STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

,

Claimant

Reg. No: 201035698

Issue No: 2006, 3008, 3015

Case No:

Load No:

Hearing Date: June 21, 2010

Washtenaw County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lauren S. Harkness

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on June 21, 2010. Claimant appeared at the hearing as did Family Independence Specialist on behalf of the Department of Human Services. (Department)

ISSUE

Did the Department act properly within its policies when it denied Claimant's application for the Food Assistance Program (FAP) and for Medicaid (MA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

 Claimant submitted the first page of an application for MA and for FAP on April 6, 2010.

- 2. The Department sent Claimant a verification checklist on April 21, 2010 asking for complete application information, including income verification.
- 3. Claimant sent the requested information on April 26, 2010 *but for* the income verification. He did disclose that he receives a pension but he did not provide verification of the amount. Claimant also receives RSDI income of
 - 4. Claimant's household for FAP purposes included his adult son.
- 5. The Department denied Claimant's application on May 5, 2010, due to his failure to verify his income.
 - 6. Claimant filed his request for this hearing on April 27, 2010.
- 7. Claimant supplied written verification of his pension income at the June 21, 2010 hearing.
- 8. The Department representative at the hearing was not able to calculate whether Claimant would be eligible based on the income thus verified but agreed that Claimant is certainly allowed to re-apply.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015.

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges

Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Departmental policy states:

CLIENT OR AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE RESPONSIBILITIES

Responsibility to Cooperate

All Programs

Clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility. This includes completion of the necessary forms. BAM, Item 105, p. 5.

Refusal to Cooperate Penalties

All Programs

Clients who are able but refuse to provide necessary information or take a required action are subject to penalties. BAM, Item 105, p. 5.

Verifications

All Programs

Clients must take actions within their ability to obtain verifications. DHS staff must assist when necessary. See BAM 130 and BEM 702. BAM, Item 105, p. 8.

VERIFICATION AND COLLATERAL CONTACTS

DEPARTMENT POLICY

All Programs

Verification means documentation or other evidence to establish the accuracy of the client's verbal or written statements.

Obtain verification when:

required by policy. BEM items specify which factors and under what circumstances verification is required.

- required as a local office option. The requirement must be applied the same for every client. Local requirements may not be imposed for MA, TMA-Plus or AMP without prior approval from central office.
- information regarding an eligibility factor is unclear, inconsistent, incomplete or contradictory. The questionable information might be from the client or a third party. BAM, Item 130, p. 1.

Verification is usually required at application/redetermination **and** for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level. BAM, Item 130, p. 1.

Timeliness Standards

All Programs (except TMAP)

Allow the client 10 calendar days (**or** other time limit specified in policy) to provide the verification you request. If the client <u>cannot</u> provide the verification despite a reasonable effort, extend the time limit at least once. BAM, Item 130, p. 4.

Send a negative action notice when:

- . the client indicates refusal to provide a verification, or
- the time period given has elapsed and the client has <u>not</u> made a reasonable effort to provide it. BAM, Item 130, p. 4.

MA Only

Send a negative action notice when:

- . the client indicates refusal to provide a verification, or
- . the time period given has elapsed. BAM, Item 130, p. 4.

Here, Claimant did not timely provide the requested income (pension) verification and there is no claim or showing that he could not do so or that he needed Department assistance to obtain the needed verification.

201035698/LSH

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides the Department acted properly within its policies in denying Claimant's application for FAP and MA benefits due to Claimant's failure to cooperate by timely providing the requested income verification.

It is ORDERED that the actions of the Department of Human Services are UPHELD in this matter.

s/

Lauren S. Harkness Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: September 28, 2010

Date Mailed: September 28, 2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

LSH/vc

cc:

