

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Claimant

Reg. No.: 2010-25489

Issue No.: 3002

Case No.: [REDACTED]

Load No.: [REDACTED]

Hearing Date:

April 8, 2010

Macomb County DHS (12)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Detroit, Michigan on April 8, 2010. Claimant appeared and testified. On behalf of Department of Human Services (DHS), [REDACTED], Specialist, appeared and testified.

ISSUE

Whether DHS correctly reduced Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits due to commencement of unemployment compensation (UC) income?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant is an ongoing FAP recipient.
2. Claimant received approximately \$564/2 weeks in UC benefits for under-employment in 1/2010.

3. DHS began budgeting Claimant's UC benefits to be effective 2/2010.
4. The addition of UC income caused Claimant's FAP benefits to be reduced from \$198 in 1/2010 to \$16 in 2/2010.
5. Claimant submitted a hearing request on 3/5/10 regarding closure of FAP.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (formerly known as the Food Stamp program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

“For income increases that result in a benefit decrease, action must be taken and notice issued to the client within the Standard of Promptness (FAP - 10 calendar days, FIP/SDA - 15 workdays). The effective month is the first full month that begins after the negative action effective date.” BEM 505. In the present case, DHS discovered that Claimant's income increased and appropriately followed the aforementioned procedures in decreasing Claimant's FAP benefits.

Claimant testified when she requested a hearing that she did not realize the reduction was due to the commencement of her UC income. Claimant testified that she now understands why her FAP benefits were reduced. It was also explained to Claimant that should her UC income stop, Claimant has the may report the change to DHS in order to have FAP benefits increased.

DECISION AND ORDER

The actions taken by DHS are AFFIRMED. The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that DHS properly reduced Claimant's FAP benefits.

*Christian Gardocki*

---

Christian Gardocki  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Ismael Ahmed, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 4/13/2010

Date Mailed: 4/13/2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CG/jlg

cc:

