STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM OF:



Reg No.:2010-23412 Issue No.: 3015

Case No.:

Load No.:

Hearing Date: June 29, 2010 Van Buren County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Y. Lain

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an inperson hearing was held on June 29, 2010. The claimant appeared and testified. Claimant was represented at the hearing by

ISSUE

Did the Department of Human Services (t he department) properly close to recoup overissued Food Assistance Program benefits for the months of July August and November 2009?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds a material fact:

- Claimant was a Food Assistance Program benefit recipient.
- (2) On Octob er 29, 2009, the case review was completed and the Food Assistance Program benefits wer e reduced effective December 1, 2009, and a recoupment notice was sent.

2 201023412/LYL

(3) On November 3, 2009, a correction budget was done by the worker with a change effective in the amount of November overpayment, and a recoupment notice was sent.

- (4) On November 6, 2009, the claim ant returned the repay agreement signed with an agreement to pay along with a letter to the worker requesting further clarification.
- (5) On December 3, 2009, the department received a letter from Farmworker Legal Ser vices requesting a hearing and the letter requested that the recoupment benefits cease immediately and benefits remain at \$\frac{1}{2}\$
- (6) The depart ment conceded on the record that it inappropriately determined that claimant had an over-issuance of benefits and inappropriately attempted to recoup the benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal r egulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the FAP program pursuant to CML 400.10 *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are f ound in t he Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Based upon the fact that t he department has conceded on the record that it improperly reduced claimant's F ood Assistance Program benefits and attempted to recoup over issued benefits, this Administrative Law Judge fin ds that the department has not established by the necessary competent, material and substantial evidence on the record that it was acting in compliance with department policy.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, finds that the department conceded on the record that it acted inappropriately in this case.

Accordingly, the department's decision is REV ERSED. The department is ORDERE D to reinstate claimant's Food Assistance Program benefits for the months of July, August, and November 2009 and shall make a re-assessment of claimant's eligibility for said Food Assistance Program benefits and shall provide claimant in writing of her eligibility or lack there of and shall provide to claimant any benefits to which she was

3 201023412/LYL

otherwise entitled. All determinations shall remain in writing and provide claimant with a written assessment of her eligibility or lack there of.

Landis Y. Lain
Administrative Law Judge
For Ismael Ahmed, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 06, 2010

Date Mailed: <u>July 07, 2010</u>

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.

LYL/alc

CC:

