STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: ,



Reg. No: 2010-28234

Issue No: 1012

Case No: Load No:

Hearing Date: July 8, 2010

St. Clair County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Y. Lain

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notic e, a telephone an in person hearing was held on July 29, 2010.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department of Hum an Service (the department) properly propose to cancel claimant's Family Independence Agency (F IP) benefits based upon its determination that claimant was in non-compliance with Work First activities?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) Claimant was receiving Family Independence Agency benefits for himself and children.
- (2) Claimant was a mandatory Work First participant living in the household.
- (3) On June 29, 2009, claimant was determined to be in non-compliance with work first activities and a triage was conducted. He was given a DHS 7 54 and compliance test and no sanctions were imposed.
- (4) On October 12, 2009, claimant told JET workers that he reported to jail on Friday to serve his weekend jail sent ence he turned over his required job logs to the jail and the logs were not returned to him.
- (5) On October 12, 2009, the JET worker gave claimant a break, excused the absences and warned claimant to leave his logs at home before reporting to. The JET worker did not place him in noncompliance.

- (6) On October 21, 2009, claimant exceeded the allowable absences, accumulating 25 hours of absences between actual absences from the JET program and failure to turn in adequate job logs.
- (7) On November 5, 2009, a triage was held. The department found that claimant failed to establish good cause for his failure turn in adequate job logs and exceed the program's allowable absences.
- (8) On November 16, 2009, the department caseworker sent claimant notice that his FIP benefits would be sanctioned for three months for noncompliance.
- (9) On November 30, 2009, claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.
- (10) The negative action was deleted pending the outcome of the hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Progr am (FIP) was establis hed pursuant to the Persona I Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human Serv ices (DHS or department) administers the FIP progr am pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Ai d to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility M anual (PEM) and the Program Reference e Manual (PRM).

The department of Human Services requires c lients to participate in employment and self sufficiency related activities and to accept employ ment when offered. The focus is to assist clients in removing bar riers so they can participate in those activities which lead to self sufficiency. However, there are consequences for a client who refuses to participate without good cause. Non-composition liance may be an indicator of possible disabilities and the department is considered further exploration of any barriers. BEM, Item 233A. As a compliance of eligibility clients must work or engage in employment and/or self sufficiency related activities. Non-compliance of applicants, recipients and member adds, means doing any of the following without good cause:

- Failure to complete a FAST or FSSP results in closure due to failure to pr ovide requested verification and clients can reply at any time.
- Failing to or refusing to appear or participate with the Jobs Education and Trai ning program, or other employment service providers

- Complete a family automated screening tool (FAST) as assigned in the first step in the FSSP process.
- Develop a family self sufficiency plan
- Comply with activities assigned in the FSSP.
- Provide legitimate documentation of work participation
- Appear for a scheduled appointment or meeting related to assigned activities
- Failure to participate in employment or a self sufficiency related activities
- Accept a job referral
- Complete a job application
- Appear for a job interview
- Stating orally or in writing to a definite intent not to comply with the program requirements
- Threatening, physically abusing, or otherwise behaving destructively to anyone conducting or participating in an employment and/or self sufficienc y related activity
- Refusing employment support services as a refusal prevents participation in em ployment and/or self sufficiency related activities (BEM, Item 233A, p.2)

In the instant case, the department indicated that the claimant was absent on October 9, October 15, October 21 and that claimant failed to turn in job logs for October 19-20.

P.T. testified on the record that he got confused about what he was supposed to do but he did not contact the department for clarification.

Good cause is a v alid reas on for non-co mpliance with employment and/or self sufficiency related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the non-compliant person. A cl aimant with good cause must be verified for member adds and recipients. Good cause includes the following:

 The person is working at least 40 hours a week on average and earning at least state minimum wage.

- if the claimant is physically or mentally unfit for the job or activity, or
- if the claimant has a debilitating illness or injury, or
- an immediate families illness or injury requiring inhome care by the claimant, or
- the department or employer has failed to make reasonable accommodations for the claimant's disability, while the claimant has no child care.
- If the claimant requested transportation services from DHS, the Michigan Works or other employment services provider prior to case closure and reasonably priced transportation was not available to the claimant.
- The employment involves illegal activities, or
- The claimant experiences discrimination.
- There is some unplanned event or factor such as:
 - o domestic violence
 - health or safety risks
 - o homelessness
 - o jail hospitalization or
 - o religion
- or the claimant quits to assume the employment comparable on salary and hours
- there is a total commuting time which exceeds 2 or 3 hours per day, including time to and from child car e facilities. (BEM, Item 233A, pp. 4-5)

The penalty for non-compliance without good cause is FIP closure effective April 1, 2007, the following minimum penalties apply:

- For the first occurrence on the FIP case, close FIP for not less than 3 calendar m onths, unless the claimant is excused from non-compliance.
- For the second occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for not less than 3 calendar months
- For the third and subsequent occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for not less than 12 calendar months.

The penalty counter also begins April 1, 2007, regardless of the previous number of non-compliance penalties. Be gin a sanction period with the first pay period of the month. Penalties are automatically calculated by the entry of non-complian ce without good caus e in BRIDGES. This applies t o active FI P cases including those with a member add who is a WEI JET participant. BEM, Item 233A, p. 6. JET Participants will not be terminated from a JET program without first scheduling a triage meeting with the client to jointly discus s non-compliance and good cause. A triage meeting is to be locally coordinated to notify the MWA case manager of triage meetings including scheduling guidelines. Claimant's can either attend the meeting or participate in a conference call, if attendance at the triage meeting is not possible. If a client calls to reschedule an already scheduled triage meeting, offer a phone conference at that time. Claimant's must comply wit h triage requirements within the negative action period. BEM, Item 233A, p. 7. The department is to determine good cause based on the best available information during the triage and pr ior to the negative action date. Good cause may be verified by information already in the file with DHS or MWA. Good cause must be considered even if t he claimant does not attend with particular attention to possible disabilities, including disabilities that have not been diagnosed or identified by the claimant an unmet needs or accommodat ion. BEM, Item 233A, p. 7. The department is to follow the following procedure for processing the FIP closure:

- Send a DHS-2444 notice of employment and/or self sufficiency related non-compliance within 3 days after learning of the non-compliance.
- Included in the DHS-2444 is the date of non compliance, the reason the client was deter mined to be non-compliant, the penalty that would be imposed, and schedule a triage to be held within the negative action period. BEM, Item 233A, pp. 7-8.

The depart ment is to determine good cause during t riage and prior to the negative action effective date. Good cause must be verified and can be based on information already in the file with the DHS or the JET program. The department is to document the good cause determination on the sanction detail screen. If the client does not provide

good cause for reason for non-complianc e determining good cause based on the best information available.

This Administrative Law Judge finds t hat the department has established by the necessary competent, material and substantia I evidence on the record that claimant was non-compliant with Work First activities. Claimant had 1 prior non-compliance episodes which mean that this is the second non-compliance episode.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that the department has established by the necessary competent, material and substantial evidence on the record that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it proposed to cancel claimant's Family Independence program benefits because P.T. did not report enough appropriate sources for a job search checkin and had unexcused absences from the program. This Administrative Low Judge finds that claimant did not provide good coause for the failure. The department has established by preponderance of the evidence that this is the second episode of noncompliance.

Accordingly, the department's decision is AFFIRMED.

/s/ Landis	Y. Lain
	Administrative Law Judge
	for Ismael Ahmed, Director
	Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 23, 2010

Date Mailed: ___July 26, 2010____

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

2010-28234/LYL

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

