

STATE OF MICHIGAN
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

██████████

Claimant

Reg. No.: 2010-28013

Issue No.: 3008

Case No.: ██████████

Load No.: ██████████

Hearing Date:
April 15, 2010

Oakland County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

DECISION AND ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 15, 2010. The Claimant appeared and testified. ██████████ FIM and ██████████ ES appeared on behalf of the Department.

ISSUE

Was the Department correct in closing Claimant's Food Assistance benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) Claimant was an ongoing FAP recipient.
- (2) Claimant was sent a semi-annual contact report on September 1, 2009, with an October 1, 2009 due date.

- (3) Claimant submitted the semi-annual contact report on September 29, 2009 prior to the due date with pay stubs for [REDACTED].
- (4) A verification checklist was sent to Claimant on October 17, 2009 requesting a pay stub for September 11, 2009 for household member [REDACTED].
- (5) A verification checklist was sent to Claimant on November 13, 2009 requesting a pay stub for September 11, 2009 for household member [REDACTED] and verification of stopped employment at [REDACTED].
- (6) Claimant's FAP benefits were closed on October 31, 2009 for failure to provide verifications.
- (7) Claimant requested a hearing on November 23, 2009 contesting the closure of FAP benefits.
- (8) Prior to hearing Claimant provided pay stubs for [REDACTED] for checks dated September 25, 2009 (Dept. Ex.19), September 11, 2009 (Dept. Ex.41) and November 20, 2009 (Dept. Ex.42). Claimant also provided verification that [REDACTED] employment with [REDACTED] ended. (Dept. Ex.45)
- (9) Claimant submitted these documents previously in person by dropping them off at the front desk.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program, formerly known as the Food Stamp ("FS") program, is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR"). The Department of Human Services ("DHS"), formally known as the Family Independence Agency, administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq* and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Departmental


policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (“PAM”), the Program Eligibility Manual (“PEM”), and the Program Reference Manual (“PRM”).

Clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility to provide verification. PAM 130, p. 1. The questionable information might be from the client or a third party. Id. The Department can use documents, collateral contacts or home calls to verify information. Id. The client should be allowed 10 calendar days to provide the verification. If the client cannot provide the verification despite a reasonable effort, the time limit to provide should be extended at least once. PAM 130, p.4; PEM 702. If the client refuses to provide the information or has not made a reasonable effort within the specified time period, then policy directs that a negative action be issued. PAM 130, p. 4. Before making an eligibility determination, however, the department must give the client a reasonable opportunity to resolve any discrepancy between his statements and information from another source. PAM 130, p. 6.

In the present case, Claimant provided the semi-annual contact report within the deadline and submitted some pay records. Claimant credibly testified that she submitted the records requested in the verifications checklists in person by dropping them off at the front desk. All requested records were in the case file at the time of hearing. All requested pay records were in the file and confirmation that [REDACTED] employment with [REDACTED] ended. The Department has not met its burden to show Claimant was noncooperative. This Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant was cooperative and therefore closure of Claimant’s FAP benefits was not warranted and improper.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law decides that the Department was not correct in the closure of Claimant's FAP benefits, and it is ORDERED that the Department's decision is hereby REVERSED and benefits shall be reinstated as of the date of closure. Any missed benefits shall be paid to Claimant in the form of a supplement.

/s/ 

Aaron McClintic
Administrative Law Judge
for Ismael Ahmed, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 27, 2010

Date Mailed: April 27, 2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

AM/hw

cc:

