

STATE OF MICHIGAN
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Claimant

Reg. No.: 201030605

Issue No.: 1005

Case No.: [REDACTED]

Load No.: [REDACTED]

Hearing Date:

May 27, 2010

Wayne DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 27, 2010. The Claimant appeared and testified. [REDACTED], FIS appeared and testified for the Department.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Claimant's Family Independence Program ("FIP") benefits case for non-compliance with the Work First/Jobs Education and Training ("JET") program?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FIP benefits who was assigned to JET/Work First.
2. An appointment notice was sent to Claimant to attend Work First.

3. Claimant did not receive the appointment notice due to difficulty with mail delivery.
4. Claimant attempted to contact her case worker on numerous occasions leaving messages attempting to ascertain when her Work First appointment was.
5. Claimant's case was referred for triage for failing to participate with JET.
6. Claimant did not appear at the triage meeting because she did not receive notice.
7. At the triage Claimant was found to not have good cause.
8. Claimant had good cause for not participating with Work First because she did not receive her appointment notice.
9. Claimant's FIP case was closed on April 1, 2010 and a 90-day sanction was imposed.
10. Claimant requested a hearing on March 9, 2010 contesting the closure of FIP benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department of Human services (DHS or Department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependant Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference manual (PRM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) provides temporary cash assistance to support a family's movement to self-sufficiency. The recipients of FIP engage in employment and self-sufficiency-related activities so they can become self-supporting. Federal and State laws require each work eligible individual (WEI) in the FIP group to participate in the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment-related activities unless temporarily deferred or


engaged in activities that meet participation requirements. These clients must participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities to increase their employability and obtain stable employment. BEM 230A.

JET is a program administered by the Michigan Department of Labor and Economic Growth (DLEG) through the Michigan Works Agencies (MWAs). The JET program serves employers and job seekers for employers to have skilled workers and job seekers to obtain jobs that provide economic self-sufficiency. BEM 230 A. A mandatory participant in the JET program who fails without good cause to participate in employment activity must be penalized. BEM 233(a). The penalty for the first occurrence of noncompliance in the JET program is a closure for a minimum of three calendar months under the FIP program. BEM 233(a). Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment related activities. A claim of good cause must be verified and documented for applicants, members, and recipients. BEM Manual Item 230(a), BEM Manual Item 230(b); 7 CFR Parts 272 and 273.

In the present case, Claimant was informed verbally that she was being sent to Work First. When she did not receive an appointment notice she called and left messages for her worker on numerous occasions. The Department case worker at hearing could neither confirm nor deny that Claimant left messages for her. Claimant also changed her mailing address because of difficulty she was having with her mail. This Administrative Law Judge cannot find that Claimant refused to participate with Work First, because she attempted to contact her worker to find out her appointment time. Claimant had good cause for failing to participate with Work First because she did not receive the appointment notice. Accordingly, the Department's closure of FIP benefits and implementation of a 90-day sanction was incorrect.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law decides that the Department was incorrect in the closure of FIP benefits and in imposing a 90-day sanction, and it is ORDERED that the Department's decision in this regard be, and is hereby REVERSED. Claimant's FIP benefits shall be reinstated as of the date of closure and a supplement shall be paid for any missed benefits.

/s/ 

Aaron McClintic
Administrative Law Judge
for Ismael Ahmed, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 17, 2010

Date Mailed: June 17, 2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

AM/htw

cc:

