

STATE OF MICHIGAN
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: [REDACTED],
Claimant

Reg. No: 2010-34191
Issue No: 3014
Case No: [REDACTED]
Load No: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date:
June 8, 2010
Saginaw County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge by authority of MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37. Claimant's request for a hearing was received on April 22, 2010. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Tuesday, June 8, 2010.

ISSUE

Whether the Department of Human Services (Department) properly determined the Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) eligibility?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) The Claimant applied for FAP benefits April 19, 2010.
- (2) The Claimant noted on her FAP application that her son lived in the household.
- (3) The Department included the son's income in the Claimant's FAP budget.

(4) On April 19, 2010, the Department completed a FAP budget that determined the Claimant was entitled to a monthly FAP allotment of [REDACTED] as of May 1, 2010.

(5) The Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing on April 22, 2010, protesting the reduction of her FAP benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Reference Table Manual (RFT), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

For FAP purposes, all earned and unearned income available to the Claimant is countable. Earned income means income received from another person or organization or from self-employment for duties for duties that were performed for compensation or profit. Unearned income means all income that is not earned, including but not limited to funds received from the Family Independence Program (FIP), State Disability Assistance (SDA), Child Development and Care (CDC), Medicaid (MA), Social Security Benefits (RSDI/SSI), Veterans Administration (VA), Unemployment Compensation Benefits (UCB), Adult Medical Program (AMA), alimony, and child support payments. The amount counted may be more than the client actually receives because the gross amount is used prior to any deductions. BEM 500.

FAP group composition is established by determining who lives together, the relationship of the people who live together, whether the people living together purchase and prepare food

together or separately, and whether the persons resides in an eligible living situation. BEM 212.

Parents and their children under 22 years of age who live together must be in the same group.

BEM 212. Income of a group member shall be disregarded if that person is under age 18, attending school, and is living with someone who provides care or supervision. BEM 501.

On April 19, 2010, the Claimant listed her son on her application for FAP benefits. Because the son is under 22-years-old and lives in the same household, he must be included in the Claimant's FAP group regardless of whether he purchases food separately from his mother. Because the son is age 19, his income cannot be disregarded from the FAP budget.

The Claimant argued that she does not expect her son to apply his earnings towards her care, and that the Department should not counted these earnings towards her FAP allotment. The claimant's grievance centers on dissatisfaction with the department's current policy. The Claimant's request is not within the scope of authority delegated to this Administrative Law Judge. Administrative Law Judges have no authority to make decisions on constitutional grounds, overrule statutes, overrule promulgated regulations, or make exceptions to the department policy set out in the program manuals. Furthermore, administrative adjudication is an exercise of executive power rather than judicial power, and restricts the granting of equitable remedies. *Michigan Mutual Liability Co. v Baker*, 295 Mich 237; 294 NW 168 (1940).

Based on the evidence and testimony available at trial, the Department has established that the Claimant's son must be included in her FAP group, and that his income must be included in the Claimant's FAP budget.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department acted in accordance with policy in determining the Claimant's FAP eligibility.

The Department's FAP eligibility determination is AFFIRMED. It is SO ORDERED.

/s/

Kevin Scully
Administrative Law Judge
for Ismael Ahmed, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 24, 2010

Date Mailed: June 24, 2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

KS/vc

cc:

