# STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

## ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

,

Claimant

Reg. No: 201029416

Issue No: 3000

Case No:

Load No:

Hearing Date: May 24, 2010

Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Robert J. Chavez

### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on May 24, 2010.

#### **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly calculate claimant's FAP allocation?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) Claimant had a review regarding her FAP eligibility.
- (2) The Department calculated claimant's FAP benefit amount to be \$16.
- (3) These calculations were done using an incorrect SDV classification.
- (4) At the hearing, the department agreed to recalculate claimant's FAP allocation.
- (5) As a result of this agreement, claimant indicated that she no longer wished to proceed with the hearing.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department) administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Under Bridges Administrative Manual Item 600, clients have the right to contest any agency decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever they believe the decision is illegal. The agency provides an Administrative Hearing to review the decision and determine if it is appropriate. Agency policy includes procedures to meet the minimal requirements for a fair hearing. Efforts to clarify and resolve the client's concerns start when the agency receives a hearing request and continues through the day of the hearing.

In the present case, the Department has agreed to recalculate claimant's FAP budget, acknowledging that the claimant should have been classified as an SDV group. In return, the claimant has agreed to drop her request for hearing. Since the claimant and the Department have come to an agreement, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to make a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case. Should the claimant be aggrieved by the new calculations, claimant reserves the right to request a hearing on the new FAP calculation.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department and the claimant have reached a settlement regarding the

disposition of this case.

Accordingly, the decision of the Department is, hereby, REVERSED.

The Department is ORDERED to recalculate claimant's FAP budget, retroactively to the date of negative action and issue supplemental benefits, using the correct SDV classification.

Claimant preserves her right to request a new hearing should she be aggrieved by these new calculations.

Robert J. Chavez

Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>06/15/10</u>

Date Mailed: <u>06/18/10</u>

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

RJC/dj

