STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

_. .

Claimant

Reg. No.: 2010-28313 Issue No.: 2009 Case No.: Load No.: Hearing Date: April 26, 2010 Wayne County DHS (82)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Linda Steadley Schwarb

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9

and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on

April 26, 2010. Claimant appeared and testified. Claimant was represented by

. Following the hearing, the record was kept open for the receipt of additional medical evidence. Additional documents were received and reviewed.

ISSUE

Did the Department of Human Services (DHS or department) properly determine that

claimant is not "disabled" for purposes of the Medical Assistance (MA-P) program?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

 On December 29, 2009, an application was filed on claimant's behalf for MA-P benefits. The application did not request retroactive medical coverage.

- On January 20, 2010, the department denied claimant's application for benefits based upon the belief that claimant did not meet the requisite disability criteria.
- On March 22, 2010, a hearing request was filed to protest the department's determination.
- 4) Claimant, age 57, has an eighth-grade education.
- 5) At the time of the hearing and for the last six years, claimant has worked thirty to thirty-five hours per week at **Claimant** as a maintenance man. Claimant earns in excess of \$1,000 per month. (See DHS-38 Verification of Employment dated May 4, 2010.)
- 6) Claimant was hospitalized for prostatic hypertrophy obstructive uropathy. He underwent transurethral resection of the prostate. Pathology confirmed that claimant has prostatic adenocarcinoma.
- 7) Claimant currently suffers from hypertension and prostate cancer.
- 8) Claimant is currently engaged in substantial gainful activity.
- On claimant's treating urologist indicated that claimant suffered from no physical or mental limitations.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM). Federal regulations require that the department use the same operative definition for "disabled" as used for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) under Title XVI of the Social Security Act. 42 CFR 435.540(a).

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months ... 20 CFR 416.905

In general, claimant has the responsibility to prove that he is disabled. Claimant's impairment must result from anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be shown by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques. A physical or mental impairment must be established by medical evidence consisting of signs, symptoms, and laboratory findings, not only claimant's statement of symptoms. 20 CFR 416.908; 20 CFR 416.927. Proof must be in the form of medical evidence showing that the claimant has an impairment and the nature and extent of its severity. 20 CFR 416.912. Information must be sufficient to enable a determination as to the nature and limiting effects of the impairment for the period in question, the probable duration of the impairment and the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913.

In determining whether an individual is disabled, 20 CFR 416.920 requires the trier of fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activity, the severity of the impairment(s), residual functional capacity, and vocational factors (i.e., age, education, and work experience) are assessed in that order. When a determination that an individual is or is not disabled can be made at any step in the sequential evaluation, evaluation under a subsequent step is not necessary.

3

First, the trier of fact must determine if the individual is working and if the work is substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920(b). In this case, claimant is currently and has been involved in substantial gainful activity. For the last six years, claimant has been employed as a maintenance person at **Control** A DHS-38, Verification of Employment, dated May 4, 2010, confirmed that claimant works thirty to thirty-five hours per week earning \$9.55 per hour. Claimant earns in excess of \$1,000 per month. See 20 CFR 416.974. Accordingly, the undersigned must find that claimant is not "disabled" for purposes of the MA program. It is of further note that claimant's treating urologist opined on **Control**, that claimant has no physical or mental limitations. Accordingly, the department's determination in this matter must be affirmed.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that claimant does not meet the definition of medically disabled under the Medical Assistance program.

Accordingly, the department's determination in this matter is hereby affirmed.

luce Frace Schuers

Linda Steadley Schwarb Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 22, 2010

Date Mailed: June 24, 2010

<u>NOTICE</u>: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's

2010-28313/LSS

motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

LSS/pf

