

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

██████████,

Claimant,

Reg. No: 2010-33849

Issue No: 6019

Case No: ██████████

Load No: ██████████

Hearing Date:

July 6, 2010

Washtenaw County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Steven M. Brown

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Lansing, Michigan on July 6, 2010.

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly computed Claimant's Child Development and Care (CDC) eligibility?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On January 4, 2010, Claimant applied for FAP and CDC benefits.
2. Claimant was approved for FAP and CDC benefits based on \$0 income.
3. On April 29, 2010, the Department sent Claimant a Verification Checklist, DHS-3503, requesting proof of income.

4. On May 4, 2010, Claimant returned her income information to the Department. (Exhibit 2)

5. The Department completed a CDC budget based on Claimant's income which resulted in a termination of Claimant's CDC benefits. (Exhibit 3)

6. On May 4, 2010, the Department mailed Claimant a Notice of Case Action which informed Claimant that her CDC case was closed for 01/03/2010 – 01/30/2010 and 05/23/2010 – ongoing and that her Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits would decrease effective June 1, 2010. (Exhibit 1)

7. On May 7, 2010, the Department received Claimant's hearing request in regard to her CDC and FAP benefits. At hearing, Claimant stated that she only wanted a hearing on the closure of her CDC case.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Child Development and Care program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and MAC R 400.5001-5015. Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

All earned and unearned income available to the Claimant is countable. Earned income means income received from another person or organization or from self-employment for duties that were performed for remuneration or profit. Unearned income

means ALL income that is not earned and includes FIP, RSDI, SSI and UB. The amount counted may be more than the client actually receives because the gross amount is used prior to any deductions. BEM 500

The Department determines a client's eligibility for program benefits based on the client's actual income and/or prospective income. Actual income is income that was already received. Prospective income is income not yet received but expected.

Prospective budgeting is the best estimate of the client's future income. BEM 505

All income is converted to a standard monthly amount. If the client is paid weekly, the Department multiplies the average weekly amount by 4.3. If the client is paid every other week, the Department multiplies the average bi-weekly amount by 2.15.

BEM 505

In the instant case, Claimant agreed that the Department used the correct income in completing the CDC Budget, but felt that the Department should have used the net amount of her wages as opposed to the gross amount. Administrative Law Judges, however, have no authority to make decisions on constitutional grounds, overrule statutes, overrule promulgated regulations or overrule or make exceptions to the Department policy set out in the program manuals.

With the above said, based on the testimony and documentation offered at hearing, I find that the Department established that it acted in accordance with policy in computing Claimant's CDC eligibility.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that the Department acted in accordance with policy in computing Claimant's CDC eligibility.

Accordingly, the Department's CDC eligibility determination is AFFIRMED, it is SO ORDERED.

/s/

\_\_\_\_\_  
Steven M. Brown  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Ismael Ahmed, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 7, 2010

Date Mailed: July 7, 2010

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SMB/tg

cc:

[REDACTED]