STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No: 2010-30213

Issue No: 2009

Case No:

Load No:

Hearing Date: May 12, 2010

Ingham County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Ivona Rairigh

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in-person hearing was held on May 12, 2010. Claimant did not appear, as according to his representative he could not be located for the hearing despite efforts to contact him at the homeless shelter he had been living at. Claimant was represented by

ISSUE

Did the Department of Human Services (the department) properly deny claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA-P) and retro MA?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) On December 19, 2008, claimant filed an application for Medical Assistance and retro MA benefits alleging disability.
- (2) On January 5, 2010, the Medical Review Team denied claimant's application stating that claimant could perform past relevant work per 20 CFR 416.920(E), namely phone sales.

- (3) On January 11, 2010, the department caseworker sent claimant notice that his application was denied.
- (4) On April 7, 2010, claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.
- (5) On April 19, 2010, the State Hearing Review Team again denied claimant's application also stating that he was capable of past relevant work, which was sedentary in nature.
- (6) Claimant alleges (per hearing request) as disabling impairments chronic deep vein thrombosis, bilaterally, COPD and Hepatitis C.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (RFT).

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department of Human Services uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the Medical Assistance program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905

A set order is used to determine disability, that being a five-step sequential evaluation process for determining whether an individual is disabled (20 CFR 404.1520(a) and 416.920(a)). The steps are followed in order. Current work activity, severity of impairments, residual functional capacity, past work, age, or education and work experience is reviewed. If it is determined that the claimant is or is not disabled at a step of the evaluation process, the evaluation will not go on to the next step.

At step one, the Administrative Law Judge must determine whether the claimant is engaging in substantial gainful activity (20 CFR 404.1520(b) and 416.920(b)). Substantial gainful activity (SGA) is defined as work activity that is both substantial and gainful. "Substantial work activity" is work activity that involves doing significant physical or mental activities (20 CFR 404.1572(a) and 416.972(a)). "Gainful work activity" is work that is usually done for pay or profit, whether or not a profit is realized (20 CFR 404.1572(b) and 416.972(b)). Generally, if an individual has earnings from

employment or self-employment above a specific level set out in the regulations, it is presumed that he/she has demonstrated the ability to engage in SGA (20 CFR 404.1574, 404.1575, 416.974, and 416.975). If an individual engages in SGA, he/she is not disabled regardless of how severe his/her physical or mental impairments are and regardless of his/her age, education, and work experience. If the individual is not engaging in SGA, the analysis proceeds to the second step.

At step two, the Administrative Law Judge must determine whether the claimant has a medically determinable impairment that is "severe" or a combination of impairments that is "severe" (20 CFR 404.1520(c) and 416.920(c)). An impairment or combination of impairments is "severe" within the meaning of the regulations if it significantly limits an individual's ability to perform basic work activities. An impairment or combination of impairments is "not severe" when medical and other evidence establish only a slight abnormality or a combination of slight abnormalities that would have no more than a minimal effect on an individual's ability to work (20 CFR 404.1521 and 416.921; Social Security Rulings (SSRs) 85-28, 96-3p, and 96-4p). If the claimant does not have a severe medically determinable impairment or combination of impairments, he/she is not disabled. If the claimant has a severe impairment or combination of impairments, the analysis proceeds to the third step.

Statements about pain or other symptoms do not alone establish disability. There must be medical signs and laboratory findings which demonstrate a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...Medical reports should include -

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

In determining disability under the law, the ability to work is measured. An individual's functional capacity for doing basic work activities is evaluated. If an individual has the ability to perform basic work activities without significant limitations, he or she is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv).

Basic work activities are the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs.

Examples of these include --

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

Medical findings must allow a determination of (1) the nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question; (2) the probable duration of the impairment; and (3) the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical evidence may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other acceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of the impairment(s), including your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what an individual can do despite impairment(s), and the physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

All of the evidence relevant to the claim, including medical opinions, is reviewed and findings are made. 20 CFR 416.927(c). A statement by a medical source finding that an individual is "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean that disability exists for the purposes of the program. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

At step three, the Administrative Law Judge must determine whether the claimant's impairment or combination of impairments meets or medically equals the criteria of an impairment listed in 20 CFR Part 404, Subpart P, Appendix 1 (20 CFR 404.1520(d), 404.1525, 404.1526, 416.920(d), 416.925, and 416.926). If the claimant's impairment or combination of impairments meets or medically equals the criteria of a listing and meets the duration requirement (20 CFR 404.1509 and 416.909), the claimant is disabled. If it does not, the analysis proceeds to the next step.

Before considering step four of the sequential evaluation process, the Administrative Law Judge must first determine the claimant's residual functional capacity (20 CFR 404.1520(e) and 416.920(e)). An individual's residual functional capacity is his/her ability to do physical and mental work activities on a sustained basis despite limitations

from his/her impairments. In making this finding, all of the claimant's impairments, including impairments that are not severe, must be considered (20 CFR 404.1520(e), 404.1545, 416.920(e), and 416.945; SSR 96-8p).

Next, the Administrative Law Judge must determine at step four whether the claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform the requirements of his/her past relevant work (20 CFR 404.1520(f) and 416.920(f). The term past relevant work means work performed (either as the claimant actually performed it or as it is generally performed in the national economy) within the last 15 years or 15 years prior to the date that disability must be established. In addition, the work must have lasted long enough for the claimant to learn to do the job and have been SGA (20 CFR 404.1560(b), 404.1565, 416.960(b), and 416.965). If the claimant has the residual functional capacity to do his/her past relevant work, the claimant is not disabled. If the claimant is unable to do any past relevant work or does not have any past relevant work, the analysis proceeds to the fifth and last step.

At the last step of the sequential evaluation process (20 CFR 404.1520(g) and 416.920(g), the Administrative Law Judge must determine whether the claimant is able to do any other work considering his/her residual functional capacity, age, education, and work experience. If the claimant is able to do other work, he/she is not disabled. If the claimant is not able to do other work and meets the duration requirements, he/she is disabled.

The Administrative Law Judge is responsible for making the determination or decision about whether the statutory definition of disability is met. The Administrative Law Judge reviews all medical findings and other evidence that support a medical source's statement of disability.... 20 CFR 416.927(e).

At Step 1, claimant's record indicates that he was in jail in 2009 and that he also violated his parole. Check of Michigan Department of Corrections OTIS computer system indicates that the claimant is being held in custody, so he apparently is not engaged in substantial gainful activity. Claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

At Step 2, in considering the claimant's symptoms, whether there is an underlying medically determinable physical or mental impairment(s)-i.e., an impairment(s) that can be shown by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques-that could reasonably be expected to produce the claimant's pain or other symptoms must be determined. Once an underlying physical or mental impairment(s) has been shown, the Administrative Law Judge must evaluate the intensity, persistence, and limiting effects of the claimant's symptoms to determine the extent to which they limit the claimant's ability to do basic work activities. For this purpose, whenever statements about the intensity, persistence, or functionally limiting effects of pain or other symptoms

are not substantiated by objective medical evidence, a finding on the credibility of the statements based on a consideration of the entire case record must be made.

The objective medical evidence on the record includes a letter from describes the results of claimant's liver biopsy of . This biopsy demonstrated mild chronic hepatitis with no fibrosis or cirrhosis.

Claimant was found unresponsive due to a cocaine overdose and brought to the hospital for treatment in November, 2008. Claimant was in the hospital from November 11, 2008 to November 24, 2008. Discharge diagnosis includes cocaine/narcotic overdose, status post extubation, vent dependent respiratory failure, resolved, acinetobacter pneumonia, bilateral chronic deep vein thrombosis, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, Hepatitis C, delirium, resolved, and acute renal failure, resolved.

According to Substance Abuse Assessment of July, 2009, claimant was in jail on a larceny of a building charge. His arrest also lead to a parole violation. Claimant was to be released from jail on October 22, 2009. Claimant has been in prison twice for a total of four years, last time being in 2005, and is on parole until 2014. Claimant reported being hospitalized in for 90 days in becoming suicidal over his failure to live up to his potential in life. At that time he was diagnosed with bipolar disorder and prescribed Lithium, Haldol and Cogention which he quit taking when he got out of the hospital. Claimant had not been diagnosed with or taken medication for any mental health problem since. It is noted that the claimant reported use of heroin, alcohol and cocaine since the age of 8. Claimant graduated from high school and completed two years of college. Claimant had attempted substance abuse treatment many times but only completed it once, in 1986. Claimant stated he had been shooting up heroin daily for years but stays clean and sober when in prison. Claimant further stated that he had not used cocaine since 2005. Diagnosis was that of opiate dependence in forced remission due to incarceration, antisocial personality with narcissistic traits, and GAF of 57.

General internal medicine exam performed at the request of the Medical Review Team in July, 2009 while he was in paid and paid at the request of the Medical Review Team in July, 2009 while he was in paid and pa

Physical exam reveals a well-developed, well-nourished male in no acute distress who ambulates on his own without difficulty. Claimant is 6' tall and weighs 184 lbs., with blood pressure of 122/80. He did have some mild tenderness in the lower lumbar region, but range of motion was within normal limits. Claimant did have extensive Ace wraps on his lower extremities due to some ulceration around the ankle area, and while

there was some tenderness and swelling in this area peripheral pulses were normal and so was capillary refill distally. Neurological exam was normal except for decreased grip strength in the left arm and mild muscular atrophy in this area also. Assessment was that of history of ulcerations in the lower extremities due to skin grafting done back in the 80's, lower back pain with no decreased range of motion and no evidence of radiculopathy, and diabetes mellitus Type 2 with blood sugars relatively well controlled. Medical evidence has clearly established that claimant has an impairment (or combination of impairments) that has more than a minimal effect on claimant's work activities. See Social Security Rulings 85-28, 88-13, and 82-63. These impairments have lasted 12 months and analysis continues.

At Step 3 the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment (or combination of impairments) is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant's medical record will not support a finding that claimant's impairment(s) is a "listed impairment" or equal to a listed impairment. Accordingly, claimant cannot be found to be disabled based upon medical evidence alone. 20 CFR 416.920(d).

At Step 4, the Administrative Law Judge must determine if the claimant is capable of performing past relevant work. Claimant was not available for the hearing, so his employment history is obtained from Work History Report, Form SSA-3369, completed for SSA. According to this form, claimant last worked in 2007 for 4 months as "recruiter for jobs", self-employment. Claimant also worked briefly in 2000 doing inventory and phone sales, in 2002 in collections for 8 weeks, and as a furniture salesman for couple of weeks in 2005. Claimant's job history is so sporadic and brief that it can be considered non-existent. Claimant is therefore not disqualified at Step 4.

The Administrative Law Judge will continue to proceed through the sequential evaluation process to determine whether or not claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform other jobs.

At Step 5, the burden of proof shifts to the department to establish that claimant does not have residual functional capacity.

The residual functional capacity is what an individual can do despite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools.

Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work. Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

Medium work. Medium work involves lifting no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. If someone can do medium work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary and light work. 20 CFR 416.967(c).

Heavy work. Heavy work involves lifting no more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 50 pounds. If someone can do heavy work, we determine that he or she can also do medium, light, and sedentary work. 20 CFR 416.967(d).

Claimant has submitted sufficient objective medical evidence that he can only perform sedentary and light work, due to his physical impairments which include repeated ulcerations on his lower legs. Under the Medical-Vocational guidelines, an individual of advanced age (claimant is 57 years of age), with high school education or more and an unskilled work history who can perform only light work is considered disabled pursuant to Medical-Vocational Rule 202.04.

The claimant has presented the required competent, material, and substantial evidence which would support a finding that the claimant has an impairment or combination of impairments which would significantly limit the physical or mental ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.920(c). The clinical documentation submitted by the claimant is sufficient to establish a finding that the claimant is disabled. There is objective medical evidence to substantiate the claimant's claim that the alleged impairment(s) are severe enough to reach the criteria and definition of disabled. The claimant is disabled for the purposes of the Medical Assistance disability (MA-P) program.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department improperly denied claimant's MA and retro MA application.

Accordingly, the department's decision is REVERSED. Department shall:

- (1) Process claimant's disputed December 19, 2008 MA and retro MA application and grant him any such benefits he is otherwise eligible for (i.e. meets all financial and non-financial eligibility requirements including consideration of incarceration periods which may or may not make the claimant ineligible for MA since 2008).
- (2) Notify the claimant and his representatives of this determination.
- (3) Review claimant's continuing eligibility in November, 2011, at which time updated medical records are to be obtained.

SO ORDERED.

	/s/
	Ivona Rairigh
	Administrative Law Judge
	for Ismael Ahmed, Director
	Department of Human Services
Date Signed: November 18, 2010	·
Date Mailed: November 19, 2010	

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

IR/tg

2010-30213/IR

