longer necessa ry for this Administrative Law Judge to address the issue of disability under the circumstances. The department required to initiate a determination that claimant's financial eligibility for the requested benefits if not previously done.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides that based upon the Augus t 24, 2011, decision by the Social Security Administration that found claimant disabled under Social Security rules with a RSDI disability onset date of November 23, 2 009, that claimant meet s the definition of medically disabled under the Medical Assistance and retroactive Medical Assistance programs as of the November 23, 2009, disability onset date. Claimant also meets the

definition of medically disabled for purposes of State Disability Assistance benefits as of the January 7, 2010, State Disability Assistance benefit application.

Accordingly, the department's decision is REVERSED. The depar tment is ORDERED to initiate a review of the January 7, 2010, Medical A ssistance, Stated Disabilit y Assistance and retroactive Medical Assistance application if it has not already done so, to determine if all other non-m edical eligibility cr iteria are met and if so, determine eligibility for Medical Assistance and retroac tive Medical Assistance ben efits for the month of N ovember 2009 forward and the State Disability Assistance from January 7, 2010, forward. The department shall inform the claimant of the determination in writing. The department is also ORDERED to conduct a Medical Review of claimant's continued eligibility in November 2012.

Landis
Y. Lain
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 10/24/11

Date Mailed: _____10/26/11

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

LYL/alc

