# STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No: 2010-36124

Issue No: 6019

Case No: Load No:

Hearing Date: August 24, 2010 Berrien County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Gary F. Heisler

#### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on August 24, 2010. Claimant appeared and testified.

#### <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department of Human Services pro perly end Claimant's aut horization for Child Development and Care (CDC) on December 10, 2009?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) Claimant was an ongoing recipient of Child Development and Care (CDC) benefits while she attended school.
- (2) On December 10, 2009 classes ended for Claimant's school term.
- (3) On December 11, 2009 Claimant was s ent a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605) stating her Child Development and Care (CDC) benefits were closed.
- (4) On March 4, 2010 Claimant submitted a request for hearing.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Child Development and Care program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996.

The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) provides services to adults and children pursuant to MC L 400.14(1) and M AC R 400.5001-5015. Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

During this hearing Claimant stated that s he did not dispute the school term ended or that she was not authorized CDC benefit s while she was not in school. Claimant explained her primary reason for requesting this hearing was that the CDC benefits had not been restarted when her sc hool restarted. It was explained to Claim ant that her concern could not be addressed in this hearing because the record contains no evidence or information that she had been denied CDC benefits when school restarted.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides the Department of Human Services properly ended Claimant's authorization for Child Development and Care (CDC) on December 10, 2009.

It is ORDERED that the actions of the Department of Human Services, in this matter, are UPHELD.

/s/	<u></u>
	Gary F. Heisler Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services
Date Signed: September 14, 2010	
Date Mailed: September 16, 2010	

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

### 2010-36124/GFH

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

## GFH/alc

