# STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909 (877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 334-9505

IN THE MATTER OF:	<b>Docket No.</b> 2010-28808 EDW	
,	Docket No. 2010-20006 EDW	
Appellant/		
DECISION AND ORDER		
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200 et seq. upon the Appellant's r		
After due notice, a hearing was held on represented the Appellant. He had no witnesses.  I, care manager and supervisor, represented the Department's waiver agency. He had no witnesses		
<u>ISSUE</u>		
Did the Department properly determine that it coul the MIChoice Waiver program?	d not assess the Appellant for	
FINDINGS OF FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the comperior evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:	etent, material and substantia	
<ol> <li>The Appellant is an adult female with an ulcer on her left foot. She is seeking MI Choice Exhibit #1)</li> </ol>	poor circulation in her legs and e Waiver services. (Appellant's	
<ol> <li>On, the Appellant's representat requesting MIChoice Waiver services.</li> </ol>	ive contacted the Departmen	
<ol><li>The Appellant was notified that the MIChoice Wa thus she would be placed on the waiting list.</li></ol>	iver program was at capacity	
The Appellant was notified of her placement via Action (Department's Exhibit A)	lequate Action notice on	

, the instant request for hearing was received from the Appellant.

5. On

(Appellant's Exhibit #1)

Docket No. 2010-28808 EDW Hearing Decision & Order

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

This Appellant is claiming services through the Department's Home and Community Based Services for Elderly and Disabled (HCBS/ED). The waiver is called MI Choice in Michigan. The program is funded through the federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (formerly HCFA) to the Michigan Department of Community Health (Department). Regional agencies, in this case the Region II Area Agency on Aging function as the Department's administrative agency.

Waivers are intended to provide the flexibility needed to enable States to try new or different approaches to the efficient and cost-effective delivery of health care services, or to adapt their programs to the special needs of particular areas or groups of recipients. Waivers allow exceptions to State plan requirements and permit a State to implement innovative programs or activities on a time-limited basis, and subject to specific safeguards for the protection of recipients and the program. Detailed rules for waivers are set forth in subpart B of part 431, subpart A of part 440 and subpart G of part 441 of this chapter. 42 CFR 430.25(b)

The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, on page 5 of a letter to State Medical Directors labeled Olmstead Update Number 4 (SMDL #01-006), dated January 10, 2001, in reply to the following question responded, in part:

May a State use the program's funding appropriation to specify the total number of people eligible for an HCBS waiver?

CMS has allowed States to indicate that the total number of people to be served may be the lesser of either (a) a specific number pre-determined by the State and approved by CMS (the approved "factor C" value), or (b) a number derived from the amount of money the legislature has made available (together with corresponding Federal match). The current HCBS waiver preprint contains both options....

The waiver agency has committed all the financial resources made available through the Department's appropriations and to ensure continued service to current waiver enrollees and is not assessing any additional individuals. It maintains a waiting list and Docket No. 2010-28808 EDW Hearing Decision & Order

contacts individuals on the list on a first come, first served basis when sufficient resources become available to serve additional individuals. It then determines how many individuals from the list it can assess and assesses a limited number of individuals from the list to determine if they may be eligible for enrollment in the MIChoice Waiver.

\* \* \*

The Appellant's representative testified that the Appellant needs help with paying for her prescriptions and with personal assistance in her home. He did not otherwise challenge the legal basis for the decision by the agency.

The waiver agency witness stated the agency had to establish a waiting list due to the limited resources it has to provide services. He stated the Appellant was placed on the waiting list as of the date services were requested.

He added that the waiver agency determined that there was no reason to suspect an imminent risk situation and that the Appellant was placed on the waiting list in chronological order.

also recommended locally available programs to temporarily assist the Appellant in obtaining her prescription medications.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

Based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, the ALJ finds that the Region II Area Agency on Aging properly denied the Appellant enrollment and placed her on the waiting list due to limited financial resources.

#### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

The Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Dale Malewska
Administrative Law Judge
for Janet Olszewski, Director
Michigan Department of Community Health

CC:



Date Mailed: <u>6/25/2010</u>

Docket No. 2010-28808 EDW Hearing Decision & Order

## \*\*\* NOTICE \*\*\*

The State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.