STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: Reg. No.: 201033821 Issue No.: 3002, 2013

Case No.:

Claimant Load No: Hearing Date:

June 17, 2010

Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jeanne M. VanderHeide

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Detroit, Michigan on June 17, 2010. The Claimant appeared and testified.



ISSUE

- Whether the Department properly closed the Claimant's Adult Medical Program
 ("AMP"), benefits based on excess income effective 5/1/10?
- Whether the Department properly calculated the Claimant's Food Assistance
 Program ("FAP") benefits and decreased the FAP award effective May 1, 2010.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant was an active AMP and FAP recipeint.

- 2. The Department received notice that Claimant began receiving unemployment compensation benefits (UCB). (Exhibit 1, pp. 2-5).
- 3. As a result the Department recalculated FAP benefits effective the month of May, 2010. (Exhibit 1, p. 13)
- 4. An AMP budget performed at the same time revealed that Claimant was over income for AMP with his unearned income.
- 5. Claimant's AMP benefits were terminated effective 5/1/10. (Exhibit 1, pp. 5-7).
- The Unemployment Compensation Benefit search reveals that as of March, 2010,
 Claimant was receiving \$262.00 per week in UCB.
- 7. Claimant testified that he is responsible for rent in the amount of \$450.00 per month. Claimant is also responsible for utilities in his household.
- 8. The Department closed Claimant's AMP and decreased Claimant's FAP benefits effective 5/1/10.
- 9. On April 30, 2010, the Department received Claimant's hearing request contesting the FAP decrease and AMP closure.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. AMP

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by Title XXI of the Social Security Act; (1115) (a) (1) of the Social Security Act, and is administered by the Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Reference Tables ("RFT").

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is available to individuals who meet all the eligibility factors. BEM 640. The program group's countable assets cannot exceed the AMP asset limit in BEM 400. Income eligibility exists when the program's net income does not excess the program group's AMP income limit. BEM 640, p. 3, RFT 236. Countable income is income remaining after applying AMP policy in BEM 500. Id. The Department is to use only available income. Available means income which is received or can reasonably be anticipated. For average income received in one month which is intended to cover several months, the Department is instructed to divide the income by the number of months it covers to determine the monthly available income. The average amount is considered available in each of the months. BEM 640, p. 4.

The monthly income limit in April of 2010 for an AMP group of one living independently was \$316.00 per month. BEM 640, RFT 236. In the present case, Claimant receives unemployment compensation benefits in the amount of \$262.00/week (prospected out to \$1135.00 per month). Consequently, Claimant is over the income limit for the AMP program. Claimant is consequently ineligible to receive Medical Assistance through the AMP program. The undersigned finds that the Department has acted in accordance with department policy and law in closing Claimant's AMP benefits as Claimant was over income.

B. FAP

The Food Assistance Program, formerly known as the Food Stamp ("FS") program, is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR"). The Department of Human Services ("DHS"), formally known as the Family Independence Agency, administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et. seq.* and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Departmental

policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Reference Tables ("RFT").

The federal regulations define household income to include all earned income. 7 CFR 273.9(b). All monthly income must be converted to a nonfluctuating monthly amount. Only 80% of earned income is counted in determining FAP benefits. BEM 550. Under 7 CFR 273.9, as amended, \$144.00 is deducted from the gross income of FAP recipients in determining FAP grants. A non-categorically eligible Senior/Disabled/Veteran (SDV) FAP group must have income below the net income limits. BEM 550.

In the present case, the Claimant did not dispute the amount of income or shelter expenses used by the Department in its budget calculation. The Administrative Law Judge has personally checked the Department's FAP calculations according to the aforementioned policy on budgeting and does not find any error in the Department's FAP budgets.

Claimant noted that he does not have enough money on which to live. The undersigned appreciates that economic times are difficult, but finds that the Department properly calculated benefits. Based upon the foregoing facts and relevant law, it is found that the Department's determination is AFFIRMED.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that the Department properly closed the Claimant's AMP benefits for excess income effective 5/1/10. Accordingly, the Department's AMP closure is AFFIRMED.

Furthermore, the Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that the Department properly calculated the Claimant's FAP allotment.

Accordingly, the Department's FAP determination effective 5/1/10 is AFFIRMED.

/s/

Jeanne M. VanderHeide
Administrative Law Judge
for Ismael Ahmed, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 29, 2010

Date Mailed: June 29, 2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JV/hw

cc:

