STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

,

Claimant

Reg. No.: 2010-29261

Issue No.: 6000

Case No.: Load No.:

Hearing Date: April 26, 2010

Wayne County DHS (58)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Detroit, Michigan on April 26, 2010. Claimant appeared and testified. On behalf of Department of Human Services (DHS), Specialist, appeared and testified.

ISSUE

Whether Claimant timely appealed the DHS denial for Child Development and Care (CDC) eligibility.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant applied for CDC benefits approximately 9/2009.
- 2. DHS denied Claimant's request for CDC benefits on 9/28/09.
- 3. Claimant submitted a hearing request on 3/26/10 regarding denial of CDC benefits.

2010-29261/CG

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Child Development and Care program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of

the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal

Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented

by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department of Human

Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) provides services to adults and

children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and MAC R 400.5001-5015. Department policies are found

in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the

Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

BAM 600 imposes deadlines on appealing DHS decisions, "The AHR or, if none, the

client has 90 calendar days from the date of the written notice of case action to request a

hearing." DHS denied Claimant's CDC application on 9/28/09. Claimant appealed the denial on

3/26/10. Claimant waited more than 90 days to appeal the denial. It is found that Claimant

exceeded the 90 day timeframe to appeal the DHS decision.

DECISION AND ORDER

Claimant's request for hearing is DISMISSED. The Administrative Law Judge, based

upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that Claimant failed to timely

appeal the 9/28/09 DHS denial of CDC benefits.

Christian Gardocki

Administrative Law Judge

for Ismael Ahmed, Director

Department of Human Services

1 housin Dordock

Date Signed: __4/30/2010_

2

CG/jlg

Date Mailed: <u>4/30/2010</u>

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannon be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

cc: