STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF

Reg. No: 2010-24319

Issue No: 3002

Case No: Load No:

Hearing Date: April 21, 2010

Genesee County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jana A. Bachman

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon request for a hearing. After due notice, an in-person hearing was held on April 21, 2010.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department of Human Services (department) acted in compliance with department policy when it determined claimant's eligibility for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

(1) On or about February 11, 2010, the department prepared a FAP budget.

Countable income was ______, consisting of claimant's _____ monthly benefit and ______ supplement. A standard deduction of ______ was included. There were no

allowable medical expenses in excess of problem, no dependent care costs, and no court ordered child support paid. Shelter expenses included prent and a standard heat/utility/telephone allotment of problem for total allowable shelter expenses of the color of the co

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Department manuals provide the following policy statements and instructions for caseworkers:

When determining eligibility for FAP, all income must be included unless it is specifically excluded. SSI benefits and SSI State supplement are not excluded and must be counted when determining FAP eligibility. The FAP program provides for a deduction from earned income of 20% and a deduction for the cost of child care when necessary to enable a FAP household member to work. A standard deduction from income of is allowed for each household. Certain non-reimbursable medical expenses above the per month may be deducted for senior/disabled/veteran group

2010-24319/jab

members. Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 500, 550, 554; Program Reference Table

(PRT) 255; 7 CFR 273.2.

At hearing, claimant asserted that she is diabetic and so should be entitled to a

higher benefit. She must use her cash income to pay other bills, does not have enough to

live on, and so should receive a higher benefit. Claimant's assertions are not consistent

with department policy (see above policy statements and references). Department policy

and federal and state regulations require the department to include countable income

when determining eligibility. Allowable expenses are set by the government, as well.

Income and expenses were correct at the time the budget was completed and the hearing

was requested. Finding of Fact 1. Accordingly, the department has met its burden of

proof and its FAP determination must be upheld.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and

conclusions of law, decides the Department of Human Services acted in compliance with

department policy when it determined claimant's Eligibility for Food Assistance Program

benefits.

Accordingly, the department's action is HEREBY UPHELD.

Jana A. Bachman

Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmad, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 20, 2010

Date Mailed: August 26, 2010_

3

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

