STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg. No:2010-2754Issue No:2009Case No:2009Load No:2009Hearing Date:2009November 25, 20092009Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Y. Lain

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 25, 2009. Claimant personally appeared and testified.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department of Human Services (the department) properly deny claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA-P)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

 On March 31, 2009, claimant filed an application for Medical Assistance and Retroactive Medical Assistance benefits alleging disability.

(2) On June 4, 2009, the Medical Review Team denied claimant's application stating that claimant could perform other work pursuant to Medical Vocational Rule 202.21.

(3) On June 8, 2009, the department caseworker sent claimant notice that her application was denied.

(4) On September 8, 2009, claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.

(5) On October 28, 2009, the State Hearing Review Team again denied claimant's application stating that claimant is capable of performing other work in the form of light work per 20 CFR 416.967(b) pursuant to Medical Vocational Rule 202.05.

(6) Claimant is a 50-year-old woman whose birth date is Claimant is 5' 8" tall and weighs 200 pounds. Claimant is a high school graduate and is able to read and write and does have basic math skills.

(7) Claimant last worked February 26, 2009 as a home health aide. Claimant has also worked in housekeeping.

(8) Claimant alleges as disabling impairments: diabetes mellitus, hypertension, neuropathy, left ankle fracture, and depression.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department of Human Services uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the Medical Assistance program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or m ental impairment which can be expected to resu lt in d eath or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a conti nuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905

A set order is used to determine disability. Current work activity, severity of impairments, residual functional capacity, past work, age, or education and work experience is reviewed. If there is a finding that an individual is disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, there will be no further evaluation. 20 CFR 416.920.

If an individual is working and the work is substantial gainful activity, the individual is not disabled regardless of the medical condition, education and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

If the impairment or combination of impairments do not significantly limit physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, it is not a severe impairment(s) and disability does not exist. Age, education and work experience will not be considered. 20 CFR 416.920.

Statements about pain or other symptoms do not alone establish disability. There must be medical signs and laboratory findings which demonstrate a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...Medical reports should include -

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of dis ease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

In determining disability under the law, the ability to work is measured. An individual's functional capacity for doing basic work activities is evaluated. If an individual has the ability to perform basic work activities without significant limitations, he or she is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv).

Basic work activities are the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include --

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

Medical findings must allow a determination of (1) the nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question; (2) the probable duration of the impairment; and (3) the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical evidence may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other acceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of the impairment(s), including your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what an individual can do despite impairment(s), and the physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

All of the evidence relevant to the claim, including medical opinions, is reviewed and findings are made. 20 CFR 416.927(c).

The Administrative Law Judge is responsible for making the determination or decision about whether the statutory definition of disability is met. The Administrative Law Judge reviews all medical findings and other evidence that support a medical source's statement of disability.... 20 CFR 416.927(e).

A statement by a medical source finding that an individual is "disabled" or "unable to

work" does not mean that disability exists for the purposes of the program. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

When determining disability, the federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in sequential order. If disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

- Does the client perform Substantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- 2. Does the client have a severe im pairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 m onths or m ore or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analys is continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.920(c).
- 3. Does the impairm ent appear on a special listing of i mpairments or are the client's sym ptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- 4. Can the client do the form er work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- 5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (R FC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sec tions 200.00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is in eligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

At Step 1, claimant is not engaged in substantial gainful activity and has not worked since February 26, 2009 when she slipped and fell and broke her left ankle. Claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

The objective medical evidence on the record indicates on February 26, 2009, claimant was admitted to the hospital for a left ankle fracture revealing a tri-malleolar fracture. She had surgery on the left ankle on March 1, 2009. Her vital signs were temperature 97.4, heart rate 68, respiratory rate 16, blood pressure 121/74, and oxygen saturation is 99% on room air. Her BMI was 44.4. She was oriented x3. Her HEENT and PERRL were within normal limits. Chest was clear to auscultation bilaterally. Heart sounds S1 and S2 are normal with no murmurs. Abdomen was soft and non-tender, no guarding or rigidity. Extremities: her left ankle was splinted. Positive capillary refill. No neurovascular deficits. Claimant tolerated surgery well and she ambulated with physical therapy and occupational therapy after the surgery. Her condition was stable. She was transferred to inpatient rehab.

An April 24, 2009 progress note indicates that claimant was out of the cast and was doing fairly well. Radiographically, she was healing and in adequate position. She was counseled to pick up an ankle support such as a Swede-O, and she would be taught a home program and icing (page PA 1).

At Step 2, claimant has the burden of proof of establishing that she has a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment that has lasted or is expected to last for a duration of at least 12 months. There is insufficient objective clinical medical evidence in the record that claimant suffers a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment. In addition, claimant did break her ankle in February 2009, which would mean that she would not meet duration until at least March 2010. There is insufficient objective clinical medical evidence in the record that claimant has any other disabling conditions. Claimant testified that she does use a cane but it is

not prescribed by her doctor. There is no medical finding that claimant has any muscle atrophy or trauma, abnormality or injury that is consistent with a deteriorating condition. There is no information contained in the file that indicates that assistive devices are medically required or needed for ambulation. Claimant testified that she does cook seven days a week and makes things like sandwiches and toast. Claimant grocery shops every two weeks and said someone comes and carries the bags. Claimant testified she does clean her home by doing dishes, vacuuming and laundry and she watches TV all day long. Claimant can stand for 3 to 4 hours at a time, and sit for an hour at a time. Claimant can walk for ½ a block but cannot squat or bend at the waist. Claimant testified she has lower back pain and she has neuropathy in her knees. Claimant testified she can shower and dress herself and tie her shoes but she cannot touch her toes. Claimant testified her level of pain on a scale from 1 to 10 without medication is a 10, and with medication is a 7. Claimant alleges that she is right-handed.

Reported symptoms are an insufficient basis upon which a finding that claimant has met the evidentiary burden proof can be made. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the medical record is insufficient to establish that claimant has a severely restrictive physical impairment.

There is no evidence in the record indicating claimant suffers mental limitations resulting from her reportedly depressed state. There is no mental residual functional capacity assessment in the record. The evidentiary record is insufficient to find that claimant suffers a severely restrictive mental impairment. For these reasons, this Administrative Law Judge finds that claimant has failed to meet her burden of proof at Step 2.

If claimant had not been denied at Step 2, the analysis would proceed to Step 3 where the medical evidence of claimant's condition does not give rise to a finding that she would meet a statutory listing in the code of federal regulations.

If claimant had not already been denied at Step 2, this Administrative Law Judge would have to deny her again at Step 4 based upon her ability to perform her past relevant work. A letter from her job indicates that she is still an active employee and is able to return to her position when she is medically able. The objective medical evidence in the record indicates that claimant does have some problems with her ankle, but there is no evidence in the record that claimant could not perform her job even with her impairments. Therefore, this Administrative Law Judge finds that there is insufficient objective medical evidence upon which to base a finding that claimant is unable to perform work in which she has engaged in, in the past. If claimant had not already been denied at Step 2, she would be denied again at Step 4.

The Administrative Law Judge will continue to proceed through the sequential evaluation process to determine whether or not claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in her prior jobs.

At Step 5, if claimant has not been disqualified from receiving disability in the first four steps, the burden of proof shifts to the department to establish that claimant does not have residual functional capacity.

The residual functional capacity is what an individual can do despite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the *Dictionary of Occupational Titles*, published by the Department of Labor... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work. Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls....

20 CFR 416.967(b).

Claimant has submitted insufficient objective medical evidence that she lacks the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in her prior employment or that she is physically unable to do light or sedentary tasks if demanded of her. Claimant's activities of daily living do not appear to be very limited and she should be able to perform light or sedentary work even with her impairments. The claimant's testimony as to her limitations indicates that she should be able to perform light or sedentary work.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department has appropriately established on the record that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it denied claimant's application for Medical Assistance and retroactive Medical Assistance benefits. The claimant should be able to perform a wide range of light or sedentary work even with her impairments. The department has established its case by a preponderance of the evidence.

Accordingly, the department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Adm

/s/ Landis Y. Lain inistrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director ent of Human Services

Departm

Date Signed: July 12, 2010

Date Mailed: July 13, 2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not o rder a rehe aring or re consideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a tim ely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

LYL/cv

