STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

,

Claimant

Issue No.: 2009

Case No.: Load No.:

Hearing Date: June 2, 2010

Macomb County DHS (20)

Reg. No.: 2010-32588

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held in Warren, Michigan on Wednesday, June 2, 2010. The Claimant appeared and testified. The Claimant was represented by _______ of ______.

During the hearing, the Claimant waived the time period for the issuance of this decision in order to allow for the submission of new medical evidence. The records forwarded to the State Hearing Review Team ("SHRT") for consideration. On June 21, 2010 the SHRT found the Claimant not disabled. This matter is now before the undersigned for a final decision.

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly determined that the Claimant was not disabled for purposes of Medical Assistance ("MA-P") benefit program?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant submitted a public assistance application seeking MA-P on June 29, 2009.
- 2. On November 3, 2009, the Medical Review Team ("MRT") deferred the disability determination requesting additional medical evidence. (Exhibit 1, p. 40)
- 3. On December 15, 2009, the Claimant attended a consultative examination. (Exhibit 1, pp. 3-9)
- 4. On January 12, 2010, the MRT found the Claimant not disabled and capable of performing other work. (Exhibit 1, pp. 1, 2)
- 5. The Department sent the Claimant an eligibility notice informing him that the MA-P benefits were denied.
- 6. On April 23, 2010, the Department received the Claimant's written request for hearing.
- 7. On May 6, 2010, the State Hearing Review Team ("SHRT") found the Claimant not disabled. (Exhibit 2)
- 8. The Claimant's alleged physical disabling impairments are due to leg/ankle pain, high blood pressure, renal failure, diabetes, and stroke.
- 9. The Claimant has not alleged any mental disabling impairment(s).
- 10. At the time of hearing, the Claimant was 44 years old with a date; was 5'7" in height; and weighed 205 pounds.
- 11. The Claimant is a high school graduate with a work history as a hi-lo driver, telemarketer, and general laborer.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance ("MA") program is established by Subchapter XIX of Chapter 7 of The Public Health & Welfare Act, 42 USC 1397, and is administered by the Department of Human Services ("DHS"), formerly known as the Family Independence Agency, pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq* and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Manual ("BRM").

Disability is defined as the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. 20 CFR 416.905(a) The person claiming a physical or mental disability has the burden to establish it through the use of competent medical evidence from qualified medical sources such as his or her medical history, clinical/laboratory findings, diagnosis/prescribed treatment, prognosis for recovery and/or medical assessment of ability to do work-relate activities or ability to reason and make appropriate mental adjustments, if a mental disability is alleged. 20 CRF 413.913 An individual's subjective pain complaints are not, in and of themselves, sufficient to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.908; 20 CFR 416.929(a) Similarly, conclusory statements by a physician or mental health professional that an individual is disabled or blind, absent supporting medical evidence, is insufficient to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.927

When determining disability, the federal regulations require several factors to be considered including: (1) the location/duration/frequency/intensity of an applicant's pain; (2) the type/dosage/effectiveness/side effects of any medication the applicants takes to relieve pain; (3)

any treatment other than pain medication that the applicant has received to relieve pain; and (4) the effect of the applicant's pain on his or her ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.929(c)(3) The applicant's pain must be assessed to determine the extent of his or her functional limitation(s) in light of the objective medical evidence presented. 20 CFR 416.929(c)(2)

In order to determine whether or not an individual is disabled, federal regulations require a five-step sequential evaluation process be utilized. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(1) The five-step analysis requires the trier of fact to consider an individual's current work activity; the severity of the impairment(s) both in duration and whether it meets or equals a listed impairment in Appendix 1; residual functional capacity to determine whether an individual can perform past relevant work; and residual functional capacity along with vocational factors (i.e. age, education, and work experience) to determine if an individual can adjust to other work. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4); 20 CFR 416.945

If an individual is found disabled, or not disabled, at any step, a determination or decision is made with no need evaluate subsequent steps. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4) If a determination cannot be made that an individual is disabled, or not disabled, at a particular step, the next step is required. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4) If an impairment does not meet or equal a listed impairment, an individual's residual functional capacity is assessed before moving from step three to step four. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4); 20 CFR 416.945 Residual functional capacity is the most an individual can do despite the limitations based on all relevant evidence. 20 CFR 945(a)(1) An individual's residual functional capacity assessment is evaluated at both steps four and five. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4) In determining disability, an individual's functional capacity to perform basic

work activities is evaluated and if found that the individual has the ability to perform basic work activities without significant limitation, disability will not be found. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv)

In general, the individual has the responsibility to prove disability. 20 CFR 416.912(a) An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not significantly limit an individual's physical or mental ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a) The individual has the responsibility to provide evidence of prior work experience; efforts to work; and any other factor showing how the impairment affects the ability to work. 20 CFR 416.912(c)(3)(5)(6) An individual is not disabled regardless of the medical condition, age, education, and work experience, if the individual is working and the work is a substantial, gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4)(i)

In the record presented, the Claimant is not involved in substantial gainful activity therefore the Claimant is not disqualified from receipt of disability benefits under Step 1.

The severity of the Claimant's alleged impairment(s) is considered under Step 2. The Claimant bears the burden to present sufficient objective medical evidence to substantiate the alleged disabling impairments. In order to be considered disabled for MA purposes, the impairment must be severe. 20 CFR 916.920(a)(4)(ii); 20 CFR 916.920(b) An impairment, or combination of impairments, is severe if it significantly limits an individual's physical or mental ability to do basic work activities regardless of age, education and work experience. 20 CFR 916.920(a)(4)(ii); 20 CFR 916.920(c) Basic work activities means the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. 20 CFR 916.921(b) Examples include:

- 1. Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- 2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;

- 3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- 4. Use of judgment;
- 5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- 6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting.

Id. The second step allows for dismissal of a disability claim obviously lacking in medical merit. *Higgs v Bowen*, 880 F2d 860, 862 (CA 6, 1988). The severity requirement may still be employed as an administrative convenience to screen out claims that are totally groundless solely from a medical standpoint. *Id.* at 863 *citing Farris v Sec of Health and Human Services*, 773 F2d 85, 90 n.1 (CA 6, 1985) An impairment qualifies as non-severe only if, regardless of a claimant's age, education, or work experience, the impairment would not affect the claimant's ability to work. *Salmi v Sec of Health and Human Services*, 774 F2d 685, 692 (CA 6, 1985)

In the present case, the Claimant alleges disability due to leg/ankle pain, high blood pressure, renal failure, diabetes, and stroke.

On the Claimant presented to the hospital with weakness. The Claimant was discharged on the with the diagnoses of CVA, uncontrolled hypertension, acute kidney injury with chronic kidney disease, diabetes, dyslipidemia, status post renal transplant, and right internal carotid artery stenosis. The Claimant's was discharged in stable condition and the right side weakness was noted.

On the Claimant attended a consultative evaluation. The Claimant was able to drive. The physical examination revealed some difficulty in getting up from a squatting position and when bending and twisting. The diagnosis documented a history of stroke with right hand numbness and weakness. There was no weakness observed in the right

upper/lower right extremities. The Claimant had difficulty bending, twisting, carrying, and going into a squatting position or standing too long. The range of motion testing was unremarkable and the Claimant did not require an assistive device for ambulation.

On Report was completed on behalf of the Claimant. The current diagnoses were in part, stroke, hypertension, degenerative joint disease, kidney transplant, and dyslipidemia. The other diagnoses were not legible. The Claimant was in stable condition and he was restricted to occasionally lifting/carrying 20 pounds; standing and/or walking less than 2 hours during an 8 hour workday with sitting at less than 6 hours during this same time frame; and able to perform reaching/pushing/pulling with both upper extremities as well as able to operate foot/leg controls with the lower extremities. The Claimant was able to perform simple grasping and fine manipulation with his left extremity only. An assistive device was needed for ambulation. The Claimant was also limited in his ability for sustained concentration, memory, comprehension, reading/writing, and social interaction. The Claimant was able to meet the demand of daily living.

No further records were submitted.

As previously noted, the Claimant bears the burden to present sufficient objective medical evidence to substantiate the alleged disabling impairment(s). As summarized above, the Claimant has presented objective medical evidence establishing that he does have physical limitations on his ability to perform basic work activities. Accordingly, the Claimant has an impairment, or combination thereof, that has more than a *de minimis* effect on the Claimant's basic work activities. Further, the impairments have lasted, or are expected to last, continuously for twelve months therefore, the Claimant is not disqualified from receipt of MA-P benefits under Step 2.

2010-32588/CMM

In the third step of the sequential analysis of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the Claimant's impairment, or combination of impairments, is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. The Claimant asserts physical disabling impairment(s) due to leg/ankle pain, high blood pressure, renal failure, diabetes, and stroke.

Listing 1.00 (musculoskeletal system), Listing 2.00 (special senses and speech), Listing 4.00 (cardiovascular system), Listing 6.00 (genitourinary impairments), Listing 9.00 (endocrine system), and Listing 11.00 (neurological impairments) were considered in light of the objective evidence. Ultimately, it is found the medical evidence does not support a finding of disabled or not disabled under a listed impairment. Accordingly, the Claimant's eligibility is considered under Step 4. 20 CFR 416.905(a)

The fourth step in analyzing a disability claim requires an assessment of the Claimant's residual functional capacity ("RFC") and past relevant employment. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4)(iv) An individual is not disabled if he/she can perform past relevant work. *Id.*; 20 CFR 416.960(b)(3) Past relevant work is work that has been performed within the past 15 years that was a substantial gainful activity and that lasted long enough for the individual to learn the position. 20 CFR 416.960(b)(1) Vocational factors of age, education, and work experience, and whether the past relevant employment exists in significant numbers in the national economy is not considered. 20 CFR 416.960(b)(3) RFC is assessed based on impairment(s), and any related symptoms, such as pain, which may cause physical and mental limitations that affect what can be done in a work setting. RFC is the most that can be done, despite the limitations.

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, jobs are classified as sedentary, light, medium, heavy, and very heavy. 20 CFR 416.967 Sedentary work involves lifting of no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally

lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. 20 CFR 416.967(a) Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. *Id.* Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying objects weighing up to 10 pounds. 20 CFR 416.967(b) Even though weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls. *Id.* To be considered capable of performing a full or wide range of light work, an individual must have the ability to do substantially all of these activities. Id. An individual capable of light work is also capable of sedentary work, unless there are additionally limiting factors such as loss of fine dexterity or inability to sit for long periods of time. Id. Medium work involves lifting no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. 20 CFR 416.967(c) An individual capable of performing medium work is also capable of light and sedentary work. Id. Heavy work involves lifting no more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 50 pounds. 20 CFR 416.967(d) An individual capable of heavy work is also capable of medium, light, and sedentary work. Id. Finally, very heavy work involves lifting objects weighing more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying objects weighing 50 pounds or more. 20 CFR 416.967(e) An individual capable of very heavy work is able to perform work under all categories. *Id.*

Limitations or restrictions which affect the ability to meet the demands of jobs other than strength demands (exertional requirements, i.e. sitting, standing, walking, lifting, carrying, pushing, or pulling) are considered nonexertional. 20 CFR 416.969a(a) In considering whether

an individual can perform past relevant work, a comparison of the individual's residual functional capacity with the demands of past relevant work. Id. If an individual can no longer do past relevant work the same residual functional capacity assessment along with an individual's age, education, and work experience is considered to determine whether an individual can adjust to other work which exists in the national economy. Id. Examples of nonexertional limitations or restrictions include difficulty function due to nervousness, anxiousness, or depression; difficulty maintaining attention or concentration; difficulty understanding or remembering detailed instructions; difficulty in seeing or hearing; difficulty tolerating some physical feature(s) of certain work settings (i.e. can't tolerate dust or fumes); or difficulty performing the manipulative or postural functions of some work such as reaching, handling, stooping, climbing, crawling, or crouching. 20 CFR 416.969a(c)(1)(i) - (vi) impairment(s) and related symptoms, such as pain, only affect the ability to perform the nonexertional aspects of work-related activities, the rules in Appendix 2 do not direct factual conclusions of disabled or not disabled. 20 CFR 416.969a(c)(2) The determination of whether disability exists is based upon the principles in the appropriate sections of the regulations, giving consideration to the rules for specific case situations in Appendix 2. *Id.*

The Claimant's prior work history includes employment as a hi-lo driver, telemarketer, and general laborer. In light of the Claimant's testimony and in consideration of the Occupational Code, the Claimant's prior work as a hi-lo driver is considered semi-skilled medium work while the general labor positions are considered unskilled medium work. The Claimant's telemarketing position is classified as unskilled, sedentary work.

The Claimant testified that he can lift/carry approximately 20 pounds; is able to stand for about 45 minutes; can walk short distances; can sit for an hour; and experience some pain when

2010-32588/CMM

bending and/or squatting. The objective evidence restricts the Claimant to occasionally

lifting/carrying of 20 pounds; standing and/or walking less than 2 hours during an 8 hour

workday with sitting at less than six hours; and able to reach/push/pull with both upper

extremities with simple grasping and fine manipulation with his left upper extremity only. The

Claimant was able to operate foot/leg controls. Mental limitations were noted in comprehension,

memory, sustained concentration, reading/writing, and social interaction. If the impairment or

combination of impairments does not limit physical or mental ability to do basic work activities,

it is not a severe impairment(s) and disability does not exist. 20 CFR 416.920 In consideration

of the Claimant's testimony, medical records, and current limitations, it is found that the

Claimant may not able to return to past relevant work as a general laborer/hi-lo driver however it

is found that the Claimant is able to return to past relevant work providing unskilled sedentary

work thus the Claimant is found not disabled at Step 4 with no further analysis required.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the findings of fact and conclusions of law,

finds the Claimant not disabled for purposes of the Medical Assistance program.

It is ORDERED:

The Department's determination is AFFIRMED.

Collein M. Mamelka

Colleen M. Mamelka Administrative Law Judge For Ishmael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 6/23/2010

Date Mailed: <u>__6/23/2010____</u>

11

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to the Circuit within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CMM/jlg

cc:

