#### STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909 (877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 334-9505

IN THE MATTER OF:

Appellant

Docket No. 2010-3275 HHS Case No.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200 *et seq.*, upon the Appellant's request for a hearing.

After due notice, a hearing was held on appeared on behalf of the Appellant. appeared as a witness for the Appellant. represented the Department (DHS). appeared as a witness for the Department.

, stepdaughter, , Appeals Review Officer, , Adult Services Worker,

## **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly reduce Home Help Services payments to the Appellant?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Appellant is a year-old woman with multiple sclerosis, psoriasis, diabetes, and congestive heart failure. (Exhibit 1, page 10).
- 2. The Appellant is a Medicaid beneficiary.
- 3. The Appellant resides a home with
- 4. The Appellant's daughter, , is her chore provider.

- 5. On Appellant's home to conduct a Home Help Services assessment. The Appellant and her son in law were present in the home. (Exhibit 1, page 8).
- 6. As a result of the information gathered at the assessment, the worker decreased the HHS hours authorized for housework, laundry, shopping and meal preparation for the Appellant. (Exhibit 1, page 9).
- 7. DHS policy requires tasks of housework, laundry, shopping and meal preparation to be prorated by the number of adults living in the home.
- 8. On Notice notifying the Appellant that her Home Help Services payments would be reduced to per month, effective to . (Exhibit 1, pages 6-7).
- 9. On **example 1**, the State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules received the Appellant's Request for Hearing. (Exhibit 1, pages 3-4).

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

Home Help Services (HHS) are provided to enable functionally limited individuals to live independently and receive care in the least restrictive, preferred settings. These activities must be certified by a physician and may be provided by individuals or by private or public agencies.

Adult Services Manual (ASM 363, 9-1-08), pages 2-5 of 24 addresses the issue of assessment:

## COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT

The Adult Services Comprehensive Assessment (FIA-324) is the primary tool for determining need for services. The comprehensive assessment will be completed on all open cases, whether a home help payment will be made or not. ASCAP, the automated workload management system provides the format for the comprehensive assessment and all information will be entered on the computer program.

Requirements for the comprehensive assessment include, but are not limited to:

- A comprehensive assessment will be completed on all new cases.
- A face-to-face contact is required with the client in his/her place of residence.
- An interview must be conducted with the caregiver, if applicable.
- Observe a copy of the client's social security card.
- Observe a picture I.D. of the caregiver, if applicable.
- The assessment must be updated as often as necessary, but minimally at the six-month review and annual redetermination.
- A release of information must be obtained when requesting documentation from confidential sources and/or sharing information from the department record.
- Follow specialized rules of confidentiality when ILS cases have companion APS cases.

# **Functional Assessment**

The **Functional Assessment** module of the **ASCAP** comprehensive assessment is the basis for service planning and for the HHS payment.

Conduct a functional assessment to determine the client's ability to perform the following activities:

Activities of Daily Living (ADL)

- Eating
- Toileting
- Bathing
- Grooming
- Dressing
- Transferring
- Mobility

Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL)

- Taking Medication
- Meal Preparation and Cleanup
- Shopping
- Laundry
- Light Housework

#### Docket No. 2010-3275 HHS Hearing Decision & Order

Functional Scale ADL's and IADL's are assessed according to the following five-point scale:

1. Independent

Performs the activity safely with no human assistance.

- 2. Verbal Assistance
  - Performs the activity with verbal assistance such as reminding, guiding or encouraging.
- 3. Some Human Assistance

Performs the activity with some direct physical assistance and/or assistive technology.

4. Much Human Assistance

Performs the activity with a great deal of human assistance and/or assistive technology.

5. Dependent

Does not perform the activity even with human assistance and/or assistive technology.

**Note:** HHS payments may only be authorized for needs assessed at the 3 level or greater.

## Time and Task

The worker will allocate time for each task assessed a rank of 3 or higher, based on interviews with the client and provider, observation of the client's abilities and use of the reasonable time schedule (RTS) as a guide. The RTS can be found in **ASCAP** under the **Payment** module, Time and Task screen.

IADL Maximum Allowable Hours

There are monthly maximum hour limits on all IADLs except medication. The limits are as follows:

- 5 hours/month for shopping
- 6 hours/month for light housework
- 7 hours/month for laundry
- 25 hours/month for meal preparation

These are maximums; as always, if the client needs fewer hours, that is what must be authorized. Hours should continue to be prorated in shared living arrangements.

## Service Plan Development

Address the following factors in the development of the service plan:

- The specific services to be provided, by whom and at what cost.
- The extent to which the client does not perform activities essential to caring for self. The intent of the Home Help program is to assist individuals to function as independently as possible. It is important to work with the recipient and the provider in developing a plan to achieve this goal.
- The kinds and amounts of activities required for the client's maintenance and functioning in the living environment.
- The availability or ability of a responsible relative or legal dependent of the client to perform the tasks the client does not perform. Authorize HHS **only** for those services or times which the responsible relative/legal dependent is unavailable or unable to provide.
- Do **not** authorize HHS payments to a responsible relative or legal dependent of the client.
- The extent to which others in the home are able and available to provide the needed services. Authorize HHS **only** for the benefit of the client and **not** for others in the home. If others are living in the home, prorate the IADL's by at least 1/2, more if appropriate.
- The availability of services currently provided free of charge. A written statement by the provider that he is no longer able to furnish the service at no cost is sufficient for payment to be authorized as long as the provider is not a responsible relative of the client.
- HHS may be authorized when the client is receiving other home care services if the services are not duplicative (same service for same time period).

Adult Services Manual (ASM) 9-1-2008, Pages 2-5 of 24

### Docket No. 2010-3275 HHS Hearing Decision & Order

On **Construction**, the Adult Services Worker (worker) completed an HHS comprehensive assessment for redetermination in accordance with Department policy. (Exhibit 1 page 8) The worker testified that using the functional scale, based on his observations and the information he was provided at the time of the assessment, HHS hours authorized for housework, laundry, shopping and meal preparation were decreased. The worker testified proration was applied to the HHS hours for these activities in accordance with Department policy requiring that these IADL's be prorated based upon the number of adults living in the home.

The Appellant's representative testified that she disagreed with these reductions because she does not understand why the Department would assume others in the home care for the Appellant. The Appellant's representative testified that she has to purchase separate foods and prepare separate portions of some foods for her mother due to dietary concerns such as lactose intolerance. Some of the shopping is also done separately as separate trips are made to pick up some additional items as the Appellant requests them. The Appellant's representative testified that she does her mothers laundry separately because of the psoriasis, even though the doctor indicates this condition is not contagious.

The policy implemented by the Department recognizes that in most cases, certain tasks are performed that benefit all members who reside in the home together, such as cleaning, laundry, shopping and meal preparation. Normally, it is appropriate to pro-rate the payment for those tasks by the number of adults residing in the home together, as the Appellant's family members would have to clean her own home, make meals, shop and do laundry for themselves if they did not reside with the Appellant. The HHS program will not compensate for tasks that benefit other members of a shared household. Accordingly, the authorized hours for these activities must be prorated under Department policy.

Department policy allows for a maximum of hours per month for housework, hours per month for shopping, hours per month for laundry and hours per month for meal preparation. In the present case, the Department ranked the Appellant as a level 5 for the activities of housework, laundry and meal preparation, indicating she is dependant on others and does not participate in meal preparation even with assistance. The Department ranked the Appellant as a level 4 for the activity of shopping indicating she requires a great deal of assistance to complete this activity. (Exhibit 1 pg. 11) After proration for a household of , the Department authorized per month for per month for housework, per month for laundry, and shopping, per month for meal preparation. (Exhibit 1 pg. 9) The authorized hours are of the maximum allowed for each of these activities and are approximately reflective of the Appellant's rankings for these activities.

The Appellant did not meet her burden of proving, by a preponderance of evidence, that the Department applied policy improperly in decreasing the authorized HHS hours for, housework, laundry, shopping and meal preparation. The Department provided sufficient evidence that it properly prorated the allowed hours based on the household composition of adults.

Docket No. 2010-3275 HHS Hearing Decision & Order

The Appellant's representative testified that some of the household members are only staying there on a temporary basis. When household members move out, the Appellant or her representative should notify the Department so that the new household composition can be considered in determining ongoing eligibility for home health services.

## DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that that the Department properly reduced the Appellant's HHS payments in the areas of housekeeping, laundry, shopping and meal preparation based on the household composition of adults.

## IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

The Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Colleen Lack Administrative Law Judge for Janet Olszewski, Director Michigan Department of Community Health

CC:



Date Mailed: 12/22/2009

#### \*\*\* NOTICE \*\*\*

The State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.