STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

,

Claimant

Reg. No: 2010-35814

Issue No: 2009; 4031

Case No: Load No:

Load No: Hearing Date:

June 24, 2010

Dickinson County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Janice Spodarek

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; MSA 16.409 and MCL 400.37; MSA 16.437 upon claimant's request for a hearing to protest the denial of claimant's application for MA and SDA. After due notice, a three-way telephone hearing was held on June 24, 2010. Claimant was represented at the administrative hearing by

ISSUE

Whether claimant meets the disability criteria for Medical Assistance (MA) and State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

 On November 25, 2009, claimant applied for MA-P and SDA with the Michigan DHS.

- (2) Claimant did not apply for retro MA.
- (3) On February 16, 2010, MRT denied.
- (4) On February 18, 2010, the department issued notice.
- (5) On May 18, 2010, claimant filed a hearing request.
- (6) On May 28, 2010, SHRT denied claimant.
- (7) At the conclusion of the hearing, the record was held open at claimant's request for the submission of additional medical records.
- (8) Subsequent to the hearing, the Social Security Administration determined that the claimant met the disability criteria for the programs with a disability onset date beginning November, 2009.
- (9) On August 25, 2010, the undersigned Administrative Law Judge received an SOLQ SSA Response Report indicating claimant was approved disability benefits by SSA. Claimant's onset date is identified as November, 2009. There are no months left for a substantive review in the case herein.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative

2010-35814/JGS

Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual

(PRM).

Because of the Social Security Administration determination, it is not necessary for the

Administrative Law Judge to discuss the issue of disability. PEM, Item 260; PEM, Item 261.

The department is required to initiate a determination of claimant's financial eligibility for

the requested benefits, if required by DHS policy and procedure.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions

of law, decides that the claimant meets the definition of medically disabled under the MA and

SDA programs as of the November 25, 2009 application date, including any retro MA months, if

otherwise eligible, and as permitted under policy and procedure.

The department shall review this case in accordance with its usual policy and procedure.

/s/ Janice Spodarek

Administrative Law Judge

for Ismael Ahmed, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: September 1, 2010_

Date Mailed: September 2, 2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's

motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the

original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt

of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the

receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JS/tg

3

